```
1
                               MEETING
 2
                         STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                         HORSE RACING BOARD
 4
 5
     In the Matter of
     CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD )
 6
7
8
9
                       LOS ALAMITOS RACE COURSE
10
                           FINISH LINE ROOM
11
                         4961 KATELLA AVENUE
12
                       LOS ALAMITOS, CALIFORNIA
13
14
15
                     THURSDAY, DECEMBER 15, 2016
16
17
                               9:41 A.M.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
    REPORTED BY:
25
     ANN BONNETTE, CSR NO. 6108
```

```
1
                             APPEARANCES
 2.
 3
     COMMISSIONERS
     Chuck Winner, Chairman
 4
 5
    Madeline Auerbach, Commissioner
     Alex Solis, Commissioner
 6
7
     Rick Baedeker, Executive Director
 8
     George Krikorian, Commissioner
 9
10
     STAFF
    Robert Browning Miller, Staff Counsel
11
12
    Dr. Rick Arthur, Equine Medical Director
13
14
    ALSO PRESENT
     Greg Avioli, TOC
15
16
     Alan Balch, CTT
17
     Jerome Hoban, Alameda County Fair
18
     Joe Morris, Santa Anita
19
     Dorothy Burt, ARAC Representative
20
     Scott Daruty, Santa Anita
     John Ford, BetAmerica
21
22
     Brad Blackwell, TwinSpires
23
     Becky Bartling, Sonoma County Fair
24
     Larry Swartzlander, CARF
25
     Cal Rainey, Golden Gate Fields
```

| | 3 3 3, |
|-----|--|
| 1 | APPEARANCES |
| 2 | ALSO PRESENT |
| 3 | Maureen Morley |
| 4 | Mei Davis |
| 5 | Terri Eaton |
| 6 | Michael Brown |
| 7 | Tony Allevato, NYRAbets |
| 8 | John Valenzuela, Pari-Mutuel Employees Guild |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| . ! | |

| , | | | | - |
|---|----|--------|--|------|
| | 1 | | INDEX | |
| | 2 | ACTION | ITEM | PAGE |
| | 3 | 1. | Approval of the minutes of November 17, | 12 |
| | 4 | | 2016. | |
| | 5 | | | |
| | 6 | 2. | Executive Director's Report. | 13 |
| | 7 | | | |
| | 8 | 3. | Public Comments: Communications, reports, | 21 |
| | 9 | | requests for future actions of the Board. | |
| | 10 | | Note: Persons addressing the Board under | |
| | 11 | | this item will be restricted to three (3) | |
| | 12 | | minutes for their presentations. | |
| | 13 | | | |
| | 14 | 4. | Discussion and action by the Board regarding | 22 |
| | 15 | | the status of items noted as outstanding on | |
| | 16 | | the following applications for license to | |
| | 17 | | conduct a horse racing meeting of the | |
| | 18 | | following racing associations that were | |
| | 19 | | conditionally approved at the November 17, | |
| | 20 | | 2016, Regular Meeting of the Board: Los | |
| | 21 | | Alamitos Horse Racing Association, at Los | |
| | 22 | | Alamitos Race Course, commencing December 7, | |
| | 23 | | 2016 through December 20, 2016, inclusive; | |
| | 24 | | Los Angeles Turf Club at Santa Anita Park | |
| | 25 | | Race Track, commencing December 21, 2016 | |
| | | | | |

| 1 | | INDEX | |
|----|--------|---|------|
| 2 | ACTION | ITEM | PAGE |
| 3 | | through July 4, 2017. Opening day is | |
| 4 | | December 26, 2016, at Santa Anita and | |
| 5 | | the Pacific Racing Association at Golden | |
| 6 | | Gate Fields, commencing December 21, 2016 | |
| 7 | | through June 20, 2017, and, again, opening | |
| 8 | | day is December 26, 2016. | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | 5. | Discussion and action by the Board | 83 |
| 11 | | regarding the third week of Sonoma County | |
| 12 | | Fair's August 2, 2017 through August 22, | |
| 13 | | 2017 allocated race dates and whether the | |
| 14 | | Sonoma County Fair will conduct racing | |
| 15 | | during the third week. | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | 6. | Discussion by the Board regarding the | 86 |
| 18 | | submission to the Board of the Southern | |
| 19 | | California Vanning and Stabling agreement, | |
| 20 | | to include proposed financial and | |
| 21 | | operational plans for the upcoming calendar | |
| 22 | | year, as required pursuant to Business and | |
| 23 | | Professions Code Section 19607.1. | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |

| 1 | | INDEX | |
|----|--------|--|------|
| 2 | ACTION | ITEM | PAGE |
| 3 | 7. | Discussion by the Board regarding the | 90 |
| 4 | | submission to the Board of the Southern | |
| 5 | | California Vanning and Stabling agreement, | |
| 6 | | to include proposed financial and | |
| 7 | | operational plans for the upcoming | |
| 8 | | calendar year, as required pursuant to | |
| 9 | | Business and Professions Code | |
| 10 | | Section 19607.1. | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | 8. | Discussion and action by the Board regarding | 136 |
| 13 | | the request for approval of the continuation | |
| 14 | | of the 0.50 percent distribution to the | |
| 15 | | Southern California Stabling and Vanning | |
| 16 | | fund from the advance deposit wagering hosted | |
| 17 | | by thoroughbred racing associations and racing | |
| 18 | | fairs conducting racing in the Central and | |
| 19 | | Southern zones for the period commencing | |
| 20 | | December 26, 2016, through December 31, 2017, | |
| 21 | | as permitted under Business and Professions | |
| 22 | | Code Section 19604(f)(5)(E). | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | 9. | Discussion and action by the Board regarding | 137 |
| 25 | | the request from Northern California Off Track | |

| 1 | | INDEX | |
|----|--------|--|------|
| 2 | ACTION | ITEM | PAGE |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | Wagering Inc. (NCOTWINC) to continue the | |
| 5 | | modification of the distribution of market | |
| 6 | | access fees from advance deposit wagering at | |
| 7 | | a rate of 2.9 percent as permitted under | |
| 8 | | Business and Professions Code Section | |
| 9 | | 19604(f)(5)(E) for wagering conducted by | |
| 10 | | thoroughbred associations in the Northern | |
| 11 | | zone during calendar year 2017. | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | 10. | Discussion and action by the Board regarding | 138 |
| 14 | | the request from Southern California Off | |
| 15 | | Track Wagering Inc. (SCOTWINC) to continue | |
| 16 | | the modification of the distribution of | |
| 17 | | market access fees from advance deposit | |
| 18 | | wagering (ADW) at a rate of 2.9 percent as | |
| 19 | | permitted under Business and Professions | |
| 20 | | Code Section 19604(f)(5)(E) for wagering | |
| 21 | | conducted by thoroughbred associations in | |
| 22 | | the Southern zone during calendar year 2017. | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | 11. | Report from the Medication, Safety, and | 139 |
| 25 | | Welfare Committee. | |

| 1 | | INDEX | |
|----|--------|---|------|
| 2 | ACTION | ITEM | PAGE |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | 12. | Discussion and action by the Board regarding | 144 |
| 5 | | the proposed amendment to CHRB Rule 1685, | |
| 6 | | Equipment Requirement, to change "whip" to | |
| 7 | | "riding crop," and to require that riding | |
| 8 | | crops used during training meet the same | |
| 9 | | regulatory standards as riding crops used | |
| 10 | | during the running of a race. | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | 13. | Discussion and action by the Board | 145 |
| 13 | | regarding the proposed amendment to CHRB | |
| 14 | | Rule 1688, Use of Riding Crop, to apply its | |
| 15 | | provisions to training in addition to racing. | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | 14. | Discussion and action by the Board regarding | 145 |
| 18 | | the proposed addition to CHRB Rule 1868, | |
| 19 | | Authorized Medication During Workouts, to | |
| 20 | | establish threshold limits for the presence | |
| 21 | | of certain drug substances and medications | |
| 22 | | in official test samples taken from horses | |
| 23 | | after they complete a timed workout. | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |

| 1 | | INDEX | |
|----|--------|--|------|
| 2 | ACTION | ITEM | PAGE |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | 15. | Report from the Pari-mutuel and Wagering | 146 |
| 5 | | Committee. | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | 16. | Discussion and action by the Board regarding | 151 |
| 8 | | the presentation from the California licensed | |
| 9 | | advance deposit wagering ADW providers (NewCo | |
| 10 | | Ventures North America, LLC, dba NYRAbets.com; | |
| 11 | | Lien Games Racing LLC, Churchill Downs | |
| 12 | | Technology Initiative Company, dba | |
| 13 | | TwinSpires.com; Watch and Wager.com., LLC; | |
| 14 | | XpressBet, LLC, and ODS Technologies, L.P., | |
| 15 | | dba TVG regarding proposals for ADW | |
| 16 | | companies to contribute to the racing | |
| 17 | | industry in California. | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | 17. | Closed Session. | 158 |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |

1 PROCEEDINGS 2. 9:41 A.M. 3 LOS ALAMITOS, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, DECEMBER 15, 2016 4 5 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Ladies and gentlemen, this meeting of the California Horse Racing Board will come to 6 7 order. Please take your seats. 8 This is the regular noticed meeting of the California Horse Racing Board on Thursday, December 15, 9 10 2016, at the Los Alamitos Race Course, 4961 East Katella 11 Avenue, Los Alamitos, California. 12 Present at today's meeting are: Chuck Winner, 13 Chairman; Madeline Auerbach, Commissioner; George 14 Krikorian, Commissioner; and Alex Solis, Commissioner. 15 Before we go on to the business of the meeting I 16 need to make a few comments. The Board invites public 17 comment on the matters appearing on the meeting agenda. 18 The Board also invites comments from those present today 19 on matters not appearing on the agenda during the public 20 comment period if the matter concerns horse racing in 21 California. 22 In order to ensure all individuals have an 23 opportunity to speak and the meeting proceeds in a timely 24 manner, I will strictly enforce the three-minute time 25 limit rule for each speaker. The three-minute time limit

2.

rule will be enforced during discussion of all matters as stated on the agenda, as well as during the public comment period.

There is a public comment sign-in sheet for each agenda matter on which the Board invites comments. Also, there's a sign-in sheet for those wishing to speak during the public comment period for matters not on the Board's agenda if it concerns horse racing in California. Please print your name legibly on the public comment sign-in sheet.

When a matter is open for public comment, your name will be called. Please come to the podium.

Introduce yourself by stating your name and organization clearly. This is necessary for the court reporter to have a clear record of all who speaks. When your three minutes are up, I will ask you to return to your seat so others can be heard.

When all the names have been called, I will ask if there is anyone else who would like to speak on the matter before the Board. Also, the Board may ask questions of individuals who speak on any item. If a speaker repeats himself or herself, I will ask if the speaker has any new comments to make. If there are none, the speaker will be asked to let others make comments to the Board.

```
Before we go on to the agenda, I would like to
1
 2.
     ask that we take a moment of silence because of the loss
 3
     of one of our great jockeys yesterday. You may know
 4
     Garrett Gomez passed away, and I think everyone in racing
 5
    who knew Garrett and his family feel very saddened by this
     and wish the very best and condolences to Garrett's
 6
 7
     family.
 8
               So if we could, I would like to ask that we take
     a moment of silence.
 9
10
               (Moment of silence.)
11
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                 Thank you.
12
               Alex, did you want to say something?
13
               COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Garrett -- we spent a lot
14
     of time together, not only a great rider but a great
15
     friend too, and it is just too bad that such a great
16
    person with such trouble, and sad that we lost him in such
17
     a way.
18
               And like I said, we spent a lot of time playing
     golf and we took a few trips to Panama together when we
19
20
    have our racing carnival over there, and my family and we
21
     are very sad about it too and my prayers are for him and
22
    his family in such a trying time.
23
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                 Thank you. Rest in peace,
24
     Garrett.
25
               Shall we move on to the approval of the minutes
```

```
1
     from the November 17, 2016, meeting.
 2.
               Is there a motion? Are there any comments or
 3
     additions or changes to the minutes?
               Commissioner Krikorian moves; Commissioner Solis
 4
 5
     seconds.
               Commissioner Auerbach, how do you vote?
 6
 7
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Yes.
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Chairman votes yes.
 8
               Commissioner Krikorian?
 9
10
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Yes.
11
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis?
12
               COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
13
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Motion carries.
14
               Executive Director's report.
15
               Mr. Baedeker.
16
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Thank you,
17
    Mr. Chairman.
18
               In the spirit of the season, I am going to limit
19
    my remarks to positive things. Sometimes our focus is on
20
     contentious or difficult items, not the case at least in
21
    what I am going to present.
22
               First of all, I want to talk about a party that
23
    was just held at Santa Anita this week, and it was a
24
    Christmas party that for years was put on by CTT, and who
25
     also did Thanksgiving parties. But funding got tough for
```

2.

CTT and so they reached out for help to the racetracks, and the racetracks have come forward, along with TOC and CTHF and the Gregson Foundation, the Chaplaincy Program, Oak Tree, and others who have made major contributions, both with significant dollars but also the labor to make it happen to be fun for the whole backstretch community.

So the party at Santa Anita was held this week. There were several people volunteering, serving foods and drinks, trainers, owners, Santa Anita executives, our own Commissioner Auerbach, students from Holy Angels and numerous other racetrack employees. The event was a luncheon that provided food, drinks, and desserts to all of the backside employees, plus they gave out toys to the kids, to all the kids, raffled off big screen televisions, microwave ovens, bikes, and other gifts.

Luis Jauregui characterized it as the horse racing coming together to thank the backside community for all that they do. And I think everybody in the industry would thank all of those who worked to put on the party and contributed to it, and it's one of the good things in racing that maybe is overlooked, and there are many others, of course.

Speaking of good things, I have the financials for November, which this year feature two days of Breeders' Cup. And for the month, daytime racing was up

2.

68 percent in total handle, nighttime racing was up
5 percent altogether; in November, the numbers were up
61 percent and that kind of flipped a negative

year-to-date number to a positive. Daytime racing up
1.2 percent, nighttime just about up just a little less
than 1 percent, all in racing for the year of 1.1 percent.

Now, it gives me great pleasure to acknowledge a

Now, it gives me great pleasure to acknowledge a couple of people that have made terrific contributions to the industry. There was an event in Tucson last week.

The great -- the Big Sport of Turfdom Award was given to Art Sherman. That award was given by the Turf publicist.

There was another award that was given by the Racing Officials Accreditation Program, which for the last couple of years has acknowledged superior stewards from around the country. The stewards are nominated by their peers and voted on by a committee of racing representatives.

And I am pleased to say that this year -- by the way, they had given an award to a retired jockey, and I'm sorry -- a retired steward and an active steward. And this year our own Dennis Nevin was honored with the ROAP Pete Pederson Outstanding Steward Award.

And I want to tell you a little bit about

Dennis. I made him come down here today. He is an old

guy, as you can tell. He graduated from San Jose State

2.

with a degree in philosophy and psychology, which of course qualified him perfectly for the horse racing industry. In 1974, he sought and received an appointment as a steward beginning a 35-year association with the California Horse Racing Board. His duties as a steward have taken him to tracks across California, including Bay Meadows, Del Mar, Golden Gate, Hollywood Park, Santa Anita, and all the California fairs. He is acknowledged as one of the premier stewards in the state, and his early decision to devote himself to a career in the racing industry has had the wonderful side effect of benefiting not only himself but California racing.

And rather than use my inadequate words to describe Dennis, I would like to use the words of his fellow steward that has served with him for many years in the North, John Herbuveaux.

John says, "If asked to describe Nevin in one word, my response would be 'tenacious.' In some cases, that word might imply negative connotations, but the reverse is true when it comes to Dennis. He regards the rules of racing and the integrity of the sport attached thereto as sacred ground and will defend his post with all available resources. His love of all animals has taught him to make the welfare of the horse his first concern and he is relentless in his pursuit to protect the ones who

```
1
     cannot speak for themselves. These qualities, along with
 2.
     a sense of generosity known throughout the state have made
 3
    Dennis Nevin widely respected by those who walk the halls
     and shed rows of horse racing."
 4
 5
               And I would argue that that respect and
     appreciation goes beyond that, certainly, to this table.
 6
 7
     Pleased that Dennis has been acknowledged by the racing
 8
     industry in the United States. And so I would like to
     re-present Dennis, who received this award last week, for
 9
10
     the benefit of everybody here in the room and especially
     the commissioners.
11
12
               And Dennis, if you'd come forward and maybe the
13
     commissioners would come around and we could have a
14
    picture with Dennis.
               (Presentation of award.)
15
16
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Well done, Dennis.
17
    Congratulations.
18
               And now, ladies and gentlemen, one more
19
    presentation.
20
               Robert Browning Miller, seated at the last
21
    microphone there, is retiring. And I want to read a
22
     little bit of background about Bob.
23
               After serving in the U.S. Marine Corps,
24
     including an all-expense paid trip to Vietnam, Bob
25
     attended the University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of
```

```
1
     Law, in Sacramento. While in law school, Bob met the
 2.
    United States attorney for the Eastern District of
 3
    California, Dwayne Keyes, and this eventually led Bob to
 4
    being hired as an Assistant U.S. attorney following
 5
     graduation.
               While in the U.S. Attorney's office, he
 6
 7
     represented the United States in the, quote, Roseville
 8
    Bomb Explosion Litigation, unquote, which was in trial for
     two years. Bob told me about that case, and it was a
 9
10
     freight train that was loaded with bombs. I am not sure
11
     if it was Navy or Air Force or who they belonged to.
12
    Anyhow, it came over the Sierras, stopped down in
13
    Roseville, and unfortunately, one of the brake systems on
14
     one of the cars had caught fire, which nobody noticed.
     That car exploded and it set off a chain reaction of bombs
15
16
     exploding in the freight yard in Roseville.
17
               Bob, who did you defend in that?
18
               MR. MILLER: The Army, the Navy, and the Federal
19
    Railroad Administration. All federal agencies.
20
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Yeah. Pretty
21
    heavy duty stuff.
22
               He also represented the United States in
23
     litigation involving Mono Lake and the Los Angeles
24
    Department of Water and Power. After serving in the U.S.
25
    Attorney's office for six and a half years, Bob joined the
```

2.

Sacramento law firm of Kronich, Moskovitz, Tiedemann, and Girard where he practiced civil litigation, including water law and construction defect matters.

After being with that firm for 12 years, he decided to become a sole practitioner. Later he joined a small litigation firm and then the licensing section of the Californian Attorney General's office. While in the licensing section, Bob represented the boards and bureaus of the Department of Consumer Affairs before the Office of Administrative Hearings, Superior and Appellate Courts.

While in the AG's office, Bob came to know
Kirk Breed, who in February of 2008 would be appointed the
executive director of the CHRB. Shortly thereafter, a
full-time staff counsel position was created at the Board
and Kirk told Bob and another person that he was looking
to hire a qualified attorney, a staff Counsel III in State
service. Bob told him that he met the qualifications, and
in typical Kirk Breed fashion, Kirk told Bob to apply for
the job. Then he told him to interview for the position.
And finally, Kirk told Bob to take the position. So Bob
has been Chief Counsel to the Horse Racing Board since
May of 2008.

Bob and his wife Katie of 49 years have two daughters, both lawyers, and four grandchildren. Bob often says that his daughters, Suzanne and Nancy, both

```
1
    became attorneys against his advice. In retirement, Bob
 2.
    is looking forward to perfecting his golf game.
     is a bit of work to be done there, traveling around the
 3
    United States with Katie, visiting national parks and just
 4
 5
    enjoying life with family and friends.
              And I have a special letter here that I would
 6
7
     like to read. It's addressed to Robert Browning Miller.
 8
               "It is an honor to congratulate you as you
    retire from the California Horse Racing Board following
 9
10
     17 years of dedicated service to the State of California.
    Public service is challenging yet rewarding work, and I
11
12
    commend you for choosing a career serving our golden
13
     state. Your experience, skills, and contributions have
14
    benefited your fellow citizens. You have helped to meet
    the needs of California and its residents for many years,
15
16
    and I thank you. Best wishes for the future. I hope your
17
    retirement is rewarding and you enjoy it to the fullest.
18
    Sincerely, Jerry Brown, Governor of California."
19
               Bob, congratulations on your retirement, and
20
    thank you for your service.
21
               MR. MILLER: Thank you very much.
22
               MR. BAEDEKER: Our pleasure.
23
              How about another picture? Can we come out
24
    front here?
25
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Let's do it.
```

```
MR. MILLER: All right. Thank you very much.
1
 2.
     Thank you all.
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: I also have for
 3
 4
     Bob a coveted gold free admission pass that will get him
 5
    past any turnstile in California racing.
               MR. MILLER: Thank you very much.
 6
 7
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: I think everyone here knows
 8
    how important Bob has been to racing in California and
 9
     especially to this Board with his -- not only his sage
10
     advice and counsel but his friendship. He is truly a
11
     special person, and we are going to miss having him at
12
     every meeting for sure. We wish him well, as Rick said,
13
     and we wish his family well and just enjoy your
    retirement.
14
15
               Moving on then to the public comment period,
16
    Debra Burke -- or Burt.
17
               MS. BURT: Good morning.
18
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Good morning.
19
               MS. BURT: I am Dorothy Burt --
20
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Oh, I apologize.
21
               MS. BURT: -- and I am here -- it's all right.
22
     I don't write real well. And I am here as a
23
     representative of ARAC, the Arabian Racing Association of
24
     California. And since we only are able to race at the
25
     fairs, I am just going to make a comment to please
```

1 consider maybe meeting in Northern California more than 2. just two times. Your schedule for 2017 is pretty much 3 exactly the same as 2016 -- 2016, pardon me, and it's very 4 difficult when you are involved in making decisions for 5 Northern California for people from Northern California to come all the way down here and make a presentation or 6 7 attend the meetings to find out what's going on. So I 8 would just ask you to consider maybe increasing your meetings in the North. It would be much more equitable. 9 10 Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you, and we will certainly consider that, and I will leave it up to 12 13 Mr. Baedeker to work with Ms. Wagner, and we'll see if 14 that can be done. Moving on, then, to item number -- is any other 15 16 person who would like to speak during the public comment 17 period on any issue that relates to racing in California? 18 All right. Then we will move on to Item No. 4: 19 Discussion and action by the Board regarding the 20 status of items noted as outstanding on the following 21 applications for license to conduct a horse racing meeting 22 of the following racing associations that were 23 conditionally approved at the November 17, 2016, Regular 24 Meeting of the Board: Los Alamitos Horse Racing 25 Association, at Los Alamitos Race Course, commencing

1 December 7, 2016 through December 20, 2016, inclusive; Los 2. Angeles Turf Club at Santa Anita Park Race Track, commencing December 21, 2016 through July 4, 2017. 3 4 Opening day is December 26, 2016, at Santa Anita and the 5 Pacific Racing Association at Golden Gate Fields, commencing December 21, 2016 through June 20, 2017, and, 6 7 again, opening day is December 26, 2016. 8 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: So Mr. Chairman, these licenses were granted conditionally upon receipt of 9 10 all of the outstanding items at the last meeting. 11 since then, we have been able to cross Los Alamitos off 12 the list. All of their items are in but we still have 13 outstanding items from LATC and PRA; so would somebody 14 want to come forward and update us as to the status of 15 those items? 16 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Scott. Mr. Daruty. 17 MR. DARUTY: Scott Daruty on behalf of Santa 18 Anita. We'll start there. 19 We were able to complete our TOC contract and 20 that has been signed and submitted to you. As you know, 21 there were a lot of issues related to ADW and otherwise 22 that were holding that up but that agreement has now been

23

completed. We have continued diligently to try to get an

agreement with CTT in place but we do not have that as we

23

24

25

sit here.

2.

There have been a number of issues raised recently by CTT where we were -- and by the way, one of the things they wanted to do is find out where we landed on some of our TOC issues. As we sat last month before you, the CTT agreement had been finished and they were just waiting to see where we landed on stabling before they would sign, but since that time a number of other issues have been raised by CTT.

So we are doing our best to work through it. We will continue to try to work through it. It is my continued belief, and I have expressed this to the Board before, that the CTT agreement is not something that is mandated by statute. I understand that you have mandated it, and we're doing our best to comply with that, but right now we don't have the CTT agreement signed.

That moves us in, if I am not mistaken, to the ADW arena. I think the other item that was outstanding related to ADW contracts. That has been a whole mess of its own. There was a push by the TOC to mandate geolocating. You guys are all well familiar with that issue. Yesterday there was a meeting of the Pari-mutuel Wagering Committee at which the ADWs were called before the committee, and I guess the term I would use was reprimanded for a number of different issues. I feel that Santa Anita, as the first race meet of the calendar year

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or the racing year, is sort of caught in the middle here.

The ADWs are, after all, a vendor for the racetracks, not

just for Santa Anita but for all racetracks in the state.

I made some statements yesterday in support of the ADWs and was somewhat chastised as being conflicted on the matter since the Stronach Group owns its own ADW company. So we have worked diligently to try to get agreements in place with ADW companies that has been a moving target. After yesterday and after hearing the number of issues we heard before the committee, specifically we heard CRIMS testify for almost 30 minutes about how difficult it is to do the accounting for the ADWs, how originally there were just a few ADWs, and now it has grown to six or seven and the workload has increased voluminously and therefore greater payments are required to cover those costs, we heard about the unions objecting that all these ADWs are now in the state and are not hiring union employees. We heard the committee question the ADWs about charitable contributions that they may or may not be making and whether that is sufficient. And, of course, we still have the geolocation issue which, when it is finally implemented, is going to add a very large level of complexity to the whole ADW environment, not that it necessarily means we shouldn't do it but it means it is going to be much more complex than it has been

in the past.

2.

So with all that background, after the committee meeting yesterday, we sort of came to the conclusion we're not sure we are doing the right thing at Santa Anita by sticking our neck out, getting -- you know, taking heat from horsemen and regulators on one side and from ADWs on the other for not giving them the kind of deals they necessarily want; so we really looked at our approach and said what are we trying to accomplish. There's others in the industry, other tracks who can do what they want, but as far as Santa Anita, we feel right now that the right thing may be to limit a little bit more the number of ADWs than have been contracted with Santa Anita in the past. That's particularly true given the increasing level of complexity that is involved.

When this Board issues a regulation, it doesn't just implement itself. There's a lot of work for the tracks, from the horsemen, and from others as we, again, heard from Mark Thurman at CRIMS yesterday.

So where we are with ADW is we have a hub agreement with TVG, and as of about 48 hours ago, TVG has signed an agreement with both Santa Anita and with the TOC to implement geolocation technology and to pay a -- or to accept a reduced hub fee on wagers that take place at Santa Anita Park.

2.

We happen to believe, and I heard a lot of criticism leveled at all the ADWs yesterday, we happen to believe that the commitment made to racing by TVG is tremendous. The amount of money they spend on television is huge. You all know that my company and TVG battled long and hard for many years, but we are at a place now where they are our television distribution partner, they televise more California racing than they do of any other racing from any other jurisdiction. The biggest beneficiary of that, we believe, is Santa Anita having the longest meet, and Golden Gate as well having the longest meet. Certainly Del Mar and others benefit also, but we think the relationship with TVG has been good.

And back to the point of this agenda item, where are we on our ADW contracts, everything is in place with TVG. We are going to move forward with Xpressbet too. I don't think a huge explanation on that one is necessary. But I will say that Xpressbet has also signed a contract with Santa Anita and with the TOC to implement geolocation technology on the same terms and conditions as the TOC required of TVG.

There are two others who were before the

Pari-mutuel Committee yesterday that we think are critical
as partners in the national marketplace. TV -- sorry,

NYRA and Churchill Downs each have ADWs that have been

2.

licensed by this Board. We have hub agreements in place for the coming year with both of them. We have presented geolocation agreements to each of them, although those are not signed yet.

I don't know -- I mean I guess we'd have to ask them what they intend to do, each of them, with that geolocation agreement. But as the racetrack that is now before you telling you where we are on all of our contracts, I think we have done everything we can with those two. Again, a hub agreement is in place, simulcast agreements in place, and a geolocation agreement has been presented to them.

And that's -- you know, that's where we are at.

We think, again, on those two, if you look at what they
contribute to the industry nationwide, NYRA obviously
produces some of the best horse racing content in the
country, races that our fans in California like to bet on
regularly. We also find it very important to sell our
racing signals into the New York marketplace. We feel the
same way about Churchill Downs selling our content into
Kentucky and Illinois and Louisiana has implications that
are very significant for California, and so we think those
partnership are important as well.

Again, given the position we're in, which is sort of caught in the middle and getting, we feel,

1 crossfire from both sides, that's our plan as we sit right 2. now for the ADW marketplace. Those are the parties we intend to contract with, and I think that is the final 3 item, if I am not mistaken, ADW on our outstanding issues. 4 5 Is there anything else? 6 MR. BAEDEKER: Your simulcast agreement, that's 7 the only reference here. It says expires on 8 December 25th. I presume that's the Monarch -- those are

10 that -- they are negotiated for the entire year or so.

the Monarch documents that you have talked about before

11 | Sometimes there is a timing issue.

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DARUTY: Correct. They are done -- they are done annually. We are the ones who always sit before you on this issue because we go first in the year, and then once they are done for the year, every other track in California has them in place already, including CARF.

Those contracts are one of two situations. We'd have to go, you know, one by one, which would take forever; so I will give you the general answer as one of two things: Either a new agreement is in place for each simulcast outlet, including the ADWs, or to the extent there's not one in place, last year's contract has a provision in it that says to the extent a new contract is not executed and should the track and the CHRB consent to allow wagering to continue through that outlet, then the

```
1
    old contract continues over. So it's essentially an
 2.
    extension of the agreement that was in place for 2016.
 3
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: So, Scott, you
 4
    could submit something to the Board that says these
 5
    agreements are in place as of now, these agreements are
    not in place, but the contract will be extended per the
 6
 7
     2016 agreement? At least give the Board something to work
 8
    off of.
 9
              MR. DARUTY: Yes. Okay. So I'm not trying to
10
    be evasive. I believe -- I believe all agreements are in
11
    place that are necessary. The only reason I'm hedging a
12
     little bit is you are not specifically saying the
13
     simulcast agreement with which entity. So if you are
14
    talking about simulcast agreements just in the ADW arena,
15
    then as for TVG, Xpressbet, NYRA's account wagering
16
    platform, and Churchill Downs's account wagering platform,
17
    yes, those agreements are in place.
18
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: I guess what I'm
19
    saying is your race meet application says you are going to
20
    be simulcasting to all these locations around the country
21
    and the world.
22
               MR. DARUTY: Okay. So that's --
23
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: And so -- so we
24
    need to know which ones you have a deal with, which ones,
25
    you know, you will have a deal with, or effectively you
```

```
1
    have a deal with because of the carryover of the 2016
 2.
    contract as you described.
 3
              MR. DARUTY: Okay. I'm sorry. I misunderstood.
 4
     I thought your question was directed to the ADW segment.
 5
               If you are talking about the long list of
    brick-and-mortar locations --
 6
 7
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Right.
 8
               MR. DARUTY: -- that we send, those are all in
9
    place.
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Okay. Very good.
10
11
    Have you furnished something to the Board?
12
               MR. DARUTY: I don't believe we typically send
13
    every contract. What we typically send is a list that
14
    says here are the outlets. We've never -- we'd be happy
15
    to but it's --
16
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: No. I think
17
    that's just fine. Just so that -- and maybe,
18
    unfortunately, we're at a loss this month because our
    cornerstone, Jackie Wagner, is on the disabled list. She
19
20
    has an infected foot that she has got to keep up for a
21
    week. So if we tend to ramble here it is a little bit --
22
     it is because we can't look to Jackie and get a quick
23
    answer on something.
24
               So it seems to me that we probably need
25
    something from you saying that all of those sites that
```

were included in our race meet application have entered into an agreement, a simulcast agreement.

2.

MR. DARUTY: Yes, I can do that. That is done, and we would be happen to submit that to the Board.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: And can I just clarify that you currently have your ADW -- a signed deal with TVG and Xpressbet and you anticipate having a signed deal with NYRA and TwinSpires that is not quite done?

MR. DARUTY: Well, we have signed deals with NYRA and TwinSpires that, from the track's perspective, are sufficient to comply with the law and to allow them to wager on our product. The wildcard here is that the TOC has come in with this desire -- strong desire to have geolocation. So we have been, you know, working to try -- you know, we're not necessarily totally in lockstep with TOC on that issue, but we understand it is important to them and so we have been trying to assist in the process of getting that agreement in place.

We have sent to both NYRA and to TwinSpires a geolocation agreement that has met with the approval of the TOC. Where it goes from there is really up to the TOC and to the ADWs which, again, is my point that as a racetrack we're somewhat caught in the middle here on this issue.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Scott, first of all, thank you

```
1
     for giving the report of the pari-mutuel and wagering
 2.
     committee meeting.
 3
               Secondly, I think it's not accurate to say that
 4
    you were chastised. I think the fact of the matter is
 5
     that what was intended was to make the point that when you
     speak on behalf of one Stronach entity it should be at
 6
 7
     least noted that Stronach has entities that are affected
 8
    by or impacted by what it is -- in the case yesterday you
    were speaking on behalf of Monarch, as I recall, and of
 9
10
     course that discussion involved both the racetrack as well
11
     as Xpressbet. So it was important to point out that you
12
    wear several hats, as you point out often. So I don't
13
     think it is fair to say that -- certainly the intent was
14
    not to chastise, I don't believe.
15
               Is it your -- are you saying at this time, then,
16
     that Watch and Wager and BetAmerica are not your -- you
17
     are not intending to have ADW agreements with them?
                                                          Is
18
     that -- did I understand that correctly?
19
               MR. DARUTY: That is correct.
20
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Am I missing anybody? You
21
    mentioned -- you mentioned TVG, Xpressbet, TwinSpires,
22
    NYRA. Who am I missing?
23
               MR. DARUTY: I think that is everybody.
24
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: So just the two small ones are
25
    being left out.
```

2.

MR. DARUTY: Well, they're not -- I wouldn't say they're being left out. I would say there are other opportunities for them in this marketplace to get a hub agreement or otherwise. Again, I just feel like we have been sort of caught in the middle and with the additional workload that's now going to be required with geolocation and other regulatory issues.

One thing that does jump out is that at the meeting yesterday there was an item submitted, a handle breakdown submitted, and when you looked at the percent of handle from a couple of the ADWs, it was actually listed at zero. And that calls into question, you know, should we be doing all this work and putting in all this effort and having, you know, geolocation agreements and technologies and more stuff to monitor if there is not a material contribution to the handle.

So at this point we think, you know, maybe scaling back and keeping it a little smaller is the right thing to do, particularly with all the ADW issues that were raised yesterday. That's not to say that, you know, other tracks have to do the same thing as Santa Anita but that is the position we are taking.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: And with respect to the geolocators, that is an agreement between you and TOC; right? I mean that's not -- we haven't required that.

```
MR. DARUTY: Not yet. That is correct.
1
 2.
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                 Okay. Just to make that
 3
             That's a part of a contractural agreement between
 4
    you and TOC.
 5
               MR. DARUTY: That is correct.
                                 Okay. Commissioner Krikorian.
 6
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
 7
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Well, first of all, not
 8
    to be negative, but just taking the time to hear the
    request for license today without them having checked all
 9
10
     the boxes, why are we doing that? We have complained in
11
    the past that we get to this point and it's a last-minute
12
    thing and we don't have -- we don't have all the boxes
13
     checked on the applications. So the question is, why are
14
    we even hearing this matter today?
15
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: So Commissioner,
16
    the Board did approve the license application last month
17
    but conditioned that approval on receipt of the
18
    outstanding items, and Mr. Daruty has just gone through
19
     the checklist and explained either what has been completed
20
    and submitted and what has not.
21
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: We don't have all the
22
     items now. This is the third year in a row that I have
23
    been here that this has happened.
24
               MR. DARUTY: Well, and not to be flippant, but I
25
    suspect that may be the same case next year too. I mean
```

2.

we are doing the best we can. There was an item -- there is only one item that is outstanding, as best I can tell, and if I am missing something, please jump in and tell me, but that is a contract with the CTT. That is not required by statute. That is something that has been provided, you know, at the request of this Board historically. It is something that is used to leverage us because they know that I don't want to come sit here and get reprimanded for not turning in my homework. And so they wait until the last minute and see how much stuff they can get out of us.

And, you know, I don't want to say that there is -- I don't want to create the implication that there is a big conflict or negative relationship with the CTT because we have actually been working very well with them, but no, we don't have an agreement on the CTT. I don't believe that is a requirement for the license.

COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: How do we -- how do we get -- how do we change things so that -- because there's always negotiations that are ongoing between all the different parties. Everyone has, you know, a different interest. And just like you had negotiations with TOC on the geo issue and others, these things are ongoing on an annual basis. How do we get to a point where we can draw the line in the sand so the Board has everything it needs so that it can make, you know, the right -- correct

1 decisions? 2. MR. DARUTY: Well, the challenge is that this Board is doing its job, trying to regulate, trying to make 3 4 sure it understands what is going on, and we totally 5 understand that and we totally respect that. But when this Board mandates, for all the right 6 7 reasons, when this Board mandates a contract between two 8 parties, then you are going to give one of the two parties leverage, depending on how you approach it. You can 9 10 either say if the contract is not in place then you don't 11 get a license and that gives the leverage to whoever is 12 not the racetrack, or you can say if there is no agreement 13 reached then you can move forward without and that would 14 give all the leverage to us. 15 So I don't know how to solve the problem you are 16 pointing out. It is a problem and I don't -- you know, I 17 don't dispute that you guys as regulators are doing the 18 right thing by asking to see all this. But I don't know 19 that there is an answer to your question. 20 COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Maybe we can find one, 21 and can we have CTT speak right now to this issue and tell 22 us what is going on from their perspective? 23 MR. BALCH: Alan Balch, California Thoroughbred 24 Trainers.

I agree with most of what Scott said. I think

37

2.

part of the problem this year, and I think it's very clear from his remarks that if he doesn't believe -- not he, but if the Stronach Group does not believe that a race meet agreement with the horsemen -- with the trainers organization is a necessity, clearly, it's going to go to bottom of the list.

We object to that. We think particularly in this day and age where the trainers are subject to so much scrutiny in rule making and otherwise that I don't think there's anything frivolous in the places where we are apart. I think we're very close. We haven't had a response to things that we made several days ago, one of which just came up, actually, this week, which was, I think, unanticipated by Santa Anita as well as by us, but I think they are all things that could have been resolved Monday or Tuesday or yesterday.

But clearly, there has been a lot of work on the plate of the Stronach Group with all of the ADW matters and so forth that Mr. Daruty just described. So I do think it's important that there be a CTT agreement in place. I do agree with his comments on quote, unquote leverage, although I don't agree with how he expressed it because we're certainly -- certainly the trainers are not in any position to be an equal party as far as leverage to a racing organization that controls most of the calendar

```
1
     in California.
 2.
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Could you be specific
 3
     as to what the issues are?
 4
               MR. BALCH: In Northern California, we're really
 5
     down to one thing, if memory serves. Because the number
     of horses is going to increase at Golden Gate by about
 6
 7
     30 percent if the stable area is filled up, we believe
 8
     that there should be two more required track renovations,
 9
     one in the first part of the year and one in the second
10
    part of the year because of the increased traffic on the
11
    race track. And because it's a synthetic track, we think
12
     that's critically important. We have many of our
13
     constituents, as you have heard before, that are very
14
    distressed with the stabling plan in Northern California,
15
    but we have not made that an issue for this agreement.
16
     Our Board has taken that up at some length.
17
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: What about in Southern --
18
    Alan, that is Northern California.
19
               MR. BALCH: Correct. And that's -- that's --
20
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: What we're talking about now
21
     is Southern California.
22
               MR. BALCH: Well, they are both on here so I
23
     just took Golden Gate first.
24
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                 Okay.
25
               MR. BALCH: In Southern California, one of the
```

```
1
     issues, of course, is the overall stabling plan.
 2.
    understand that there was a four-party agreement that was
 3
    provided to the trainers as a fait accompli.
 4
     stabling -- the total capacity of the stabling that was
 5
    anticipated for January 1st is not going to be available
    January 1st; so we would like to have a clear
 6
 7
    understanding in writing of the capacity and how Galway
 8
    Downs is going to be extended. I think that's probably
     the critical issue.
 9
               We are faced with a situation within the last
10
11
    week where all training was ordered stopped at Santa Anita
12
    on all tracks yesterday with about three days notice.
13
     don't think that is correct. That is the last-minute
14
    thing that we put on the table and said hey, we think that
    given the importance of a population of 1500 to 1800
15
16
    horses, if you are going to stop training for anything
17
    other than an act of God that there should be a notice
18
    requirement. We suggested ten days verbally. I have been
19
     told that Santa Anita is willing to do seven days, which
20
     is acceptable to us, as I have notified them; so I think
21
    we're down to a very few issues.
22
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Alan, you're not -- go ahead.
23
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: No. Go ahead.
24
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: I was just going to say, we're
25
    talking about December 26th, the opening.
                                                There's not
```

- going to be another meeting between now and December 26th.
- 2 | I assume -- well, I don't want to assume anything.

Are you suggesting in any way that the license shouldn't be granted?

MR. BALCH: Absolutely not.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: I didn't think so. So the assumption is that you will be able to work it out. I think that Mr. Daruty is correct in saying that no matter how you go there is leverage on one side or leverage on the other side, and I agree with him. I don't know how to solve that problem either.

MR. BALCH: And I agreed as well, and I just want to reiterate my agreement that I understand -- I don't like but I understand where we -- where the trainers organization is on the pecking order of things that have to be gotten done because I think the TOC agreement was, if I'm not mistaken, just finished up maybe yesterday.

Mr. Daruty did mention that there is some potential consistencies or inconsistencies with the TOC agreement and our agreement that we want to make sure are ironed out. That takes some time just to look at. We're not privy to the TOC negotiations; so we want to make sure that when the same issue is covered in both agreements that our agreement is consistent with the TOC agreement.

COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Well, you know, I don't

```
1
    disagree, and I don't think anyone on the Board disagrees
 2.
    with your concerns and which you are trying to achieve,
    but it's the timing. Why are we talking about -- why are
 3
 4
    we talking about these things today? Why can't they have
 5
    been resolved?
               MR. DARUTY: I could offer a solution as well.
 6
 7
     I mean one option is to sign the agreement with a
 8
    provision in it that says we will continue to talk in the
 9
    North about the number of times that we put -- you know,
10
    renovate the surface and in the South stabling. I mean
11
    that would be a way that I could then come and say I have
12
    met -- you know, I have checked off my list, but it really
13
    doesn't resolve the issue.
14
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: No, it doesn't resolve
15
    the issue, but they're also saying that they're not making
16
     that a requirement today, if I heard correctly.
17
              MR. DARUTY: Correct.
18
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: So -- so why even --
19
    that could be something you could talk about separately
20
     later anyway, and then if you can't come to an agreement,
21
    then we will deal with it next year.
22
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Any other questions?
23
              Madeline.
24
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: No. I just -- I share
25
    Commissioner Krikorian's concern, and I am also concerned
```

```
1
     that we do a lot of wheel spinning with these things, and
 2.
     there are certain things that everybody knows has a time
     frame and a time limit.
 3
               And it's interesting because what Scott said was
 4
 5
     that they had the agreement done with CTT prior to -- I
    mean prior to the last meeting and then items came up
 6
 7
     afterwards which affected CTT's stance, which I understand
 8
    with the track suddenly being closed on Wednesday and
     everybody is looking, "Well, why is that?"
 9
10
               So I understand -- and that's a legitimate
11
     issue, in my opinion. I am glad that you addressed it.
12
     So things are dynamic, is what I am saying. And so it is
13
     difficult to put a period to the end of the sentence when
14
     every day another issue occurs that basically can affect
15
     the negotiations among the parties.
16
               I don't know the answer, but I understand the
17
     complexity and I am frustrated, like Commissioner
18
    Krikorian is and like you are. You don't want to sit
19
    here, and neither do I. But we have got to figure out a
20
     way to make sure our bases are covered and we are
21
     addressing all the issues that are germane to all of us
22
     so --
```

I know that Mr. Daruty has stated that the

23

24

25

briefly to that?

MR. BALCH: Madam Commissioner, may I respond

```
agreement was done. Well, we were provided Santa Anita's
1
 2.
    agreement "Sign here." Well, we had some issues so -- and
 3
    there weren't just the --
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: The Wednesday issue was
 4
 5
    very new. I mean it's like brand-new.
 6
               MR. BALCH: Yes, that I agree.
 7
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Yeah. Well, that's what
 8
     I meant.
 9
              MR. BALCH: But the stabling issue, and Scott
10
     just turned around and gave me a very, very, shall we say,
    critical look.
11
12
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: You were being chastised.
13
               MR. BALCH: I was being chastised.
14
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Not the first time or
15
    the last.
16
               MR. BALCH: The whole issue of stabling in
17
    Southern California is critically important. And on the
18
    one hand, the Stronach Group responded to us in our favor,
19
    we felt, that we didn't want a year-long agreement when
20
    there's so many of these moving parts.
21
               But, on the other hand, by their agreeing with
22
    us that we could have a meet-by-meet agreement that was a
23
    problem in us trying to get on the table whether Santa
24
    Anita could be open, even in part during the summer, which
25
    to several commissioners has been an issue because of the
```

2.

population capacity at Del Mar. And that's covered in the four-party agreement that we're not one of the four parties to.

So I think there are issues that needed to be raised and talked about, and just trading emails and redlines is not a good way of negotiating those things or coming to an understanding; so it is a little more complicated than that.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Scott, do you feel that there's a stabling issue?

MR. DARUTY: Well, there is certainly a stabling issue in both Northern California and Southern California. Stabling is one of the most important issues we, as an industry, have to figure out. And so in Southern California, there is an agreement signed to cover stabling for three years. But as Commissioner Auerbach said, this is a dynamic environment; issues come up.

As we just heard Mr. Balch testify, he wants to understand what stabling for the whole year looks like in Southern California, or at least through the summer before he will sign off on the CTT agreement for Santa Anita.

And while I understand that, my point earlier was I felt that the issues between us, that we could negotiate between us, had been completed, but the agreement wasn't

1 signed until this broader stabling issue was resolved. 2. That's sort of my point to you. There are certain things that -- we can never 3 4 satisfy a signed agreement relating to our meet if we are 5 required, as part of that, to resolve issues about how are we going to stable at Santa Anita during Del Mar's meet. 6 7 And it just -- it never ends. 8 So what I can say is I understand your frustration. I also believe there is a little bit of 9 frustration on our side. The CTT has been working in good 10 11 faith. We have been working in good faith. We are all 12 trying to resolve these issues. We have made tremendous 13 strides. But unfortunately, and I'm sorry to say, we don't have a signed agreement I can present you with to 14 15 check off the box. 16 COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: With respect to 17 Southern California, I was under the impression that there 18 is enough stabling and it had been resolved. 19 MR. DARUTY: It had been resolved --20 COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Is that accurate? 21 MR. DARUTY: It had been resolved through an 22 agreement that was binding for three years and signed by 23 Santa Anita, Del Mar, Los Alamitos, and TOC. 24 Again, in a dynamic environment, things change. 25 CTT would like to raise issues about, you know, what we do

2.

next summer at Santa Anita, do we stay open, do we not stay open. Those are all valid discussions to have, but those would be amendments to the existing agreement, not to say we are not willing to talk about it, but it just — the only consistent is change. And so it is hard to put everything in these agreements and sign them when everything is always changing.

MR. BALCH: Right. At a point in time, if I may add.

Mr. Krikorian, one of the problems is beyond the control of you or us, the Stronach Group or CTT, and that is this problem in the law that has developed, as
Mr. Miller has described a few times, but just to call the attention back to it, the CTT is, by statute, supposed to negotiate issues related to the backstretch.

Now, the backstretch is stabling. Yet, elsewhere in the law, the horsemen's organization has defined the TOC is the party that negotiates that as to the stabling and vanning agreement. So we're in the position, my constituents, over 500 trainers in California, who have the care and custody of these horses 24/7, 365, trying to plan ahead for stabling and trying to keep the welfare and the safety of the horses paramount in our minds. So that's where my constituents expect me to stand up and represent them.

COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Alan, that should be 1 2. for -- at least for a year be worked out, you would think. 3 CHAIRMAN WINNER: All right. Are there any 4 other comments? 5 What about the Northern -- since it's on here, the license for Northern California is also on here. 6 7 Do you want to talk about that, Scott? 8 MR. DARUTY: Would be happy to. I think that we 9 just heard the CTT explanation. We are close. There is 10 one issue related to how -- how often we renovate the 11 track. I think -- I don't want to speak for Mr. Balch, 12 but I think he would say there's the same overriding 13 concern about signing a contract with us without knowing 14 exactly how stabling is going to work for next year. 15 I would say none of us in this room right now know how 16 stabling is going to work for next year. That's an item 17 for later on the agenda. 18 As far as the outstanding account wagering 19 agreements, I just, you know, ditto everything I said on 20 Santa Anita. It just applies in the North as well. And 21 then our TOC agreement, you know, I regret to say we don't 22 have a signed TOC agreement, but I am pleased to say 23 because we were negotiating with TOC we -- it made no 24 sense to have two moving documents at the same time so we negotiated in the South. We have reached agreement there. 25

```
1
     That will be the basis and will be largely duplicated over
 2.
     for Northern California. So I don't -- there is no
 3
     substantive disagreement or dispute. It is just a matter
 4
     of taking the paperwork that was created for the South and
 5
     converting it into the North. So that's where we stand on
     Golden Gate Fields.
 6
 7
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Same thing with respect to the
 8
    ADWs that you limited it to the four agreements that you
 9
    mentioned for the South?
10
               MR. DARUTY: Correct.
11
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: All right.
12
               MR. MILLER: Excuse me. Robert Miller, counsel
13
     for the California Horse Racing Board.
14
               The Westmed Ambulance certification, do you have
     that?
15
16
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
17
               MR. MILLER: Thank you.
18
               MR. DARUTY: Yes.
19
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Somebody said "yes."
20
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Somebody behind
21
     the pillars said "yes."
22
               MR. DARUTY: It's somebody I trust; so I will
23
     repeat the "yes."
24
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Pardon me?
25
               (Colloquy between Chairman Winner and
```

Commissioner Krikorian.) 1 2. CHAIRMAN WINNER: Well, we have John Ford filled 3 out a card. I'd like to hear from him. John, do you want to come up and speak -- or go 4 5 to the podium and speak? MR. FORD: Chairman, members of the commissions, 6 7 members of the Board, my name is John Ford on behalf of 8 behalf of BetAmerica. And what I heard is -- from Scott 9 is rather unprecedented and -- and surprising. 10 On Monday we received a proposed draft of a hub 11 agreement for California reducing our rate from 5 percent 12 to 4.5 percent. On Tuesday, we received a draft 13 geolocation agreement, which we discussed at yesterday's 14 ADW committee meeting, which resulted in us going negative 15 on wagers at racetracks. And that's a geolocation 16 agreement presented even as this Board is considering a 17 new rule for geolocation, which there will be a 18 deliberative process of receiving public input and the 19 Board to be able to consider what is appropriate for 20 geolocation at California racetracks. 21 With all due respect to my friend Scott Daruty, 22 this is nothing but anticompetitive. We're the only ADW 23 in California growing this year. ADWs are essentially 24 flat; we're up 59 percent. Nationwide we have reached 25 17 percent of Xpressbet's handle nationwide. We're the

2.

fastest growing handle -- racetrack -- fastest growing

ADW. And I don't think this type of behavior is what this

Board had in mind when it granted the waiver to Stronach

to be able to own two racetracks in California and be able

to represent all thoroughbred racetracks in California.

Reducing the number of ADWs that are licensed in California, especially one that is the fastest growing licensed ADW in California, is not in the best interest of racing in California. We had at times contentious but at times very reflectful meeting yesterday of the ADW committee meeting -- the ADW committee, which talked about the various dynamics involved in ADWs in California. We have ADWs that own racetracks. We have ADWs that are closely aligned with racetracks, and we have new growing ADWs like ourselves who are growing handle for the industry. Since our recent launch, we have already contributed \$3 million to the California racing industry by the wagers that we have generated from California residents.

By our own surveys, half of our customers have never dealt with another ADW, and many were betting offshore, which do not contribute a dime to the industry. And what we're doing is growing handle for the industry, and I don't -- I believe strongly it's not in the best interest of this Board, which looks after California

```
racing, to permit a licensee to reduce its distribution to
1
 2.
    ADWs in connection with its licensing process.
 3
               Thank you.
 4
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                 Thank you, John.
 5
               Do you have any questions?
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: I agree with what he
 6
 7
     just said.
 8
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Good.
 9
               Alex?
10
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: I have a question.
11
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Please.
12
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: One of the things that
13
    we struggle with, John, is -- and this came up at our last
14
    meeting, and I don't know that we have seen anything
15
    different from the ADWs as it's part of the statute that
16
    you provide us with certain documentation of what your
17
     expenses are and how you function and things of that
18
    nature. We're asked to make decisions blindly. You are
19
     standing up there and telling us that you will go negative
20
     if you have to apply to the same rules that we have asked
21
     for TVG and Churchill and Xpressbet to -- I'm sorry, guys.
22
     I can't think. I'm sorry.
23
               You are asking us to make decisions based on
24
     information we don't have. And so while you are up there
25
     telling us that you go negative, I struggle with that.
```

2.

don't want you to go negative and I don't want you to fail, but I also don't have access to what your expenses are.

MR. FORD: The math is very simple. With regard to the proposal on racetracks at wagers, which I have asked that they block us through their wi-fi connections, to block us from wagering at the racetracks, but if the proposal that is on the table that was received Tuesday night, the proposal was very simple: Our hub fee goes from 5 to 4.5 percent. On track 3 percent is deducted. Half goes to Stronach, half goes to the TOC; 3 from 4.5 down to 1.5.

On all wagers that we accept on Stronach content and TVG content, we pay 2 percent to contribute to TVG's broadcasting of those races, 2 percent. So we're at 1.5 percent minus 2 goes to negative half percent. That's before paying tote. That's before paying any fees associated with processing, any of our people, any of our offices. That is before any of our expenses. Just the actual acceptance of the wager under the current proposal for geolocation puts us negative at the racetrack.

That is why I think that this discussion, and this is a complicated discussion of geolocation at the racetrack, is best addressed through the regulatory process of considering the rules which this Board sent out

```
1
     for a comment which can be deliberated and dealt with the
 2.
     situations like that where other parties are trying to put
 3
    us in a negative position with regard to wagering.
 4
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Well, I see Greq
 5
     standing back there; so I am curious as to what he has to
     add to this. I am sure he has something to explain this.
 6
 7
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Any other questions for John?
 8
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Maybe.
 9
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Let's hear Greg first
10
     and then we'll probably get back to John.
11
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                 Greq.
12
               MR. AVIOLI: Greg Avioli, TOC.
13
               I enjoyed the meeting yesterday at the
14
    Pari-Mutuel Committee. There was some good conversation.
15
    And one of the conversations that came up was regards to
16
     SCIU and labor. That had a lot of very valid points of
17
    what they felt should have been in the law, and as
18
    Mr. Baedeker pointed out, it's not what was in the law.
19
    And at the end of the day this Board is governed by the
20
    ADW law.
21
               So my response to Mr. Ford is we have a law that
22
     is very specific in California about what you have to do
23
     to have a privilege to be an ADW taking bets from the
24
     state of California. Number one, you have to have a hub
25
     agreement. They'd had a hub agreement with the Stronach
```

Group, with Santa Anita. Mr. Daruty says that they are not offering them one.

2.

Well, the law provides other alternatives. They can go and seek a hub agreement with Del Mar. They can go seek a hub agreement with the TOC, in fact. So I think it's unfair to put the burden of this on Mr. Daruty because the law does not require him to offer an ADW hub agreement to anybody else.

As regards the geolocation, it's a complicated issue just to refresh everybody on it. We believe, with no doubt in our mind, that a number of customers are wagering every day at racetracks on their phones and on laptops and on computers. Anyone who says that is not true I don't think has been to a racetrack in California.

So for three years we have asked the ADWs to help us address it. The law provides the TOC with a couple approvals, shall we say, for ADW. One is as regard to hub agreement that Mr. Daruty or Rubenstein might enter into, we have the right to either approve the rate of that hub agreement or, if we disagree, it goes to arbitration.

It also says that as regards to wagering placed by an ADW on California hosted residents, ADW -- I'm sorry. ADW wagering on California races by California customers -- so basically instate ADW -- the TOC has to approve any ADW taking those bets.

Because three years of good-faith, honest negotiation got us nowhere with getting the ADWs to comply with our, we believe, very reasonable request to track and have a different financial model for people betting on track, we exercised our right and let people know that this year, starting with the Santa Anita and Golden Gate meet, we were not planning on approving any ADW to take bets from California residents on California hosted races unless they agreed to this proposal.

We are very pleased to report that TVG and

Xpressbet have heavily negotiated that proposal and signed

it. We do not plan on changing our position on this.

And, again, that is the law. If any ADW doesn't like the

way the law is in California, I would recommend the same

advice that Mr. Baedeker gave to the SCIU yesterday is

you need to work to change the law.

Thank you.

2.

COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: I think that's clear.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Mr. Ford.

MR. FORD: One further comment. I would be happy to have a geolocation agreement in which we don't make a dime from on-track wagers. I just don't want to lose money by accommodating on-track wagers through our platform, which we have paid the processing cost for, which we paid the technology for, which we paid our

marketing cost to acquire those customers. I just don't want to lose money. I'm happy not to made a dime from a customer who is at a racetrack.

2.

And with regard to consents, it is true that consents are required, but I think it's incumbent upon this Board that consents are not used in a wrongful or discriminatory manner. This Board's function is to act in the best interests of racing in California.

And all of the entities that are here, they are all licensed by this body, over which you exercise discretionary control, I don't think it's in this Board's best interest nor in the best interest of racing in California to discriminate against the fastest-growing ADW in California which is now 17 percent of Xpressbet's, Stronach's ADW throughout the country and which is continuing to grow and contribute to California racing.

with something that you said, and this is what I take issue with. We have been harping, and I am really good at harping so I know when I am harping. We have been harping on the ADWs about geolocation for years now. I have not seen you or -- and I'm not going to just single out you, John, because that's not fair, or any of the other ADWs come up to us with a plan to figure out a way that would be acceptable to all parties to address the issue of ADWs

taking bets at the racetracks on California-based clients.

2.

And early on in the process this probably could have been handled in a fashion that would have worked well for everybody, but nobody took us at our word and nobody felt that we were going to continue with it. It wasn't until we got to the point where we said okay, maybe we need to regulate it that the TOC was able to get some negotiating ability with the ADWs.

So while I am upset with the fact that you will not be able to survive -- that's not what we want -- I do not know how to unwrite the history that has been written by all the parties to this, not just the regulatory but the ADWs. You came along and you were wonderful for racing. Now we struggle because you basically own racing. And so we are trying to -- we are trying to equalize what is going on here. We are trying to share the wealth and share the pain.

And, you know, for you to stand up there and accuse the Board of basically putting you out of business is not right, it's not accurate, and it's not what is going on. It is a culmination of a long time of us trying to negotiate, and you can't negotiate with a wall and you can't negotiate with people who show up once a year and say "Okay, okay, okay," and then do nothing about it.

This is what happens when we leave things and don't

1 address then. 2. MR. FORD: May I address that? 3 COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Please. 4 MR. FORD: This Board ordered a draft rule to go 5 out for public comment so that there could be a deliberative process with regard to geolocation at 6 7 racetracks. We received -- I have -- we have not had any 8 negotiation. The TOC had decided to negotiate with TVG because they were the big player and then to just pass on 9 10 that agreement to us. We received the draft agreement 11 Tuesday night, this week. The only request that I make in 12 connection with that agreement is that we not be put in a 13 negative position, that we just not lose money when we 14 accept a wager at a racetrack. It's the only request that I have. 15 16 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: It might be 17 important to understand that the rule-making process which 18 you alluded to is continuing. The individual private 19 entities have decided, in advance of that, to negotiate 20 terms for geolocation for this current upcoming year. 21 That's a private business matter pursuant to the language 22 in the law. The Board will consider the geolocation 23 language when it comes back to them. We'll see where the 24 Board wants to take it. 25 MR. FORD: I understand. This agreement that is

2.

proposed by the TOC goes through the year 2018, and regardless of the rule-making process that is going on, and so I just have one simple request, and I don't think it's difficult, which is just not to let us go negative in taking a wager at a racetrack.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Isn't that -- I shouldn't -- that's a request to make of the TOC, is it not? The Board doesn't have authority over the terms of the hub agreement that you all --

MR. FORD: Well, I think this Board -- but this Board acts in the best interest of racing in California.

And it's -- I would submit that it's not in the best interest of racing to let -- and we have talked about leverage between parties in earlier discussion today.

It's not in the best interest of racing to let one party exercise leverage such that it damages another licensed entity in California.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Well, the problem, John, I
think -- well, I won't speak for anyone else. I mean I'm
sympathetic. I will speak for myself. I am sympathetic
to the plight and the concern that you expressed, but I am
not sure that it's in -- you talk about the best interest
of racing. It's not in the best interest of racing for us
to dictate the terms of an agreement between -- I'm not
even sure we can dictate the terms of an agreement between

private parties and that's what has happened here.

2.

As Mr. Baedeker pointed out, what the Stronach Group has agreed to or what Santa Anita and Golden Gate have agreed to and TOC has agreed to with the two entities that they have reached an agreement, those are private agreements between those parties. That is not something we regulated.

I pointed out early on in the conversation with Mr. Daruty that we haven't yet taken a position with respect to geolocation. We are not requiring that, geolocators. That is something that has been agreed to by the parties.

MR. FORD: Right. But by sanctioning and permitting this process to go forward, you are implicitly agreeing to that formula. And so I -- what I would ask, because you certainly have the ability as a condition of licensing to treat ADWs fairly, you have the ultimate authority to decide how we interact among ourselves and whether people who are licensed by this Board are treated fairly.

COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: I don't -- I don't think -- I don't think it's the intent of legislature to support noncompetitive practices, and I consider this to be a noncompetitive approach, and I don't know what we can do about it today.

```
CHAIRMAN WINNER: Well, you have a license.
1
 2.
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Hopefully we can do
    something about it tomorrow.
 3
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Well, obviously there is a
 4
 5
     license request before us for two -- for two race meets
    that are coming up in a few days. And the only thing we
 6
 7
    can do today is to not grant the license or to stipulate
    within the license certain conditions. I'm not sure that
 8
    is what we want to do but that is your call.
 9
10
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: I guess I'm trying to
    understand how the ADW situation ties into the license, to
11
12
    begin with.
13
               MR. DARUTY: May I make a comment?
14
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yeah. Please.
15
              MR. DARUTY: So I think this whole discussion,
16
     in some ways, makes my point. It is a very complicated
17
     issue. There are conflicting economic views on what the
18
    deal should look like. As Mr. Ford said, we used to give
19
     them a 5 percent hub fee. This year we submitted one at
20
     4.5.
          Why? Because that is what the TOC told us they
21
    would require; otherwise, there were going to arbitrate.
22
    We don't want to get in the middle of an arbitration.
23
               We don't want to get in the middle of a big
24
    fight over whether it is 5 or 4.5 and whether we now
25
     implement new blocking technology for his ADW as opposed
```

```
to geolocation technology for other ADWs. Where does it
1
 2.
    end?
              We have limited time in a day. We have limited
 3
 4
    management resources. We have got a great management
 5
           We've got a meet we're getting ready for in ten
 6
    days. We've got a CTT agreement that we have already
 7
    committed to you we are going to go try to resolve in good
 8
    faith. There's only so much time in the day to resolve so
9
    many issues, and to get us, our team, caught in the middle
10
    of this whole fight for ADW companies that, when you look
11
    on the sheet that you all the put out yesterday,
12
    contribute zero percent of the handle --
13
               MR. FORD: That's not right, Scott.
14
              MR. DARUTY: And -- well, that was --
15
              MR. FORD: Not for us.
16
               MR. DARUTY: That's what's on the sheet.
17
              MR. FORD: Not for us.
18
               MR. DARUTY: I mean it's not zero in the sense
19
     that we all know there's something --
20
               MR. FORD: No, but it's not for us. That's not
21
    for us.
22
               MR. DARUTY: Okay. So, if I may, there are ADWs
23
    on the sheet that are zero when you round to the nearest
24
    percentage, and so where does it end?
25
               If five more ADWs come, do we hire ten more
```

```
1
    people to deal with all the ADW companies that we are
 2.
    going to have to work with to get zero percent more
 3
    handle?
             I mean --
 4
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: That should be a
    decision that the Board makes, in my opinion. That should
 5
    not be a decision that your company should make.
 6
 7
     should be a decision that the Board makes. Everyone
 8
    should have the right to compete fairly. If it doesn't
    make economic sense, it is something that should be
 9
10
    addressed by this Board to make that decision, and it
    shouldn't be done under these circumstances.
11
12
               MR. DARUTY: Well, I respect that viewpoint, but
13
     that's not what the law says, and I would also say --
14
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Oh, and I don't
15
    disagree with you, if that's what the law says, but we're
16
    not here to obstruct the law. But hopefully, we can
17
    change it, if it's necessary.
18
               MR. DARUTY: But we are not the gatekeeper.
19
    There are any number of other parties they can contract
20
    with in the state of California, and if they want to go
21
     spend the time and energy to do it, they can.
22
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: I understand.
23
               John.
24
               MR. FORD: Yes. I have a simple request, which
25
     is as a licensed ADW in California is to be able to
```

1 compete. And two days ago, we were sent a hub agreement. 2. One day ago we were sent the geolocation agreement. After ADWs' committee meeting yesterday when views were 3 4 expressed with regard to fairness in the industry and we're under this geolocation agreement, the Stronach Group 5 gets -- only suffers a reduction of 1.5 percent off of 6 7 their hub fee where we result in a reduction of 3 percent 8 off of our lower hub fee, we have a presentation today in which now the hub agreement that we received is no longer 9 10 being offered. 11 And my request is that this Board, in the best 12 interest of racing, for an ADW that is growing faster than 13 anybody else that it requests a negotiation in good faith 14 for the next 30 days as to address both the geolocation 15 and the hub agreement. 16 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: The question is, 17 is that something the Board can do? I think the Board can 18 probably do whatever it wants. It can do that --19 COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: It's doing the same 20 thing in 30 days that --21 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Well, if you look 22 at the analysis that was provided under this agenda item, 23 the last month at the Board meeting, the Board granted Los 24 Alamitos, Golden Gate, and Santa Anita conditional 25 approval of their race meet application licensees for

2.

licensure. The applicants were to provide any remaining outstanding documents to the Board within 30 days of the meeting. If the association -- this is from last -- from the conversation last month, if the associations did not submit all outstanding documents within 30 days the Board would consider its option to sanction.

I think that followed the discussion that the Board did not consider denying the licensees to be in the best interest of racing, in other words, not opening racing on December 26th.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: It did consider sanctions.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: It did consider sanctions. So I think -- as a matter of fact, before you ask the question, Commissioner, it seemed to me that we should have a legal analysis performed of the issues that have been raised by the Board members today and also by the stakeholders, and so we will attempt to do that as soon as practical. So -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: No. Go ahead. Go ahead.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: So you have the option today to say, you know, parties are negotiating in good faith, we will grant the licenses perhaps just subject to submission of these final documents with the presumption that, based on what you have heard today, they will be submitted, or you can attach another deadline to

```
1
     the submission of those documents, and then you can -- if
 2.
     those documents are not received within that timeline, you
     can take action against the licensee, any licensee that's
 3
 4
     a party to the documents.
 5
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                 Just to clarify, there are no
    required documents, legally required documents that have
 6
 7
    not been submitted; is that correct?
 8
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: I am going to
     defer to Mr. Miller on this because I know in the past
9
10
     that there have been situations with TOC, for instance,
11
     and I think it was in the early years when I was at
    Hollywood Park; so it would have been in the 1990s where
12
13
     there simply was not an agreement with TOC. There wasn't
14
     going to be an agreement with TOC because the parties were
15
     at an impasse and the Board recognized that basically
16
     there couldn't be an agreement and they granted a license
17
     without the document.
18
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: But there is a TOC document?
19
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: There is.
20
     just talking about the legality of --
21
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Right. But the one -- but the
22
     CTT document is the one that is outstanding and that isn't
23
     required within the law.
24
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: According to --
25
    yes, that is correct.
```

```
CHAIRMAN WINNER: So the only documents that are
1
 2.
    outstanding are not required documents. That's my point,
 3
     if I am correct. Then it seems to me that it's difficult
 4
     to sanction them for not providing something that isn't
 5
    required within the law.
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: I believe that's
 6
 7
    correct, and I believe the only outstanding item that is
    required at this time is the TOC agreement for Golden
 8
    Gate, and you said that that is imminent.
 9
10
               MR. DARUTY: It made no sense to negotiate two
11
    agreements at the same time when the issues were
12
    overlapping so we concluded the Southern agreement, which
13
    was signed within the last 48 hours, and that is being
14
    used to create the Northern California document.
15
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Mr. Miller, is it
16
    true that all of the documents that are legally required
17
    have been submitted?
18
               MR. MILLER: Well --
19
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Or with this one
20
    exception, and it is anticipated that it will be submitted
21
    within, what, 24 hours, 48 hours?
22
               MR. MILLER: Something like that.
23
               MR. DARUTY: Quickly, I can't speak for the TOC
24
    but it is a top priority.
25
               MR. MILLER: Yeah. The horsemen's approval of
```

```
1
     the ADW, is that -- Item No. 2 here on the list for
 2.
     Southern -- for the Los Angeles Turf Club, I haven't heard
 3
     anything about that.
 4
               MR. DARUTY: I will let Greg address that, if he
 5
     wants.
               MR. AVIOLI: Greg Avioli, TOC.
 6
 7
               And first, reiterate, we see no issues with
 8
    Golden Gate. That can be done in a matter of days. It is
     just drafting it. Apologize it's not done yet. Got a lot
 9
10
     going on, as you all do.
11
               I am going to be repetitive, I guess, because I
12
     think when I answered that last question it didn't make
13
                   The TOC, under the law, has to approve the
     sense to you.
14
     selling of the ADW signal to ADWs who will be taking bets
     from California residents on California hosted racing --
15
16
               MR. MILLER: All I'm looking for is a "yes" or a
17
     "no" on Item No. 2.
18
               MR. AVIOLI: Well, I think I said the answer is
19
     "yes" to TVG, "yes" to Xpressbet, "no" to anybody else at
20
     this point in time.
21
               MR. MILLER: But that was as to geolocator.
22
               MR. AVIOLI: No, sir.
23
               MR. MILLER: Okay.
24
               MR. AVIOLI: That's why -- that's why I can't
25
     give you just a "yes."
```

MR. MILLER: Okay.

2.

MR. AVIOLI: We have made it clear that we are going to link our approval rights in this situation to an agreement -- it's not just geolocation, by the way. It actually sets rates for two years. I think the ADWs are quite pleased with this agreement and go on to -- so no, we do not have an agreement. We're the same terms, no changes that were signed by the two biggest ADWs. We have offered to all of them right now, okay? So we're not discriminating.

I do need to make a point, though, because I think you guys are being led down a false path. There is no obligation that it's Mr. Daruty and Santa Anita that has to issue Lien Games' their hub agreement. Lien Games' first hub agreement, when the TOC wouldn't do it and the Stronach Group wouldn't do it, they went to CARF and got one. There's nothing that prohibits them from doing that again. They can go to Del Mar. So you just can't put them on this license and say it's anti-competitive if you don't agree with that. The law says there's lots of ways to get a hub agreement.

The last thing I would point out, we spent a lot of time, over an hour today, talking about Lien Games. In the last year, 12 months, they accounted for 8.6 million of thoroughbred ADW handle, or less than 3 percent.

```
CHAIRMAN WINNER: Just to clarify, BetAmerica
1
 2.
     and Lien Games are the same?
 3
               MR. AVIOLI: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
 4
               So -- and my last point is we would -- I can't
 5
     say how strongly we would object to -- Commissioner
    Krikorian, the concept of the Board is going to stay for
 6
 7
     30 days or require 30 days negotiation for the TOC to
 8
     reach agreement with an ADW on a statutory right that we
9
    have, we have exercised in the past for 15 years, all it
10
     wants to link it to one ADW, I think, is highly irregular,
11
     and, again, the law is the law, and I would urge the Board
12
    not to overstep your bounds in that regard.
13
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Excuse me. I didn't --
14
     I did not suggest about 30 days. Someone else --
15
               MR. AVIOLI: Oh, I apologize.
16
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Okay. And the second
17
     thing is can you clarify that you are making the same
18
    proposal to all the --
19
               MR. AVIOLI: Any ADW that has a hub agreement,
20
    we are making the same proposal.
21
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: So, in other words --
22
     let me just make sure that I understand it.
23
               If Lien Games goes to CARF --
24
               MR. AVIOLI: Yes.
25
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: -- and asks for a hub
```

```
1
    agreement --
 2.
               MR. AVIOLI: Right.
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: -- the idea would be is
 3
 4
     that they would get a more favorable hub agreement than is
 5
    available at Santa Anita? Is that what we are -- is that
    what we are suggesting they could try to do?
 6
 7
               MR. AVIOLI: I can't say it is more favorable
 8
    but they could get one. Here's how it works,
9
    Commissioner.
10
               They get a hub agreement and no one can object
11
    to the hub agreement. TOC can't object to the hub
12
    agreement. They are in. All we can object to is rate.
13
    So they can get a hub agreement from CARF or Del Mar.
14
    They can't -- as a matter of the law, they can't get one
15
    from Los Alamitos but they can get one from Stronach
16
    Group, CARF, or Del Mar. Any ADW can.
17
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Okay. So what would be
18
    the advantage of doing that?
19
               MR. AVIOLI: Well, if Stronach Group won't give
20
    them one, they have a couple other options. The advantage
21
    would be they'd have one. They could actually get one
22
     from the TOC as well. We have the right to grant hub
23
    agreements as well.
24
              MR. DARUTY: The advantage, if I might say, the
25
    advantage is Santa Anita doesn't have to spent all its
```

management time being caught in the middle of all these issues and trying to balance everybody's interest, which is impossible because the interests don't align.

2.

COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: So it would be -behoove -- it would behoove a private party or private
interest to find another way of accommodating a situation,
which none of us envisioned many years ago. But there
is -- there is another way to go about it rather than
trying to force everybody into the same box.

MR. AVIOLI: And then my final comment, just to the Board, to the extent the Board is considering anything with regard to Lien Games, is this concept that somehow they are losing money on an on-track bet, couple things.

I am sure if you asked Mr. Hindman to allocate the cost of their TV network over their ADW betting from California, they'd have their own economics as well. We know the economics to horseracing and how it is being impacted by on-track ADW, and every one of the ADWs to -- all of them we have talked to believe -- they say it's less than 2 percent of the betting.

So we have come up with a solution to solve a big problem that we believe for the state of California and to have one ADW representing 2 or 3 percent of the market come in and say don't do your on-track ADW because it makes it less attractive for us to do business, I think

1 that's a statistically insignificant point. 2. MR. MILLER: For the Board, Mr. Avioli said the magic word. What the TOC is doing is linking two 3 4 agreements together, the hub agreement, horsemen's 5 approval, and the geolocators. Two separate items are being joined together in order to get their approval so --6 7 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Which they have the right to 8 do. MR. MILLER: Which they have the right to do. 9 10 With regard to needing -- needing the trainers association 11 agreement, the Board in the past has always requested 12 So I just point that out. Because in nineteen 13 six -- one, two, three, I think it is, it talks about 14 getting an agreement between the association and the 15 trainers association as to backstretch issues and a couple 16 of other items. But if the Board wants to go ahead and 17 issue the license without the agreement of the trainers 18 association, it can do that. 19 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Brad. 20 MR. BLACKWELL: Brad Blackwell on behalf of 21 TwinSpires. First of all, I agree with the majority of 22 the comments from Mr. Ford, and I do want to weigh in on 23 some of the perceptions and misperceptions about the

Mr. Ford is correct that the majority of those

74

24

25

geolocation process.

2.

conversations have been with TVG, you know, one of our competitors. We have not been privy or invited in those discussions. When this issue came up through the regulatory process, we did participate in each turn, and we expressed concerns and some insight into that and we were expecting that to run its proper course.

And so what happened, similar to Mr. Ford, we received an agreement yesterday, late yesterday, in fact, and also were told that that agreement on the record yesterday came with a threat of cutting off content if we do not agree to it. And I think that is something the Board should certainly be aware of and frown upon because, again, this is an issue that should run its proper course, the regulatory process. This is a complicated, costly, and unknown impact on the industry.

So it is easy for one party to say, hey, we want to experiment and find out how much handle was there. It is another thing when ADWs are being asked to incur yet another cost that is specific to operating in California.

So we are happy to have discussions. We're happy to work the regulatory process, but we certainly have major concern for receiving an agreement on, you know, little time before that meet starts with potential implications on the racing industry and certainly customers throughout the country when these issues are

1 | kind of being forced the last minute.

2.

COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Brad, I take issue with last minute. You have been in the room many times when this has come up, and I have listened to, and this is nonspecific about who said what. But we have been told that -- gosh, I don't know where to start -- you don't make any money, poor you, a lot of obfuscation.

And the only thing we don't get from the ADWs, we get this, which is for those who -- who are just listing. We get a lot of chatter but we don't get a lot of action.

I will say it again. We do not see your financials. If indeed you are losing money, if I were in a business and I were losing money in the state of California, I'd run. I'd get out. It is very difficult to accept the words of some of the ADWs that they are losing money here when they don't provide us with financials that we can look up and understand all their fees and what they have charged, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. Most of us up here have owned and run businesses; so we understand numbers.

It's frustrating to sit and to listen and to be told how you cannot make it -- not you personally, but the ADWs based on what we are doing. We have been talking this, adjusting these -- the geolocation specifically for

2.

a long time. We gave the ADWs an opportunity to come to us with things they thought might be more fair to everyone.

This isn't a last-minute thing. It's only last minute in terms of we finally got things moving. This is far from last minute, and I want to urge upon the entire community that this is a surprise to no one, and the TOC is the only organization that had the ability and the contractural power given to them by the legislature to move things along and make it happen.

If you go through the Board, it's going to be a lot more tedious and a lot more difficult and it will take time, time that we don't have. We can't wait the year, the two, the three years, however long it takes this Board to pass on new regulations of this sort. So anyone -- anytime somebody stands up here like you are standing up here and acting surprised, I'm sorry, I don't know why you would be surprised.

MR. BLACKWELL: I am surprised because we received an agreement a day ago that was negotiated by related parties without our knowledge and then that is being presented at the last minute. Again, discussions have been going on. And when you talk about the time frame, again, those discussions are with another party. It's not with TwinSpires.

What we observed and what Mr. Ford observed was 1 2. the Board, the TOC, decided to go a regulatory process and 3 that's when we really had a seat at the table. We can't 4 invite ourselves to meetings. When something shows up on the agenda, we know it's an item and we have showed up to 5 express concern, to express insight into the issue. 6 7 So, again, our problem is receiving an agreement 8 that was already agreed upon by our competitors in a negotiated manner, some of which are related parties, and 9 10 now those terms are being dictated to us under the threat 11 of cutting off content. And, again, I would think the Board would discourage this type of behavior. 12 13 Thank you. 14 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Commissioners, again, I just reiterate. While certainly the Board has 15 16 expressed an interest in the geolocation issue, at this 17 point it is not responsible for the geolocation issue. 18 That has been a matter of negotiation between the parties. 19 As you know, the proposed rule is in the pipeline. 20 We haven't sent it out yet, have we, for 45 21 days? It's about to be sent out for 45 days. I think --22 didn't the Board make that move? 23 MR. LAIRD: Reported it to Staff --24 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Yes. So Staff is 25 preparing it to go out for public comment. Everybody will

2.

have an opportunity to do so at that time. It will come back and be agendized, and everybody can get up and speak their piece about the regulatory part of geolocation.

At this point, it is a matter of negotiation between the parties. And I think everybody is trying to negotiate publically here today. But to set the record straight, right now it's not a rule.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yeah. I really want to stress what Mr. Baedeker is saying. We keep hearing in this discussion concern that somehow this is something that we have promulgated. That's just not the case. The case is, as Mr. Baedeker said and as we've said and I said earlier, this is out -- this hasn't even gone out yet for public comment from the standpoint of what the Board's regulations are. The whole geolocator issue is one between the TOC and the various different parties, and it is the TOC who has been pursuing this issue and pressing this issue, not the Board.

As you know from the meeting yesterday, the Board had some other concerns with respect to charitable contributions and other kinds of issues that have been — that those who were there are very familiar with and our various concerns with respect to what the ADWs are doing to support racing in California and, obviously, various representatives of the ADWs all got up and told us how

1 much they were doing for California racing. 2. But nobody other than, by the way, BetAmerica, Lien, did indicate that they were willing to make further 3 4 contributions to charitable organizations in California, I 5 think. I think they expressly indicated the Winners Foundation. And following the meeting, Watch and Wager 6 7 made the point that they too would be willing to make further charitable contributions in California. 8 9 Those, of course, are the two that did stand up 10 and respond to the request of the Board that are being 11 left out of the agreement, but that agreement has nothing to do with us. So it is not something that we can 12 13 dictate, and I am not sure it is something we should 14 dictate under the law. 15 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: So at this point, 16 Commissioners, I think the matter before you is relevant 17 to the race meet applications that were awarded 18 conditionally at the last meeting to L.A. Turf Club and 19 That's the matter that is before you. Obviously, 20 any options that you want to consider I think --21 Including sanctions, which was CHAIRMAN WINNER: 22 raised at the last meeting, should we choose to do that. 23 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Yes. So I think we heard from Mr. Miller that, from a legal standpoint, 24

25

the only outstanding -- that all of the required documents

```
have been submitted or, as we heard, have been finalized
1
 2.
    and are about to be submitted. It is not a legal
    requirement that the CTT agreement be part of the
 3
 4
    application, although it has been requested by the Board
 5
    as a matter of practice.
               So you have options. You can go ahead and,
 6
 7
    obviously, approve the licenses. You can extend the --
 8
    your approval by any period of time that you would like
 9
    with the condition that the CTT agreement be submitted
10
    when completed, or you could deny the licenses altogether.
11
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                 Is there a motion?
12
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: So moved.
13
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: What are you moving?
14
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH:
                                       I'm moving --
15
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: All three?
16
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: All the above.
17
              No, I move that we grant the license.
18
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: With no sanctions?
19
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Who are we going to
20
    sanction? For what purpose?
21
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: I am just asking you the
22
    question.
23
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: No. No sanctions.
24
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. There is a motion on
25
    the floor to move the license. Let's take them one at a
```

```
1
     time.
               The Santa Anita license for the December -- the
 2.
 3
    meet beginning on December 21st, I think legally,
 4
     actually, we'll open on the 26th.
 5
               Is there a second to the motion?
 6
               Anybody second?
 7
               I'll second. Chairman seconds.
 8
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: I vote --
 9
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Auerbach?
10
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Yes.
11
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Chairman votes yes.
12
     Commissioner Krikorian?
13
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: I'll vote yes.
14
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis?
15
               COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
16
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. The motion carries by a
17
     four to nothing vote.
               Let's go on to Golden Gate. Same motion?
18
19
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Same motion.
20
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Same motion for Golden Gate
21
     from Commissioner Auerbach. Chairman seconds.
22
               How do you vote, Commissioner Solis?
23
               COMMISSIONER SOLIS:
                                    Yes.
24
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Krikorian?
25
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Yes.
```

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Chairman votes yes. 1 2. Commissioner Auerbach? 3 COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Thank you. Have a good meet. 4 CHAIRMAN WINNER: 5 Have two good meets. 6 MR. DARUTY: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you. 8 Going on, then, to the next item on the agenda: Discussion and action by the Board regarding the third 9 10 week of Sonoma County Fair's August 2, 2017 through 11 August 22, 2017 allocated race dates and whether the 12 Sonoma County Fair will conduct racing during the third 13 week. 14 Now, those who were involved will recall at the 15 time that we granted the third week to Sonoma there had 16 not yet been a decision by Santa Rosa that they would 17 conduct the third week and we had -- we left an option 18 open that if they did not conduct a third week that week 19 would go essentially to Humboldt, which would move the 20 calendar but we have been informed by Sonoma that they 21 decided to run the third week of the meet, which will run 22 not contig- -- that third week will not run contiguous 23 with the fair, but they will run it, at any rate, as they 24 did, I think, this year. 25 So we have Becky Bartling is here to speak on

```
1
                  I'm not sure there is any action to take
     that issue.
 2.
    other than to affirm the granting of that third week to
 3
    Sonoma; is that correct?
 4
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: That's correct.
 5
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. Becky.
 6
               MS. BARTLING: Great. Thanks -- oops, I don't
7
     think the mike is on.
 8
               Becky Bartling, CEO Sonoma County Fair and
9
    Exposition, Inc.
10
               Yes, it was -- I went back to the Board after
    the discussion. We took a leap of faith and have moved
11
12
    our fair dates and will be running the first two weeks of
13
    August. We bump right up against the school year; so
14
    we're hoping that from promotion and kind of various
15
    activities we can really create a strong attendance.
16
    Because of that, we get two weeks with the fair, which I
17
    think is important. We really, really want to help the
18
    racing industry. We think it very strongly that it is
19
     important to have attendance at the fair.
                                                Those folks
20
    that go to the race meets, so it was a long discussion,
21
    but the Board agreed to move the race meet -- or the fair
22
    date so we have two weeks with the fair, and then we'll
23
    have the additional week afterwards so --
24
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: We appreciate it very much.
25
               MS. BARTLING: Well, thank you.
```

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Larry Swartzlander. 1 You 2. filled out a card. You want to speak on this? 3 MR. SWARTZLANDER: Yes, sir. Larry Swartzlander with CARF. 4 5 I just want to restate that, you know, when the November meeting and throughout all the dates discussion 6 7 we'd specifically asked Ms. Bartling if they were 8 interested in not racing that third week. At one point, Ms. Bartling, at a CARF meeting, said that they did not 9 10 want to race that week. We offered to race Humboldt 11 during that week and also consider CARF coming up with some -- offering services to Santa Rosa, for example, 12 13 Paymaster, Racing Secretary, and also even look into a 14 commission sharing. I mean, this would certainly help out 15 Humboldt who at this point is being raced overlap by 16 Golden Gate for two weeks, which puts us in a scrambling 17 position with them financially. I just want to restate 18 that, you know, CARF still has that proposal on the table 19 and would be willing to run that week. 20 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you. I believe that 21 based on the meeting that we had and the motion that was 22 passed at the last meeting that that decision has been 23 The decision was that if Santa Rosa wanted to run made. 24 that third week they had that date; so I don't believe

there's any action to take other than to affirm the race

85

```
1
    dates that were granted at the last Board meeting.
 2.
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: I think the action was
 3
    that they had decided not to take --
 4
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: They decided not to, that's
 5
    correct, but since they have decided to --
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: We don't have to do
 6
 7
    anything.
 8
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Make a motion to affirm
    it.
 9
10
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. It has been moved to
11
             Seconded by Commissioner Solis. Commissioner
    affirm.
12
    Auerbach votes yes. Chairman votes yes.
13
               And Commissioner Solis votes yes. So thank you,
14
    and good luck.
15
              MS. BARTLING: Thank you. And I invite you all
16
    to come up to wine country the first two weeks in August
17
    and visit us during the race meet.
18
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. Let's go on to item
19
    No. 6: Discussion by the Board regarding the submission
20
    to the Board of the Southern California Vanning and
21
    Stabling agreement, to include proposed financial and
22
    operational plans for the upcoming calendar year, as
23
    required pursuant to Business and Professions Code
24
    Section 19607.1.
25
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Commissioners, you
```

1 have a spreadsheet that we received from the Southern 2. committee on the financial breakdown of expenditures for 3 the coming year. We did not get a description of how the 4 stabling would operate. I know that that matter has come 5 before the Board in the past, and I think it is probably clear, but it would help to have somebody from the 6 7 committee come up and reiterate the program for 2017. 8 CHAIRMAN WINNER: So could somebody from Stabling and Vanning come up. Southern California. 9 10 Mr. Avioli, nice to see you again, sir. 11 MR. AVIOLI: Sure. Greg Avioli from the TOC 12 and, I guess, I'm also the Chair of the Stabling and 13 Vanning -- South Stabling and Vanning. 14 By way of review, this is the agreement that we banged out over the summer with all the parties in the 15 16 South, including Santa Anita, Los Al, the TOC, and Del 17 And it was also linked with the race dates, at least Mar. 18 agreement amongst the parties, that if the race dates 19 stayed the same, this agreement would continue for a 20 three-year period. 21 The high points of this are that -- well, begin 22 with San Luis Rey would no longer be used as off-site 23 stabling -- excuse me, excuse me. Galway. I apologize. 24 Galway would no longer be used as off-site stabling but

the stabling off-site will continue at San Luis Rey and as

87

```
1
    well at Santa -- right here at Los Al and at Santa Anita.
 2.
               I don't know how much level of specificity you'd
     like me to go into, but I can give you the number of
 3
 4
     stalls. I mean basically it's a three-year deal. What is
 5
    changing for the past is Los Al is adding 150 stalls here.
    Those stalls were actually delivered today, and we had
 6
 7
    hoped -- Al had mentioned earlier that we had hoped to
 8
    have it in place by January 1. That looks like it will be
 9
    mid-January. To be conservative, we're going to keep
10
    Galway open until the end of January. So as of February
    1st we'll have 850 stalls here --
11
12
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Is it the same price for
13
    Galway as it -- as it has been?
14
               MR. AVIOLI: Yes. For the month, yes.
15
               The stabling rate will go up at San Luis Rey --
16
    excuse me, at Los Alamitos in recognition of the fact that
    they will be providing 850 stalls versus 700. The
17
18
    stabling rate will stay the same at Santa Anita as it was
19
    this year.
20
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Just to clarify, the
21
    three-year agreement, which is tied to the three-year race
22
    dates agreement --
23
               MR. AVIOLI: Yes, sir.
24
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- is a rolling three-year
25
    agreement, as I think we --
```

```
MR. AVIOLI: Correct.
1
 2.
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: And the Board has discretion
 3
    to change that agreement. I mean it has to come back
 4
    before the Board --
 5
               MR. AVIOLI: Yes. I believe --
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- on an annual basis.
 6
 7
               MR. AVIOLI: Commissioner Krikorian made certain
 8
    that was part of the motion; so either the Board retains
 9
    their right to actually do whatever schedule that you
10
    choose, and the ramification is that if the Board were to
11
    alternate what the race weeks might look like for 2018
12
    versus '17, the contractural remedy for that is any of the
13
     four parties could then opt out of the agreement.
14
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Correct.
15
               MR. AVIOLI: But you retain your full rights as
16
    you do under the law.
17
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Right. Okay. So I just
18
    wanted to clarify that any of the parties could then --
19
     should the Board change the race dates from what we have
20
    agreed to --
21
               MR. AVIOLI: Right.
22
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- then -- then any of the
23
    four parties under the agreement could withdraw from the
24
    agreement.
25
               MR. AVIOLI: Right. And furthermore, it's --
```

```
1
     the bound term is one year; so at the end of next year any
 2.
    party can withdraw if they choose. So it's really a
 3
     full -- it's a one-year commitment going in.
 4
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Right.
 5
               Is there any -- any other discussion on that
     item?
 6
 7
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Just to thank
 8
     Commissioner Krikorian for putting that in there.
 9
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN:
                                        Thank you.
10
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Thank you. Maybe it's
11
     one less thing to argue about.
12
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN:
                                        Sorry.
13
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: We'd probably find a way to
14
     arque about it.
               I don't think there is any action on this item.
15
16
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Just for review,
17
    yes.
18
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: All right. Let's go on to:
19
               Discussion by the Board regarding the submission
20
     to the Board of the Northern California Vanning and
21
     Stabling agreement to include proposed financial and
22
     operational plans for the upcoming calendar year, as
23
     required pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
24
     19607.3.
25
               Who -- let's see. I have a bunch of cards on
```

1 that one. 2. COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Do we have to approve 3 the last one? CHAIRMAN WINNER: No, you don't have to. 4 It's 5 just informational. There's no approval required. 6 Okay. Wow. 7 Gentlemen. 8 MR. DARUTY: Scotty Daruty on behalf of Golden 9 Gate Fields. This one is not quite as straightforward as 10 Southern California, which is why you have got a bunch of 11 people sitting up here. 12 CHAIRMAN WINNER: And a bunch people who have 13 submitted cards to speak. 14 MR. DARUTY: I will try to be succinct in the 15 summary of the operational plan approved by the Northern 16 California Stabling and Vanning Committee. That plan 17 entails stabling at Pleasanton through January 15th of 18 this coming year. Originally, the plan was for Golden 19 Gate not to utilize that facility as an auxiliary facility 20 at all during its new meet. However, during the course of 21 the Stabling and Vanning Committee, there was the view 22 expressed that perhaps it would be nice to extend the 23 period of time so that people weren't in a position of 24 moving out during the holidays. And so Golden Gate agreed 25

91

with that and agreed to fund the operation of Pleasanton

as a stabling facility through January 15th; so that is the first part.

2.

At that point, the Pleasanton facility would no longer be used as an auxiliary facility for Golden Gate Fields. That's not a comment on whether they are open or whether they are not open. It's just to say that Golden Gate Fields and the Stabling and Vanning Committee would not pay for that facility.

Then on May 1 Pleasanton would reopen as an auxiliary facility through, I think it is June 17th or June 18th, which is the day on which Pleasanton needs to open in connection with its race meet. Pleasanton would then stay open through the balance of its race meet at which point it would close for the remainder of the year.

At all times during the year Golden Gate Fields would be open for stabling. Obviously, during its live meet it would be, and then also during the summer fair season it would remain open for live stabling and receive reimbursement from the Stabling and Vanning fund; so that is what the committee passed.

It was a two-to-one vote with one abstention.

The members who voted in favor of it were TOC and Golden

Gate Fields. CARF voted against and Sonoma abstained, but

under the terms as set forth in the statute that motion

carried. And I would be happy to answer any questions or

1 let others speak.

2.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Excuse me. Before you go to the next speaker, I probably should set the table for the commissioners. In consideration of the Golden Gate race meet application, the Board determined that there were sufficient stalls at Golden Gate to conduct its race meet, its upcoming race meet. That was previously on the agenda and previously considered by the Board.

This agenda item is a result of passage of the new legislation governing vanning and stabling both in the South and the North, and the operative language in the law is as follows:

The organization administering the off-site stabling and vanning program shall submit its proposed financial and operational plans for the upcoming calendar year to the Board for review no later than November 1 of the preceding year.

Because this legislation was signed right before that deadline and because of the outstanding issues that still existed at that point, it was impossible, basically, for the -- for the committees to -- at least in the North to submit its plan; so staff notified them that they were noncompliance of the law at that point, and they have since submitted the plan and are before you today. But

```
1
     the law calls for the Board's review of the vanning and
 2.
     stabling plan.
 3
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Just as a legal clari- -- I
     agree with that, by the way. It calls for a review, and
 4
 5
     that's what we are doing. But where is legal counsel --
     Phil, under the law, with respect to the Board's
 6
 7
     authority, under best interest of racing, does that not
 8
     give us broader responsibility or the potential
     responsibility with respect to this issue?
 9
10
               I'm not suggesting that we would do that.
11
     just want to clarify what role we can play.
12
               Phil.
               MR. LAIRD: Phil Laird, CHRB Staff.
13
14
               Yeah.
                      Under the law, essentially the Board does
     still have sort of a continuing right to adjudicate
15
16
     disputes that arise regarding vanning and stabling.
     is fairly broad. It's the final subsection of the statute
17
18
     and that should be reflected in the Board package.
19
                                 Thank you. The matter is
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
20
    before the Board for review at this point, but we do have
21
     that authority, should we choose to use it.
22
               Larry.
23
               MR. SWARTZLANDER: Thank you, Scott.
24
               What I would like to do is I would like to go
     through the history of what we -- how we got here.
25
```

the plan that the Vanning and Stabling Committee approved,
which CARF voted no on. Pointing out the areas of
concern, challenges for CARF and the racing in the summer
and to provide you some conclusions as to what my

discussion points are.

About three years ago, I think Joe Morris can help me on this one, but we had a meeting at Golden Gate. I know Cal Rainey was there, Joe Morris was there. I'm not sure if Scott Daruty was. But we were at a deficit in the North of over a million dollars. And we addressed the issue how were we going to deal with this as stakeholders in the North.

The final bottom line was everybody reduced their rate. Golden Gate went down to ten thousand; Pleasanton reduced theirs to five or six. But anyway, over the course of the following year in 2015 we were able to reduce -- eliminate the deficit and get back in a position where in 2016 the plan was that we would run until October 13th and then we would close Pleasanton and the fund would still be healthy. Well, we know that didn't happen.

CARF signed with Golden Gate to amend -- to extend the stabling agreement, the 2016 agreement through the end of the year, December 24th, and as Mr. Daruty just mentioned, it has been amended by the new agreement to run

through January 15th, which provides a deficit of

\$900,000, not counting the January 15th but the original

agreement that terminated December 24th.

So now we are sitting with a \$900,000 deficit.

So let me go through the points in the plan that was you

So let me go through the points in the plan that was voted on by the committee. They want to close Pleasanton 15

January, open on 1 May, and close it on 20 June. That leaves no auxiliary stabling for the fairs on fair racetracks. This is a major concern for out-of-state horses who want a base, based out of Pleasanton. They come there to base. They have no intent of running at Golden Gate.

This is a concern for the emerging breeds.

Anybody that wants a base and they have emerging breeds, the thoroughbreds that only run on fairs can't go to Golden Gate. Most of the horses -- I won't say most, 90 percent plus of the horses that run on the fairs are dirt horses. Golden Gate is tapeta. We cannot train during this particular plan.

Also, the Golden Gate -- not Golden Gate, but the plan requires CARF and Santa Rosa both to raise our rate to 2 percent and Golden Gate only raises theirs to 2 percent for May and June.

And then also, the deficit agreement was they would be, by their plan, a 70/30 commitment: Thirty

2.

percent paid by CARF and Santa Rosa and 70 percent by Golden Gate Fields. These two actions combined put an additional financial burden on the fairs and Santa Rosa of \$389,000 in purses and \$389,000 of commissions.

And as far as Golden Gate opting in and opting out under the new statute, their position is that probably about mid April they would pay their portion of the deficit and they will opt out of the vanning program. And then based on -- I think we have a small deficit created by their current plan to run during the summer that they would opt back in in October, November until that deficit were paid out and then they would opt out, whereas CARF has no opting in or opt out. We are in for 2 percent for the entire thing.

So based on some conversations that we had had with Joe Morris and Scott Daruty, there were some things that we had put in our plan, CARF -- and by the way, also, this particular plan was presented to CARF the night before the Vanning and Stabling agreement. So I had no opportunity to talk to my board and my members and try to come up with a counterplan. We already had our proposal, and my intent of the meeting we called was to discuss our intentions and theirs. So basically, we got a plan that I got outvoted on, and so I still wanted to present to you what CARF's plan was and why.

```
Number one, we would raise the takeout to
1
 2.
     2 percent for everybody. And the reason I say that is
 3
    because there is no -- you will see on the next agenda
 4
     item that the South is raising theirs by a flat
 5
     0.5 percent to 2 for everybody.
               Well, in the South, you know, Santa Anita
 6
 7
     contributes 60 percent of the handle, Del Mar 28, Los
 8
    Alamitos 7, and L.A. County Fair, 9 percent. And in the
 9
    North it's a 70/30.
10
               Under the plan that they are presenting to you,
11
    we are being singled out that we should pay 30 percent,
    but in the South, no. We are asking that everybody pays
12
13
     2 percent, number one.
14
               Pleasanton, we need to open in April.
     Two-year-olds for training, horses coming off the farms
15
16
    need 60 to 90 days to get ready to run on the fairs;
17
     1 May is too late.
18
               Closing the fairs, the same issue. We need to
19
     stay open all the way through the end of October to
20
     accommodate our out-of-state horsemen, our breeds, and
21
     Fresno. Fresno would be left as the island if we had to
22
     rely on Golden Gate.
23
               And last but least, the deficit in our plan
24
    would be paid by the fund, and at the end of the year,
25
     also we -- this is in or out. We had talked about Golden
```

2.

Gate closing. If Golden Gate -- we would like them to close for six -- four to six weeks which, you know, gives one of the fairs to the auxiliary and that is a plus to us because I won't say it forces but the horses are at the fairs and they run.

But it could go either way. Either run Golden Gate as an auxiliary or they don't have to be. If they would close for six weeks the fund -- the fund would end up in a \$1.4 million surplus. If they did that, closed during the summer, the fund would end up in the \$782,000 surplus. All we are asking for is that we have seen what they are doing in the South and we would like to do it in the North.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Did you want to respond in any way?

MR. DARUTY: The only point I would make is what you just heard is correct in terms of the numbers. We could keep both facilities open during the summer and there could be a surplus at the end of the year. However, what he's neglecting to mention is the vast, vast, vast majority of that money would all be contributed by Golden Gate Fields.

And that is the question. It is CARF asking Golden Gate Fields to pay for their stabling. And the way the new law works, we pay for the stabling at our

2.

facility. We would be more than willing to make our facility available for them to use, if they choose to use it, and we have told them that we would discount our day rate, originally by 15 percent. We then increased it; it's now 20 to 25 percent decrease. We'd take about thirteen and change, 13,000 and change a day as opposed to our 16,900 audited costs.

So we are willing to make a contribution and help support the industry at our own cost, but not to the tune of several million dollars a year, which the CARF plan has. And so the reason there would be a surplus is because Golden Gate would be putting in several million dollars a year, half from our money and half from our purse money. And that is the part we don't find acceptable.

MR. MORRIS: Joe Morris from Stronach Group.

This is just a matter of economics similar to the South a couple years ago when we had enough stalls to consolidate into them and close Fairplex and save money for stabling and vanning. That is what we did.

Up at Golden Gate now, there is enough stalls -and this isn't good news, but there is enough stalls at
Golden Gate to take that entire population without using
Pleasanton anymore. So we just -- we just can't afford to
keep it open when we have the space to be able to put them

```
1
    onto our facility and not have to pay for the auxiliary
 2.
    stabling.
               You know, there is a challenge of the deficit,
 3
 4
    and we've stepped up and we've said we'll pay 70 percent
 5
    of that. On actual cost to us, we probably owe 40 or
     45 percent of it. We said we'll pay 70 percent of it to
 6
 7
    help that, help, you know, put that expense away. So we
 8
    are trying to work through it.
               It isn't convenient for people, but on the
 9
10
    economic side of it, those two facilities are 30 miles
11
    apart, and we have got the stalls to be able to
12
    accommodate all the race horses in the North at Golden
13
    Gate.
14
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: What about the issue of
15
    Arabians and other breeds that -- they can't race at
16
    Golden Gate. Can they train at Golden Gate?
17
               MR. MORRIS: That's never come up before.
18
    mean they can train at Pleasanton on their own expense.
19
    We would certainly look at running some of those races
20
    right now. We either need a law charge and/or CARF's
21
    approval to be able to do that. It's not that, you know,
22
    we don't want to run emerging breeds. We can't right now.
23
    So, I mean, if we want to --
24
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                 Training --
25
               MR. MORRIS: If there was enough room, you know,
```

2.

with all the health certificates and what we have for the rest of the horses, that's something we'd certainly consider.

COMMISSIONER SOLIS: One of the issues that I have with closing Pleasanton is, of course, when all the two-year-olds come in, I have been on the backside of Golden Gate. To me it's going to be overcrowded, and you going to have all these safety issues with two-year-olds coming in, and all the people that have to move out of there -- out of there -- family that had to move out of Pleasanton to go back to Golden Gate, I mean, how is that going to work?

MR. MORRIS: A couple of good concerns. One, as I said, it's 30 miles apart, and if they are in tack rooms at Pleasanton, there's tack rooms at Golden Gate.

The second part of that we're not putting any -a higher number of horses than we have had in the past on
the backstretch of Golden Gate. The numbers have
diminished to that. I mean there are times that Golden
Gate in the past have had 1400 horses back there. We're
not looking to have more.

The third thing I would point out on that, if we need to extend or segregate our training hours to where, you know, two-year-olds get a certain set of time, workers could get another, that is something we can look at to

phase that in; so it is more of a structured workout scenario in the morning.

2.

But the two-year-olds -- I mean, the numbers just show it. The other thing with two-year-olds, we're going to let two-year-olds in on January 1st as long as they are ready to gallop, and if you take those into the numbers from Pleasanton over the last couple of years, two-year-olds have been there on January 1st, we're still going to have less than 1400 horses when we -- when we move them over.

COMMISSIONER SOLIS: I am still not -- like I say, I have been on the backside of Golden Gate, and to me it's already crowded. And to me, putting more horses over there is going to be even worse. To me, it's a big safety issue. It really is.

MR. SWARTZLANDER: A lot of these two-year-olds never want to train on tapeta, they want to train on dirt. And, again, the intention of all negotiations, at least CARF, when we talked to Golden Gate and the TOC was that everybody agreed that the fairs are fairs; we had to have auxiliary stabling. Our out-of-state people come. They're based out of Pleasanton. They don't want to move to every fair, and whatever they bring over, 20, 30 horses, they're all not going to run at Pleasanton, they're all not going to run at Santa Rosa. They have to

California Horse Racing Board Regular Meeting, December 15, 2016

```
move, and they want a base and they want to run on dirt,
1
 2.
    not tapeta.
 3
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: What about concept
    of -- well, what is the date again that we start at
 4
 5
     Pleasanton? Excuse me.
               MR. SWARTZLANDER: 20 June, the current plan.
 6
 7
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: No. For stabling.
 8
               MR. MORRIS: May 1st for Golden Gate auxiliary.
 9
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Could that be moved
10
    back a month to April?
11
               MR. DARUTY: Well, I suppose there's two
12
     questions there. One question is whether Pleasanton would
13
    make the facility available, and then the other is who
14
    pays for it. So yes, it's physically possible, but where
15
    does the money come to pay for that?
16
               MR. KRIKORIAN: We'll ask CARF.
17
               MR. SWARTZLANDER: That goes right back to the
18
           I mean if we simply said we didn't want to play
19
     games here and we're out, and Scott could do the same
20
     thing to us, who is going to pay for it? That is an
21
     obvious point of discussion.
22
               I don't think we're liable for the 30 percent.
23
    We'll step up to the table for the 2 percent for the year,
24
    but I don't think it is fair and -- but we are not
    doing -- this is not fair for Northern California racing.
25
```

```
1
    We are not benefiting racing in the North with this plan.
 2.
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Can you explain -- can
 3
    you explain again why you are not liable for the
 4
     30 percent?
 5
               MR. SWARTZLANDER: Well, that is why I pointed
    out the history. The last time we visited the deficit,
 6
 7
     the million dollars plus, there was no discussion of
 8
     30 percent, 70 percent. It was a pool. It has always
9
    been a pool, just like in the South. We came out with the
10
    deal, lowered our day rates, and the deficit was paid off.
11
               What they are asking in this is now -- and we
12
    had a 2016 plan, which was similar to 2015, nothing
13
    different. Now, we have a deficit that this plan
14
    generated rather than, again, pooling it and having the
15
    fund pay it off over time, you get behind, and we had to
16
    do something -- something has to change. Closing
    Pleasanton. That was on the table. I believe we moved to
17
18
    a position now where, you know, the CARF plan says we'll
    close until 1 April and then we'll close on October 30th.
19
20
    We're bringing something to the table.
21
               We know that there are stalls -- you know,
22
    there's too many -- Golden Gate could accommodate the
23
    horses during those periods. But the periods we are
24
    asking for, we need the stalls. And the peak numbers, we
25
    have no -- I'd have to look at the July numbers. I mean,
```

does Golden Gate have enough stalls for the horses coming in to the state? No.

2.

MR. DARUTY: Couple points. Scott Daruty for Golden Gate Fields. Couple points.

The \$900,000 deficit was substantially generated during the CARF fair season. In other words, when Golden Gate Fields finished its meet in June of 2016, there was actually a surplus in the Stabling and Vanning fund. Over the course of the summer, the deficit built, and so we believe it is fair for CARF to share in a portion. I honestly could make the argument they should pay the whole thing because it was their debt but we're not taking that position. We're saying we'll pay 70 percent and we want them to pay 30.

As far as where the stabling occurs in the summertime, whether there's enough stalls at Golden Gate or not enough, you know, stalls at Golden Gate, there will be one fair running at all times. So in addition to the stables that are available at Golden Gate, there will be stables at the fair running, whether that's Pleasanton or Sacramento or Santa Rosa or wherever, and we are not telling them they can't use additional stabling if they choose to do so. We are just saying don't make Golden Gate pay for it. Why should we pay for the stabling that they use during their meet?

```
COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Is this a plan that was
1
 2.
    agreed on by anybody, or what are we looking at here?
 3
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yeah. It was agreed on by
 4
    Stabling and Vanning by two-to-one with one abstention.
 5
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH:
                                        I see.
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Which is under the statute
 6
7
     that's what is required.
 8
               MR. RAINEY: Cal Rainey at Golden Gate Fields.
9
               I'd just like to say that traditionally in
10
    Northern California, going back as far as Bay Meadows
    racing in Northern California, before 2008, the fund and
11
12
    the committee was established, and there was always a
13
     one-third responsibility on behalf of CARF. Bay Meadows
14
    usually paid a third. I'm talking about an example would
15
    be anything like tattoos, horse tattoos, always get
16
    completed at the track, either Golden Gate or Bay Meadows
17
    at the time when the horses come in for the first time,
18
    and then CARF had always been responsible for one third of
19
    all those costs. So basically CARF has a third of the
20
    business, Bay Meadows had a third, and Golden Gate had a
21
    third traditionally. So now it is two thirds Golden Gate
22
    and one third CARF.
              MR. SWARTZLANDER: To this date CARF has not
23
24
    paid anything outside 1.25 percent into the fund.
25
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: I am going to start calling
```

on -- unless there are other questions of the folks at the table, I am going to start calling on some of the other people who wanted to speak on this issue.

Maureen Morley.

2.

MS. MORLEY: Maureen Morley. I'm an owner. Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

The Board's action on this item will define the future of horseracing in Northern California. Action on this item is complicated by the recently amended Business and Professions Code, which have not been properly or fully implemented.

The proposed financial and operational plan for stabling in 2017 due to the Board for review by

November 1st was received only a few days ago before the start of 2017 in just 16 days. The required procedures and timeline implementing the opt-out provision have yet to be established. This has allowed for speculative discussion that is taken as fact and has been particularly threatening in the face of a deficit.

The proposed plan and establishment of procedures are the responsibility of the Stabling and Vanning Committee. In the absence of the timely and complete action by that committee, the Board has the authority and I believe the responsibility to ensure that stabling is conducted in a manner that serves the best

interest of horseracing.

2.

At this critical time with the pending seasonal closure of Pleasanton already driving horses and horsemen out of the area and out of the state, precipitating a decline in California horseracing the Board must, I believe, exercise its authority today.

The Board has determined that Golden Gate Fields has sufficient stalls to conduct its meet without requiring an auxiliary. However, it seems that approval of the meet application does not automatically determine funding of a stabling and training facility. I emphasize training as it is allowed in the codes.

Funding of a stabling and training facility should be articulated in the financial and optional plan. You have received very late a radical plan with inadequate time for review. In the absence of timely and right action, the Board may want to consider a motion to increase the deduction for all associations to 2 percent as recommended by both the TOC and the CTT at the November meeting to address the deficit and to continue funding Pleasanton as a stabling and training facility until the 2017 proposed plan has been properly reviewed, including a review of other proposed options and consideration of public comment.

Further, until opt-out procedures are

2.

```
established as required by law, no association should be allowed to opt out. And when procedures are established, opting out should be restricted when there is a deficit, a deficit created by all parties.

I continue to hear that the fairs are using more money than they are generating. That is because, while
```

money than they are generating. That is because, while the fairs are running with sufficient stabling available at fair grounds, they continue to fund Golden Gate Fields at \$16,900 a day. I believe this approach best serves all parties as the alternative is the eventual seasonal closure of both Golden Gate Fields and Pleasanton with the extreme loss of horses and horsemen and the ultimate demise of horseracing in Northern California.

I appreciate your consideration of these comments.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you very much. I believe that since there is no action item on the agenda, we can't take an action at this meeting. It hasn't been agendaed. Therefore, the purpose of this item is a review. It is not an action item and therefore, unless legal counsel disagrees or tells me that that is not correct --

MR. MILLER: No, that is not -- you are correct.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. Thank you.

Mei Davis. I don't know if I am pronouncing the

```
1
    name correctly. Mei Davis.
 2.
              MS. DAVIS: Mei.
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Mei Davis. Thank you.
 3
 4
              MS. DAVIS: I am going to talk slowly so you can
 5
    understand me. I am just saying --
 6
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: Do you want to come up here
7
    because it is hard to see you from back there.
 8
              Thank you. Can you identify yourself and --
              MS. DAVIS: My name is Mei Davis. I work for
9
10
    Arabian and quarter horse. So I hope you guys excuse me,
11
    my language accent. I try to talk slowly. I'm nervous.
12
              CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                Thank you. Don't be nervous.
13
              MS. DAVIS: But there's Pleasanton shut down and
14
    talk about open up May 1st is not fair because Arabian,
15
    they need at least over two months, two and a half months
16
    of training, and they have two or -- they need two work
17
    for all of us. In the (inaudible) they have three.
18
              So if you open up May 1st, no way we can run any
    horses in Pleasanton. So we need to try to open up
19
20
    April 1st. Also, we have -- in July, we have two big race
21
    for Arabian. To us, it is big. To thoroughbred, they
22
    think it's small money. It is like 48,000 from Middle
23
    East. So we need California horse race -- to run that
24
    race.
25
              And also, we have race on April 2nd at Santa
```

- Anita next month -- no, next year in April. We need a
 facility for them to train the horse, get them ready for
 the \$100,000 race. But problem, Golden Gate Arabian and
 thorough -- Arabian and quarter horse and emerging breed
 horse, they never run to me synthetic track, okay, because
 they all been running in the dirt.

 The horse come from out of state to come help us
 for the big race. If they have -- they don't care for
 - The horse come from out of state to come help us for the big race. If they have -- they don't care for synthetic track. They run in dirt. So most of our horse not used to that. So that's why we can go Golden Gate to train.
 - So anyway you guy can help us open up on April 1st so we can run a whole meet in Pleasanton, quarter horses and Arabian. That's my question.

- COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: May I ask you a question? Thank you.
 - May I ask you a question? How many races -- how many Arabian races are run a year and where are they run?
 - MS. DAVIS: Most we run in summer in California. We used to run in Los Al too. Problem because the insurance got so high. Cost -- when a trainer has to pay \$400 to start a race and our purse only sixty-three, by the time -- I used to own a horse. Then I can run one race and the horse be pay himself. Now you have to win two races in a month to pay without come out of the

1 owner's pocket. 2. So we try so hard, try to get TOC to help us, get Los Al Finish Line to help us for the insurance. 3 They 4 won't do it because they qualify as emerging breed. To me, people should understand they all 5 interest thoroughbred, thoroughbred. They don't 6 7 understand where the thoroughbred come from, by the long 8 time ago. Take three Arabian style to make thoroughbred. So I don't understand what qualify say Arabian 9 10 is emerging breed. Arabian should not be emerging breed. 11 It can be qualified same thing. 12 Another thing my mind thinking, all the horse we 13 are racing should be all work together, because they are 14 horse to me. In a mill, quarter horse, Arabian and 15 thoroughbred, to me, they all horse, and they all here to 16 help us to make a state. 17 If we start horses without this money come in, 18 State Fund, we don't -- where the tax going to come from 19 to help pay the State? Horse race pay the State too, pay 20 the tax. 21 So that's one thing I wish all the thoroughbred 22 people can be work together with us and that's I want to 23 ask you guys also, do you know anybody can help us

insurance for emerging breed so we can get more people,

24

25

more trainer?

```
Because now I have trainer, I have horses
1
 2.
    because they can't afford to come down with $400 every
 3
    time we run. So we have been fighting that for five
 4
    years, six years, the insurance.
 5
               If you can help us, we can get more trainer and
 6
    more owner.
 7
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Was your question answered?
 8
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: My question -- well,
9
    not really.
10
               Maybe CARF can tell us a little bit about how
11
    many races are run a year for Arabians and at what time,
12
    specifically, and so forth?
13
               MR. SWARTZLANDER: As you know, we have quarter
14
    horses, mules, and Arabians all being emerging breeds.
15
    Last year we had 14 races for quarter horses. And the
16
    mules and Arabians, you look at the upper 20s, 28, 29,
17
    sometimes 30 races a year we get out of both of them. And
18
    we try to run every day at least one breed race.
19
    days we run a quarter horse, a mule, and an Arabian race.
20
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Run at the fairs?
21
              MR. SWARTZLANDER: At the fairs, yes.
22
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Okay. But can't the
23
    fairs provide -- you've got several tracks. Why can't you
24
    provide training facility for these horses?
25
               MR. SWARTZLANDER: Well, it's auxiliary stabling
```

```
1
    and requires day race to open. Outside the fund.
 2.
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Does it fall under the
    same classification --
 3
 4
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yes.
 5
               But the question is who is going to pay for it?
     It's not whether they will be open. It's who's going to
 6
 7
    pay.
 8
               MR. SWARTZLANDER: I got race -- I got fairs
9
    that can open, Sacramento, Pleasanton.
10
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: But I think Scott made --
11
              MR. SWARTZLANDER: Santa Rosa.
12
              CHAIRMAN WINNER: The point it's a question of
13
    who pays.
14
              MR. SWARTZLANDER: Who pays. Scott's right.
15
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: That's a difficult
16
    question, as everybody has talked about, and the limited
17
    racing being done by the Arabians and the mules -- I don't
18
    have the quarter horse numbers, but, you know, very little
19
     is contributed to the Vanning and Stabling fund; so it's a
20
    challenge.
21
              Did the committee address this issue in its
22
    negotiations?
23
              MR. SWARTZLANDER: As far as the emerging
24
    breeds? It was mentioned, but it wasn't discussed in
25
    detail, no. It did get to a point of yes, no, maybe.
```

```
1
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yes, no, maybe?
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: How much wagering is
 2.
 3
    there on the Arabian races versus other races?
               MR. SWARTZLANDER: I don't have the numbers in
 4
 5
    front of me. I would say it's like 30 percent.
 6
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Thirty percent of
7
    the --
 8
               MR. SWARTZLANDER: What you would bet on
    thoroughbreds.
9
10
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thirty percent on Arabians or
11
     30 percent on all the -- on all the emerging breeds?
12
              MR. SWARTZLANDER: Yeah. If you could get a
13
     $100,000 -- we could get $30,000 bet on -- the same race,
14
    if you ran the Arabians, we could get $30,000 bet on. If
15
    you ran thoroughbreds, we could get $100,000.
16
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. Thank you very, very
17
    much.
18
              MS. DAVIS: Thank you.
19
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Dorothy Burt again. I hope I
20
    said your name right this time.
21
               MS. BURT: Hi. Dorothy Burt representing
22
    Arabian racing. In answer to your question, when the
23
    Arabians ran in the last race of the day, here at Santa
24
    Anita, wherever it is -- I know, at Santa Anita, around
25
    the 1st of April last year they -- what was the handle,
```

1 700,000? -- when we weren't put in one of the first three 2 races.

I think we have established that Arabian racing in general helps thoroughbred racing by taking these less desirable slots on the racing card, but I want to talk to you about my disagreement with the late opening of Pleasanton as an auxiliary facility.

May 1st, 2017 is unreasonable. Proven racehorses need more than 45 days to be competitive. Arabs will be primarily affected. They race the same as thoroughbreds, they go around the turns, they do everything. They have to get the same works, the same times -- timer, sorry.

Thoroughbreds have many choices in Northern

California, from Golden Gate Fields to various training

sites and branches and farms. Golden Gate Fields will not

take Arabs. Or will they? They have said both ways. I

have no idea. I have been told they will not allow Arabs

to go there to train.

Well, let me be personal. I own part of the best Arabian mare in her age group in the United States.

Dream Pearl would like to come down here and race at Santa Anita in the \$100,000 Arabian race but she cannot prepare here in California. She will have to go to Texas. Now, who knows if she will come back? I don't know.

Now, at the other extreme, I own a two-year-old 1 2. whose training will come to a halt because Pleasanton is 3 closing and Golden Gate Fields will not allow horses in 4 training, let alone Arabs in training. 5 I understand the money involved, but I would ask that Pleasanton be somehow reopened earlier. April 1st 6 7 would be a great benefit to get horses ready to race, 8 especially with the opening of the Pleasanton meet. 9 And your question of who pays to open that month 10 earlier, I don't think anyone has really talked to the 11 Pleasanton community, because many people have offered to 12 pay part of, to cut costs, but many suggestions have been 13 bloated. 14 And as far as I know, nothing has been acted on, 15 and they have not involved the community. And many people 16 are having to close up, store their stuff. Families, like 17 you say, are being disrupted and moved out of places 18 they've lived for years. 19 So thank you for listening to me. I would 20 really hope you could encourage Pleasanton to open at 21 least a month earlier than is projected. Thank you. 22 Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN WINNER: 23 Terri Eaton. I have two cards for Terri Eaton. 24 MS. EATON: Good afternoon, all. I am a 25 trainer, owner, breeder of Arabians and thoroughbreds.

2.

My objective standing up here today is to ask you to extend the opening of Pleasanton to somewhere between March and April. The reason I'm saying that is we do need the time. We have a lot of horses that don't run at Golden Gate because they're not fast enough or good enough or they don't like the synthetic surface. So, therefore, we decided we are going to turn them out, let them have a little bit of a break.

But in order for these horses to be ready to come back in, they surely need more than 45 days. The 1st of April is nice. The middle of March would be better. Especially for our Arabian horses, we are trying to get these horses ready to run at Santa Anita, and we -- I had the best -- one of the best horses in the nation this year. He went to Abu Dhabi, and he competed there. He got -- he was trained at Pleasanton. And they allowed us to do some things that we needed to do to make this horse right. And we would like to be ready for the 100,000, but there's no way that we can be ready for that race if you do not allow us to train there and if the facility closes.

The proposed way for the van -- for the stabling situation at this point is going to be very, very expensive for trainers as myself that has both breeds. It is going to make us uproot our barns and leave roughly every three weeks. That's a huge expense. Owners aren't

2.

going to be able to come up with that, especially with these cheaper horses that run and the fair horses, and the people aren't going to want to do that either. Not only are we going to have to endure the insurance cost, but we're going to have to endure the cost of picking this barn up and moving it every three weeks. That is crazy.

The other thing is, is that I have been over to Golden Gate. I have seen what they have over there. That is a filthy, disgusting, awful place. The rats are everywhere. The stalls are falling down. They are not safe for horses. There's gaps in the walls where the horses, if they lay down to roll, they get cast, and they get stuck underneath the walls. It is not a good environment. I myself would not subject my horses to it.

I went over there to race a horse the other day, walked in the stall, and there were three rats, three rats in the stall with my horse. I had -- I took her out of there and went to another stall. And it was hard to find another stall that wasn't infested with rats.

Now, why would you want to subject your horses to something of this sort? I think it's a shame. It's a rotten shame that we have to subject our animals and our people to these kind of things. It is horrible.

Anyway, gentlemen, if you would think about this, and take it into your consideration, I do believe it

```
1
    would be a really wise idea if Golden Gate Fields could
 2.
    close for the six weeks during the summertime. It would
 3
    save a lot of money, possibly put us back into a plus
 4
     situation for our stabling and training and vanning
 5
    committee. And if we could do that and clean out Golden
    Gate Fields, exterminate the rats, clean the stalls, make
 6
 7
     things better for us, maybe a lot more people would be
 8
    happy to go over there.
               In closing, all I can tell you is that I have
 9
10
    applied for stalls over there. They've called me.
11
    They've asked me how many I am going to need. I said I
    would like to set up at least a few stalls over there so
12
13
     that I can ship in and out of, but they can't tell us
14
    whether they have room for us and they can't even give us
15
    a barn.
             They can't tell us anything.
16
               So are they really prepared to accept us? I am
17
    not sure. Anyway, thank you gentlemen.
18
                                 Thank you. We did talk --
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
19
    what is the plan for rehabilitating the backstretch, so to
20
             I mean that has been discussed at prior meetings,
     speak?
21
    and there was going to be a break for that purpose.
22
               Where is that at this point?
23
               MR. DARUTY: Scott Daruty on behalf of Golden
24
    Gate Fields.
25
               Our original proposal had us closing for four to
```

```
1
    six weeks --
 2.
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Right.
               MR. DARUTY: -- to do extermination work which,
 3
 4
    as you all know, is challenging to do when you have horses
 5
    on the backside. For reasons really, you know, beyond our
    control, just in terms of trying to get some consensus
 6
 7
    because, you know, there's a team here, every issue,
 8
    there's not two sides; there's five sides. And in trying
 9
    to balance all these interests and come up with a stabling
10
    and vanning plan, there were those who suggested Golden
11
    Gate should not close and that we should keep it open all
12
    summer, and so we went along with that.
13
               So as of right now, the approved plan does not
14
    have us closed at Golden Gate Fields. Would we like to
15
         Yes, we would like to be closed for a four- or five-,
16
     six-week period. That would be healthy. But again, how
17
    do we come up with a plan that everybody buys off on and
18
    how do we come up with a plan that we can afford?
                                                        That's
19
     the challenge.
20
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. Thank you.
21
               Michael Brown.
22
               MR. BROWN: I was going to say good morning, but
23
    now we're into the afternoon, and I appreciate all of you
24
    allowing us to speak. I am Michael Brown, and I am the
    Pleasanton Arabian Racing Club.
25
```

2.

I spoke to you last month on behalf of the

Arabian horses. I raised horses a few years ago, and this

year, Cory Soltau got me into the Pleasanton Arabian

Racing Club and I was so glad to be back into it.

I have with me -- this is my grandfather's watch. It's over a hundred years old. My grandfather, back in Newark, Ohio, used to go out with his friends and they used this watch -- they all had one -- to time the horses. They didn't have all the fancy electronics that we have today. And I cherish this. When my mother passed away five years ago, she made sure that I got this watch because she knew my dad had taken me to that area where they used to race when I was a little boy.

and I -- I really don't want horseracing to die out in Northern California. You heard a lot today about your duties as the commissioners to act in the best interest of horseracing, and what we see in this plan that has been proposed for Northern California is not in the best interest of horseracing. As a matter of fact, it could spell doomsday for horseracing in Northern California because we don't have here all the facilities that are available in Southern California that have been made available. We don't have the off-site things that they have down there.

The legislature has made its intent very, very

2.

clear. Section 19540 of the Business and Professions Code says that -- at least so far as the fairs are concerned, the fairs shall, not should, not maybe, not whatever, but shall provide a program of racing that includes thoroughbred racing, quarter horse racing, Arabian racing, and Appaloosa racing. The legislative intent is very clear.

I'm sorry that Professor Choper is not here because he and I could engage in a discussion of that. But it is clear that the legislature wants racing of all breeds, not just thoroughbred racing, and there's a good reason for that, because you don't have enough thoroughbred racehorses racing today to make it a viable business. As they said at the last meeting down in Del Mar, you need to find a way to fill the card. You got to take that starting gate and fill it with horses and that is not what is happening.

Now, after that meeting that last month, and one of the reasons I loved you having the meeting at Del Mar, is I have a daughter and grandchildren that live at Solano Beach, right next door, so the day after the meeting I took my grandchildren there, eight and nine, and I took them to their first horse race and they had a ball. The folks at Del Mar were so nice, and they had just -- they had a lot of fun. And we watched the races, but one thing

2.

that stood out to me was that there were two races that had a full card that filled the starting gate. The rest of them were five, six, seven horses and, you know, as well as I do -- it's well known in the industry -- you don't get a lot of betting if you don't have a lot of horses.

And what is happening is that you need to find a way to compress those. And as I told you before and I suggested to you before, what you need to do is make it viable for these emerging breeds to race. And when you put those horses in the first race or the second race or the third race when nobody is around and nobody is paying attention, you don't get a big handle. But if you put them -- as Dorothy pointed out, you put them towards the end, you get a big handle.

You need to treat the emerging breeds as kindly as you threat the thoroughbreds and that is what I am suggesting you look for. You have to act in the public interest and the interest of the horseracing industry.

Now, Golden Gate Fields says, well, we have profit issues and so on. Next week -- if I live another week -- I will celebrate 50 years as a lawyer.

December 23, 1966, I was admitted to the bar. Like Professor Choper, I served a couple years as a law clerk. He was with Justice Warren of the Supreme Court. I was

2.

with the local Alameda County Superior Court, but -- and then I spent 48 -- the last 48 years doing trial work. If I go into court and want to take a position and have an affirmative position, I got to prove it. I got to present evidence. I got to say here is my case and here is how I prove it. I haven't heard anything that says that Golden Gate would be hurt by going to 2 percent. I have heard that 2 percent would create more money, would help to reduce the deficit. I haven't heard anything that is a commitment by Golden Gate Fields to support emerging breeds.

The thing that I ask you to remember and ask you to look at very carefully, Assembly Bill 2011, which was enacted and signed by the governor in September, doesn't just say stabling and vanning. It says stabling, training, and vanning over and over and over again. It says training horses. It doesn't just say stable them. It says train them and that means you got to provide a facility where they can train.

And you have heard several people today talk about how Golden Gate Fields is not a good place to train; people don't want to train there. You need to keep the other facilities open and that means Pleasanton.

Now, if there's a dispute, I respectfully request that you look at Business and Professions Code

2.

Section 19607.3(i). I want you to adjudicate this. You have the power to act as an arbitrator to adjudicate this thing, and I don't think that you should approve anything that comes up at this late date.

You have said the November 1st date, you are going to excuse that. And I think that's a wise decision

because nobody had time to do anything by November 1st.

We've got time now, and what I am suggesting to you is

don't put this plan in place that denies people that own

horses and trainers that own horses the right to properly

train their horses. Keep Pleasanton open, raise it to

2 percent, and then as a board, whether you hold hearings,

whether you hold meetings, whatever you do, adjudicate

this as a board. Have hearings, let people come in. Let

people explain the problem. Don't just call it a review.

What has been presented to you is -- should be given more study and be more careful, and I think that in the interim if you just raise the 2 percent -- to 2 percent, keep Pleasanton open, allow the industry to survive, and then take your time and look at this very carefully and work out a plan.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you.

MR. BROWN: I hope you will do that.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you, Mr. Brown.

I want to stress one more time that what is

```
1
    before us is simply the ability to review. There is no
 2.
     action item on the agenda, and therefore, there's no
     action we can take on this matter, unless we choose to put
 3
 4
     it on the agenda for another meeting.
 5
               Mr. Hoban.
 6
               MR. BROWN: May I reply to that just very, very
7
              You have the authority as the Board --
     quickly.
 8
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                 Yes.
               MR. BROWN: -- to adjudicate this issue. And if
 9
10
    you say there's no action item to be taken that means that
11
    you are going to allow something to happen.
12
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                 There's no action item,
13
    Mr. Brown, because it hasn't been agendaed. If we were
14
     going to take an action at this meeting, we would have had
15
     to agenda it so that those people who wanted to
16
    participate in the discussion in the public, besides those
17
    who are here, would have had the opportunity to do that.
18
     There's no action item on the agenda; therefore, we can't
19
     take an action.
20
               MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm not asking you to take --
21
    what I'm asking you to do is set an agenda item.
22
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: We might -- we might -- we may
23
     choose to do that.
24
               MR. BROWN:
                           Thank you.
25
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                 Thank you.
```

Mr. Hoban.

2.

MR. HOBAN: Good morning. Jerome Hoban, Alameda County Fair representing also the Oak Tree Partnership and CARF.

Commissioners, thank you for hearing this today as a review. For the last two years, the racing industry has diligently worked to pass legislation or amendment to legislation that it would allow, hopefully, a permanent fix to the deficits that were created in the North and the South for vanning and stabling.

At one point, there was legislation that was brought forward by the South that ultimately was not moved forward and CARF picked up the ball and ran with it for the good of racing, North and South. Southern California, though, has enacted that new legislation and increased the takeout to 2 percent to solve the stabling and vanning issue in the South.

In the North, however, we have been met with an unintended consequence. And I believe that the reason in the South they did not receive that is because the distribution of race dates, the generation of the vanning and stabling funds are more distributed equally among organizations. In the North, we have nine months of racing with Golden Gate Fields, three months of racing with CARF fairs, and therefore, you can see we have been

2.

talking about leverage all day. There's an extreme amount of leverage that Golden Gate Fields has over this fund.

It also has been stated that the deficit that was created was created during the summer when fairs were racing. I take exception to that. It was created at that time; however, it is because the pooled group or the committee that governs this or administers these funds collectively decided it was in the best interest of racing to keep Golden Gate Fields open at 19 -- or \$16,900 a day for the good of all of Northern California racing.

Certainly, the fairs have enough stables to handle all of the horses in the North at any given time. So it was for the good of racing that this committee voted and allowed the stabling to operate in that fashion.

When we talk about whether this should be -- the debt should retroactively now be split in some 50/50, 30/70, what have you, is a retroactive assigning of the deficit and that to me is what is inappropriate, because the decision was made in a different circumstance by all three members, just as this decision that is -- has been voted last Saturday.

So I would also like to point out that the only reason this is happening is because in the North with nine months of racing at Golden Gate Fields gives the ability for Golden Gate Fields to do a money grab. Essentially,

2.

the money that could be used for the betterment of the entire racing industry, now half of that vanning and stabling fund that would be generated will go to the profits of Golden Gate Fields instead of being used for the entire industry.

Also, it has been said that this is money earned by Golden Gate Fields. I would also submit that the lion's share of satellite wagering facilities or off-track betting is at fairs, the network of fairs that CARF supports. So the money that goes into this pot is actually coming from the satellite wagering facilities supported by CARF racing fairs.

I believe that this is a very detrimental proposal that is not necessarily before you for decision today but for review. It is going to be a huge detriment to fairs in general, and CARF has put together and put forward a proposal that is reasonable. It would allow -- it is readily understood that we have to do something financially for the vanning and stabling fund and that we probably need to look at a seasonal closure of Pleasanton, but to close Pleasanton all but two months of the year is an extreme move in Northern California. A sixth-month or a seventh-month opening of Pleasanton would be far more reasonable and stair-stepping into paying off the deficit. The CARF proposal does both. It actually creates a

```
1
     surplus.
 2.
               So with that said, I would respectfully request
     that the Board place an action item on the agenda in
 3
 4
     January to adjudicate under 19607.3(i) and, hopefully,
 5
    please conduct a meeting in Northern California where the
     affected folks are. I would also be available for
 6
 7
     questions as the landlord of Pleasanton.
 8
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                 Thank you.
               Does anybody here want to respond to any of the
9
10
    points that have been made up to this point? No?
11
     Okay. Go ahead, please.
12
               Commissioner Krikorian.
13
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Just to confirm that
14
    moving forward the Board does have the right to review
     this as we go along; so if it is not working well in the
15
16
     summer, you know, through the year, things could change
17
    moving forward; is that correct?
18
               MR. MORRIS: Joe Morris from Golden Gate.
                                                         I
19
    believe in the motion there was a set date of March that
20
    you were going to review that.
21
                                 I'm sorry?
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
22
               MR. MORRIS: At the last meeting when we
23
    discussed all this, I believe you put a motion -- part of
24
     the motion was it would be reviewed in March, which would
25
    have given us a few months to try this.
```

```
CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yeah. And it was either March
1
 2.
     or April. I'm not quite sure.
 3
               MR. MORRIS: Somewhere in there.
 4
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yeah.
 5
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: It would seem to me,
     even if we --
 6
 7
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: I mean we have the right to
 8
     review it anytime.
 9
               MR. MORRIS: Anytime.
10
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Right.
                                                That's my
11
    point.
12
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yeah. I mean the problem is,
13
     to some degree the points that are being made here,
14
    whether they are -- however you view the arguments that
     are being made on all sides of this issue, the decision
15
16
     was made by the State legislature to give stabling and
17
    vanning this authority, and the decision was made by the
18
     State legislature how to -- how the decision would be made
19
    within Stabling and Vanning. And that decision was made
20
    by Stabling and Vanning.
21
               Now, because of the issue of best interest in
22
     racing, we have the right to review it, which we do have,
23
     and we have the right in some ways to essentially, in the
    best interest of racing, to adjudicate it if we so choose
24
25
     to put that on the agenda and go through the process of
```

```
1
    holding hearings, et cetera, et cetera. But under the
 2.
    statute, the law, Stabling and Vanning took the position
    that they took and they are here to tell us what that
 3
 4
    position is and for us to review it. And as Mr. Morris
 5
     said, we decided at the last meeting, whenever it was,
    that we would review it again in March to see how this
 6
 7
    process works -- or April, whatever.
 8
               MR. MORRIS: I think the review --
 9
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: I think the review
10
    was in the context of your application for a race meet at
11
    Golden Gate Fields and the capacity of stalls, whether or
12
    not that was sufficient --
13
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Correct.
14
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: -- for stabling.
15
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Correct. And remember what we
16
    did at the meeting was we didn't make a decision whether
17
    or not to open or close Pleasanton or anybody else.
18
     is not what we did. What we did was what the law required
19
    us to do, which is what we had the authority to do which
20
    was to determine if there would be enough stalls available
21
    for the horses that would be racing at Santa -- at Golden
22
    Gate at that time, and we determined, based on the
23
     information that was given to us, that there was.
              Now, that is not -- it is not that we, as some
24
25
    have said in the media, that we closed -- we determined to
```

2.

close Pleasanton. That's not what happened at all. First of all, nobody has determined to close Pleasanton. It's a question of who pays to keep it open. But furthermore, that wasn't what we did. That wasn't what we voted on.

What we voted on was whether or not there would

be enough sufficient stalls to run the race meet that was being -- the license was being applied for at Golden Gate. And that's what we voted on. So I don't think there's much more to say on this unless anyone on the panel -- any of the members, commissioners, would like to say anything beyond what has already been said. This was -- the purpose of this was to -- was for review and discussion and that's what we have done.

COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: The only thing I would like to add is, I have heard a lot of people come up and speak with us today, which is you are right and we encourage you to do it. But I want to stress to you that none of us takes this lightly, and I have heard us being lectured by everybody on all sides of the issue. I am kind of used to it, but I would like the general public to understand how seriously we take our responsibility and to assure everybody that we do not do anything lightly, and let's just leave it at that.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you. Okay.

Going on to the next item --

```
MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman --
1
 2.
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Yes.
 3
               MR. MILLER: -- the court reporter has been at
     it for three hours, and she needs a break.
 4
 5
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Oh, I think that's reasonable.
     I think there are others probably need it to.
 6
 7
               Okay. So we will adjourn -- we will recess for
     ten minutes.
 8
 9
               (A recess was taken from 12:45 p.m.
10
                to 1:03 p.m.)
11
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. We're going to -- we're
12
     going to restart.
13
               Okay. Will everybody please have your seat.
14
     Take your seats, everybody that's left, or anybody that's
15
           Take your seats. If there is nobody here, we can
16
     get through some of these things pretty quickly.
17
               Let me announce before we go on that the meeting
18
     in January will now be January what?
19
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: 26th.
20
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: January 26th. We'll send out
21
     a notice, but the meeting in January will be January 26th,
22
    yeah, at Santa Anita.
23
               All right. Moving right along, No. 8 on the
24
     agenda: Discussion and action by the Board regarding the
25
    request for approval -- can we have a little quiet,
```

```
1
    please.
 2.
               Discussion and action by the Board regarding the
    request for approval of the continuation of the
 3
 4
     0.50 percent distribution to the Southern California
 5
     Stabling and Vanning fund from the advance deposit
    wagering hosted by thoroughbred racing associations and
 6
 7
     racing fairs conducting racing in the Central and Southern
 8
     zones for the period commencing December 26, 2016, through
    December 31, 2017, as permitted under Business and
 9
10
     Professions Code Section 19604(f)(5)(E).
11
               Any discussion on this item?
12
               All right. Is there a motion?
13
               Commissioner Solis moves. Commissioner Auerbach
14
     seconds.
               How do you vote, Commissioner Solis?
15
16
               COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
17
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Krikorian?
18
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Yes.
19
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Winner votes yes.
20
               Commissioner Auerbach?
21
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Yes.
22
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Votes yes. The motion
23
     carries.
24
               Item No. 9: Discussion and action by the Board
25
    regarding the request from Northern California Off Track
```

```
1
    Wagering Inc. (NCOTWINC) to continue the modification of
 2.
    the distribution of market access fees from advance
    deposit wagering at a rate of 2.9 percent as permitted
 3
    under Business and Professions Code Section 19604(f)(5)(E)
 4
 5
    for wagering conducted by thoroughbred associations in the
    Northern zone during calendar year 2017.
 6
 7
               Any discussion on this item? Is there a motion?
               Commissioner Krikorian moves. Commissioner
 8
    Solis seconds. How do you vote?
 9
10
               Commissioner Auerbach --
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Yes.
11
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- votes yes. Commissioner
12
13
    Winner votes yes. Commissioner Krikorian --
14
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Yes.
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: And Commissioner Solis?
15
16
               COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
17
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Motion carries unanimously.
18
               Moving on, Item No. 10: Discussion and action
19
    by the Board regarding the request from Southern
20
    California Off Track Wagering Inc. (SCOTWINC) to continue
21
    the modification of the distribution of market access fees
22
     from advance deposit wagering (ADW) at a rate of 2.9
23
    percent as permitted under Business and Professions Code
24
    Section 19604(f)(5)(E) for wagering conducted by
25
    thoroughbred associations in the Southern zone during
```

```
1
     calendar year 2017.
 2.
               Any discussion on this item?
               Is there a motion?
 3
               Commissioner Krikorian moves. Commissioner
 4
 5
     Solis seconds.
               How do you vote, Commissioner Auerbach?
 6
 7
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Yes.
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Auerbach votes
 8
 9
           Commissioner Winner votes yes.
    ves.
10
               Commissioner Krikorian?
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Yes.
11
12
               Commissioner Krikorian votes yes.
13
               Commissioner Solis?
14
               COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
15
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis votes yes.
16
               The motion carries unanimously.
17
               Report from the Medication, Safety and Welfare
18
     Committee. Commissioner Auerbach.
19
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Okay. We had our
20
    meeting yesterday afternoon here, and the first item was
21
     the discussion of proposed amendment to rename and
22
     construction of riding crop used in the training.
23
    Basically, it's to always keep everything the same through
24
    all the regulations so that when we refer to a whip we are
25
    no longer going to refer to the whip, we are going to
```

1 refer to the riding crop.

2.

And then the second item was discussion and proposed amendment to apply the same provisions for use of the riding crop during races to training, and just a little bit more detail on this one.

Commissioner Solis said that the amendment will cause some initial problems for exercise riders but he believes they will eventually adapt and comply.

Alan Balch said he anticipates a problem with enforcing the three-strike provision in training and he suggested we need more time to work out the details.

Rick Baedeker said the proposal contains simple, straightforward language and should be put out for 45-day public comment, and I agreed with him and that is what we would like to do.

In short, what we are saying is we want to see the same regulations during training hours that we have during races. During races we only permit three strikes with the crop, and we want to see the same restriction when a horse works. We don't want to see excessive use of the crop at any time at the track.

Item No. 3 is the discussion of proposal to apply the same threshold limits for timed workouts as currently enforced in racing for certain drugs. And I said that I never understood why there was a different

1 standard between the drugs used during training and the 2. drugs used during racing. And Rick Baedeker said that this will be an 3 4 extension of outer -- out-of-state -- out-of-competition 5 testing program and that it will be done randomly on horses that work in the morning. 6 7 And Dr. Arthur said horsemen already are 8 applying some of the standards for horses working to get off the vets' list. However, the proposal falls short of 9 10 applying all the restrictions to training that exists for 11 horses in racing. 12 Alan Balch said the trainers are likely to have 13 more questions as the process continues. What the 14 committee did approve and what we do recommend to the 15 Board is that all three of these proposals go out for 16 45-day public comment period and that's as brief as I can 17 make it. 18 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you. 19 COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Unless anybody has any 20 specific questions. 21 Thank you. Thank you very CHAIRMAN WINNER: 22 much, Commissioner Auerbach. 23 So we then go to -- oh, I think Alan Balch 24 submitted a card to discuss on this item. 25 MR. BALCH: Alan Balch, California Thoroughbred 1 Trainers.

2.

Mr. Chairman, Madam Chairwoman of the Committee, thank you for the opportunity to speak just a few minutes. I thought I should speak now rather than on the individual rules. I do agree with the report as it was given.

As you will recall, I did make the points that I am concerned about, this going forward into a 45-day period. We have had this discussion so many times about the fastest, most efficient way to get rules done. And I don't -- if we ask for it to be delayed, then we are accused of being against it. But I would respectfully suggest is that when new ideas like this or old ideas that have been discussed from time to time get ready to come forward it would be great if we could talk staff to staff prior to the language being presented even to the Committee.

And on my way back last night I was thinking about what Counsel Miller had said, that, you know, you have to recognize we're a public body, we're under the open meetings act, we've got to discuss these things in the open. That is absolutely correct as far as the Board and the committees go, but I don't think there's any prohibition of staff talking to staff: Racing board staff, CTT staff, TOC staff, whoever it might be, so we could try to get this language tied down and have our

1 practical questions answered before it even goes to the 2. committee. I think that would be something that would be a great step forward in the future if we could do that, 3 4 because I am always concerned about these unintended consequences knowing that we do feel a sense of urgency to 5 move forward with these things, but let's get the problems 6 7 ironed out before it goes into the OAL process. 8 That's my plea. Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Just a comment on that, Alan. I mean it was before the Committee yesterday. It could very well have been that the Committee would have come to this Board and recommended that it be delayed and go through additional processes. So if the process is in place, we also have to be careful about picking off certain entities to have prediscussions with in formulating the rules.

And the other thing is, frankly, that, you know, staff kind of goes to one thing and crosses it off the list and goes right to the next thing, and whereas it is very reasonable what you are talking about, and I hope we can do it in the future, but there certainly are limitations as to how much of this work we can get done.

MR. BALCH: Of course. We understand that.

Thank you.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
1
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                 Thank you.
 2.
               All right. So the item on the agenda, then, is
     Item No. 12: Discussion and action by the Board regarding
 3
 4
     the proposed amendment to CHRB Rule 1685, Equipment
 5
    Requirement, to change "whip" to "riding crop," and to
    require that riding crops used during training meet the
 6
 7
     same regulatory standards as riding crops used during the
 8
     running of a race.
               Is there any discussion on this item other than
 9
10
     the discussion -- other than the explanation given by
11
     Commissioner Auerbach a moment ago?
12
               Is there a motion?
13
               Commissioner Auerbach moves. Commissioner Solis
14
     seconds.
15
               Commissioner Solis, how do you vote?
16
               COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
17
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Krikorian?
18
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Yes.
19
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Winner votes yes.
20
    Commissioner Auerbach --
21
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Yes.
22
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: -- votes yes.
23
               It's passed unanimously. I think it is a very
24
     good change.
25
               Discussion and action by the Board regarding the
```

```
1
    proposed amendment to CHRB Rule 1688, Use of Riding Crop,
 2.
     to apply its provisions to training in addition to racing.
               Any discussion on this item?
 3
               Commissioner Auerbach moves. Commissioner Solis
 4
 5
     seconds.
               How do you vote, Commissioner Solis?
 6
 7
               COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
 8
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis votes yes.
               Commissioner Krikorian?
 9
10
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Yes.
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Chairman votes yes.
11
12
               Commissioner Auerbach votes yes.
13
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Yes.
14
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Another very good change, in
15
    my judgment.
16
               Number 14: Discussion and action by the Board
17
     regarding the proposed addition to CHRB Rule 1868,
18
    Authorized Medication During Workouts, to establish
19
     threshold limits for the presence of certain drug
20
     substances and medications in official test samples taken
21
     from horses after they complete a timed workout.
22
               Is there any discussion on this item?
23
               Commissioner Solis moves. Commissioner Auerbach
24
     seconds.
25
               How do you vote, Commissioner Auerbach?
```

California Horse Racing Board Regular Meeting, December 15, 2016

```
COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Yes.
1
 2.
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Winner votes yes.
               Commissioner Krikorian?
 3
 4
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Yes.
 5
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Solis?
               COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Yes.
 6
 7
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: This passes. I congratulate
 8
    the Committee for doing a super job, and I really
 9
    support -- strongly support all three of these rule
10
    changes.
11
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Credit goes to Staff.
12
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Credit to Staff. Thank you,
13
    Staff.
14
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Yeah. They did.
15
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Report from the Pari-mutuel
16
    and Wagering Committee.
17
              Mr. Krikorian. Don't chastise anybody. Be
18
    careful.
19
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Well, we met yesterday
20
    and we discussed three different matters regarding ADW
21
     issues. And the first one was auditing.
22
               First, Mark Thurman, with CRIMS, summarized the
23
    auditing procedures for advance deposit wagering. He said
24
    CRIMS downloads data from the totalizator system and the
25
    ADW companies on a daily basis and reconciles the two.
```

2.

Then CRIMS applies all the variables, such as breed, time of day, contractual agreements in calculating the distributions. Thurman described the ADW as the most complex of all distributions. The standard amount CRIMS charges the ADW companies for reconciliation -- ADW is \$3000 a month. Some ADWs do not have -- excuse me, have not been paying that amount, and Thurman said he would prefer to have a direct contract with the ADWs.

Thurman said CRIMS has a \$1.5 million budget deficit for the work it does in California. So it does other work outside and that creates profits to help cover the shortfall in their budget.

Responding to a question from Rick Baedeker,
Counsel Bob Miller said the Board has the authority to
mandate as a condition of licensure that ADWs contract
with CRIMS or any other company designated by the CHRB
requiring equal payment for equal work.

Scott Daruty of Monarch, which negotiates hub agreements with ADW matters for all of California racetracks, said California has by far the best ADW model in the country that provides the most revenue to California stakeholders and therefore we need to be careful when making decisions affecting ADW finances.

Brad Blackwell with TwinSpires echoed Daruty's remarks and suggested that operating in California is

1 getting to the point where it is no longer profitable.

2.

Greg Avioli said the TOC does not necessarily believe that ADWs are not operating profitably in California and if an ADW cannot reach agreement with California interests then they shouldn't be doing business in California.

Francisco Gonzalez, Chief Auditor for the CHRB, said there was a need for ADW companies to provide financial data to the CHRB on a timely basis for a CHRB audit. He said the Board needs better information on the amount of interest being generated on accounts to be certain that money is being distributed properly in compliance with the statute.

Chairman Winner pointed to uncollected balances and inactive ADW accounts as another significant issue and asked the Board -- and asked if the Board has authority to dictate how those balances are distributed.

Counsel Phil Laird said this matter is not addressed in the law or regulations.

Rick Baedeker said Staff will draft language for consideration by the Board at the January meeting to deal with all of these issues in a fair way.

There was a discussion and action on proposals for all licensed California ADWs to contribute more to the racing industry in California. Rick Baedeker noted that

1 racetracks are required by statute to distribute a small 2. percentage of total handle to charitable causes. He asked 3 whether it might be appropriate for ADWs to do the same. 4 John Valenzuela, president of the Pari-mutuel 5 Employees Guild Local 280, stressed the need for ADWs to provide jobs for California workers. Specifically, he is 6 7 asking ADWs for eight new full-time jobs for Local 280 clerks. 8 Chairman Winner noted the law does not require 9 10 ADWs to provide such jobs. So the CHRB cannot require 11 ADWs to provide jobs as a condition of licensure. 12 Chairman Winner set the issue by saying that ADW 13 companies are paid 5 percent of the handle as there's a 14 hub fee. He asked what are you going to do with that nickel to help California racing. 15 16 John Hindman essentially described TVG generated 17 handle and operations, particularly the television network as their contribution to California. 18 19 Eric Sindler with Xpressbet said the Stronach 20 Group contributes greatly to the jockey retirement plan, 21 backstretch pensions, and other worthwhile causes. 22 Daruty also, with Xpressbet, said those 23 deductions amount to 0.295 percent of their nickel. 24 Brad Blackwell said TwinSpires makes numerous

additional contributions to California, such as sending

25

2.

signals into California below market value, which he said greatly benefits California. He said they invest millions in advertising, which also benefits California and he noted that California receives a significant share of the takeout on bets made by California bettors on races outside of California.

Ben Kenny of Watch and Wager said in addition to the contributions cited by Xpressbet, they are a big supporter of the aftercare for standardbreds.

John Ford said BetAmerica provides jobs to California workers.

Chairman Winner reminded everyone that they were asked to tell the Board what additional voluntary steps they would take to benefit California. He said no one had done that.

In response, John Ford said BetAmerica would be willing to give to charities.

Rick Baedeker explained that growth of ADW has come partly at the expense of on-track handle and that important programs such as equine drug testing at the Maddy Laboratory have seen their revenues drop that is based on a percentage of handle at brick-and-motor sites.

Avioli suggested that ADWs do not seem willing to give more voluntarily so it will be up to the TOC to negotiate for such matters in its contracts with the

California Horse Racing Board Regular Meeting, December 15, 2016

```
1
     individual ADWs. He specifically mentioned geolocating in
     order for California stakeholders to receive their fare
 2.
 3
     share of ADW wagers made by patrons located at host
     tracks. He indicated that TOC has made such an agreement
 4
 5
    with Xpressbet and TVG.
               And I asked Staff to come up with
 6
 7
     recommendations to accomplish the stated goals, and
     Chairman Winner said the recommendations should include a
 8
     requirement for ADW companies to provide the Board with
 9
10
    profit and loss statements on California operations.
11
    based on testimony at the meeting, he asked Staff to
12
     consider each individual ADW operation separately by
13
     taking into account such as things size, handle, and
     investments in California.
14
15
               That is the end of my report.
16
               CHAIRMAN WINNER:
                                 Thank you very much,
17
     Commissioner Krikorian.
18
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: I'll go back to sleep,
19
    now.
20
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Any comments? Any comments on
21
     the report from the Committee?
22
               All right.
                           Then we will move on to Item 16:
23
               Discussion and action by the Board regarding the
24
    presentation from the California licensed advance deposit
25
    wagering ADW providers (NewCo Ventures North America, LLC,
```

California Horse Racing Board Regular Meeting, December 15, 2016

```
1
     dba NYRAbets.com; Lien Games Racing LLC, Churchill Downs
 2.
     Technology Initiative Company, dba TwinSpires.com; Watch
 3
     and Wager.com., LLC; XpressBet, LLC, and ODS Technologies,
 4
     L.P., dba TVG regarding proposals for ADW companies to
 5
     contribute to the racing industry in California.
 6
               I'm not exact -- there's no -- I'm not exactly
 7
     sure what the action is.
 8
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Good point.
    don't think there is any action to be taken unless some --
9
10
     anyone from the ADWs would like to come forward and offer
11
     a plan or a proposal?
12
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: What I don't see here is
13
     that -- and maybe I am mistaken, but I thought that we
14
     granted the license through January to the ADWs.
15
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: We did that, and
16
    we said at the time that the licenses would be back on the
17
     agenda in January.
18
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Okay. So there is no action
19
     to be taken. There is -- I do have a request by
20
     John Valenzuela to speak on this issue.
21
               So John, would you like to speak?
22
               MR. VALENZUELA: If I may, I don't think the
23
     other Committee members or commissioners got a copy of the
24
     letter. May I give it to you?
25
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Sure.
```

MR. VALENZUELA: Okay. Well, good afternoon, 1 2. Commissioners, Executive Director, Chairman, everybody 3 I am here representing Local 280. 4 My name is John Valenzuela, and I am president 5 of PMEG, Local 280, labor for the whole state of California when it comes to --6 7 CHAIRMAN WINNER: John, I am going to have to 8 interrupt you. 9 MR. VALENZUELA: Yes, sir. 10 CHAIRMAN WINNER: I am going to give you a few 11 minutes here so keep it brief, but, in fact, you are 12 speaking on an item that isn't agendaed. The item agenda 13 talks about what the ADWs are going to do for racing in 14 California. It doesn't deal with jobs or labor issues. MR. VALENZUELA: 15 Okay. I think the reason why 16 I'm addressing this is because you are asking for how they 17 can benefit the industry. By providing jobs to employees 18 here at the state of California is that you provide a job for -- one of our members becomes a taxpayer; a taxpayer 19 20 is a consumer. And what's good for racing is when you do 21 have -- create taxpayers and consumers and the thing is 22 that we are licensed by the State of California, and I 23 know it is the Board is in a very difficult situation, but

the licensing for these issues. So when we ask for jobs,

the bottom line is that you are the one that does finalize

24

25

California Horse Racing Board Regular Meeting, December 15, 2016

```
1
     it is for the better -- for the industry as a whole.
 2.
               So if I may, I would just like to read my letter
 3
     for the record and then if that is okay with you.
 4
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: You don't have to read it.
                                                              Wе
 5
    will put it in the record as written.
 6
               MR. VALENZUELA:
                                Okay.
 7
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: If you don't mind. I mean
 8
    we'll include it in the record -- in the record of the
 9
    meeting.
               MR. VALENZUELA: Okay. Well, to be brief,
10
11
     again, knowing after our conversation yesterday and
    being -- your statement is alluding that -- well,
12
13
    basically the statute states it can be conditional.
14
               Well, we understand that. This proposal
15
    basically was a suggestion and asking if they were being
16
     willing to step up to the plate and offer jobs. Now,
17
     everybody can take a position saying that we are, you
18
    know, trying to use it as leverage.
19
               Well, the bottom line is that we have had 15
20
    years of this issue about trying to get jobs from TVG,
21
    Xpressbet, and so on. And because of the way the law has
22
     come today is for -- as it has evolved today, we are not
23
     able to get jobs, and we were part of the intent to create
24
     jobs from the beginning.
25
               I understand that where our conversation
```

2.

yesterday, you know, Roger Licht was very, very adamant about how it was, you know. The intent -- the jobs were supposed to be provided, and today, as we go further into this, it was originally three ADW companies to deal with. And now we are going to have seven, and going forward how many more? And we're not getting jobs from anybody, but thank you for --

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you. Thank you, John.

Again, just to make a point for those who weren't there at the meeting yesterday, I think -- I think it's fair to say that many members of the Board or members of the Board are sympathetic with the concern that you have and the request that you have for a whole lot of reasons, and that was expressed yesterday at the meeting.

The fact is that the law that was passed requires card checking neutrality. Your representatives were there when the law was passed in, whatever, 2002, whatever it was, and that's what the law requires.

There's nothing in the law that requires us or even permits us to intervene in a contractual relationship between the companies and the union.

I agree with you that what you were doing is requesting that the companies take jobs into consideration and the importance of California jobs. Most of the jobs are in Oregon and other places that the ADWs have. And

California Horse Racing Board Regular Meeting, December 15, 2016

```
1
     what you are requesting is California jobs. And your
 2.
     request, I think, was for eight jobs. But, again, that is
 3
     something we can't mandate, but it certainly is a request
 4
     that you can discuss with the various ADW companies.
               MR. VALENZUELA: Well, just to give you -- we
 5
     did pass out that letter, and basically it is a proposal.
 6
 7
    We haven't had any responses from anybody at this time.
 8
     It is still out there. Evidently this is not on the
 9
     agenda for getting licensed.
10
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: As I said yesterday, John, the
11
    proper place for you to have this discussion is probably
12
     at the legislative -- with the legislature.
13
               MR. VALENZUELA: I just want to point out also
14
    by statute, if there was a contractural agreement with any
15
     of the ADW companies for jobs, we wouldn't be having the
16
     discussion because it basically exempts them from having
17
     to have a license --
18
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Right.
19
               MR. VALENZUELA: It asks for a signature every
20
    year -- every two years.
21
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: I understand.
22
               MR. VALENZUELA: Thank you.
23
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you very much.
24
               Tony Allevato.
25
               MR. ALLEVATO: Tony Allevato, NYRAbets,
```

1 President.

2.

Just on a couple of matters. First, on the geolocating, we have had talks with TOC in the past, just from a general standpoint, on what we thought could and couldn't work. We also saw the proposal or the letter for the first time this week, but we don't really have any major issues with it. We consider it, as you stated earlier, a TOC matter, not a Board matter at this point, and we anticipate working that out in advance to be able to take wagering at the -- for the Santa Anita meet which begins on December 26th; so we don't currently see that as an issue.

And on the charitable donations issue, NYRA, of course, already makes many charitable donations to the racing industry, seven figures worth. But having said that, we still think it is important to donate in the market that we are working in; so we will be, on our own, voluntarily making donations to the racetrack charities in California and we can come up with an agreed amount in the future. And there are also other things that we can do for charities involving our simulcast shows and our TV shows that we do on Fox to promote those charities as well.

CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you very much. We appreciate that very much, Tony, and I know that John also

California Horse Racing Board Regular Meeting, December 15, 2016

```
1
    yesterday on behalf of BetAmerica made the same point at
 2.
    the meeting yesterday. I think that was discussed
    earlier, that BetAmerica would be making additional
 3
     charitable contributions in California.
 4
 5
               And I have a card from John. So you can
    either -- you can either say I'm wrong or not.
 6
 7
               MR. FORD: No, no. You are correct. And I just
 8
    wanted to reaffirm the discussion during the ADW committee
    meeting yesterday that the idea of designating charity
 9
10
    days for ADWs, I think is a great idea, and we will be
11
    doing that the upcoming year, and based upon the
12
    discussion of the Winners Foundation yesterday, I think
13
     that is a great charity to contribute to, and so that will
14
    be our designated charity for 2017.
15
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Thank you very, very much.
16
               Anything else? We don't have a closed session
17
    today, I believe.
18
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: We do not.
19
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: We do not.
20
              All right. Is there a motion to adjourn? I'm
21
    sorry.
22
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: One question.
23
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.
24
               Commissioner Krikorian.
25
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Was there any action to
```

California Horse Racing Board Regular Meeting, December 15, 2016

```
1
    be taken on this?
 2.
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Other than to accept the
 3
     gracious contributions from NYRA and BetAmerica, I don't
    know what --
 4
 5
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: January --
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Yes, that's
 6
 7
     correct. We list -- we list these things for discussion
 8
     and action unless it is clear that there is no action to
 9
    be taken so --
10
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: All right. I see.
11
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: -- in this
12
     instance there really -- as it turns out there isn't any
13
     action.
14
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: But you are going to be
15
     on the agenda again for January?
16
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: For the license
17
     applications --
18
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: For January, for the
19
     license --
20
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Right.
21
               Well, is that going to give them enough time
22
     then to provide us with the financial information we have
23
    been asking for?
24
               EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BAEDEKER: Yesterday -- we
25
     discussed yesterday that we would have an additional
```

```
1
     agenda item for January presenting to the Board staff
 2.
     recommendations for audits that would be performed going
 3
     forward, including which financial documents would be --
 4
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Okay. So we'll
 5
     establish what we want at that meeting. Got it.
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: We didn't say we'd require
 6
7
     them to have it for January. We said we would -- the
 8
     January meeting would set up the conditions.
 9
               Okay. Any other discussion?
10
               No? Motion to adjourn?
11
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Oh, no, I want to stay.
12
    No.
         Yes.
13
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: Commissioner Auerbach moves,
14
     Commissioner Krikorian seconds.
               All in favor -- we have to have a roll call --
15
16
               COMMISSIONER AUERBACH: Aye.
17
               COMMISSIONER KRIKORIAN: Aye.
18
               COMMISSIONER SOLIS: Aye.
19
               CHAIRMAN WINNER: This meeting is adjourned.
20
    Thank you very much, everyone.
21
               (At 1:33 p.m., the proceedings were
22
                concluded.)
23
                               -000-
24
25
```

```
1
     STATE OF CALIFORNIA
                              ) ss.
 2.
     COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
 3
 4
            I, ANN BONNETTE, C.S.R. No. 6108, do hereby
 5
     certify:
            That the foregoing meeting of the Regular Meeting
 6
7
    of the California Horse Racing Board was taken before me
     at the time and place therein set forth and was taken down
 8
    by me in shorthand and transcribed into computer-generated
9
10
     text under my direction and supervision; and I hereby
     certify the foregoing transcript of my shorthand notes so
11
12
     taken.
13
            I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor
14
     related to any party to said meeting nor in any way
15
     interested in the outcome thereof.
16
            IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my
17
    name this 22nd day of December, 2016.
18
19
20
21
22
23
    ANN BONNETTE, CSR NO. 6108
24
25
```

| WORD INDEX | 16 9:7 108: <i>15</i> | 22:2 23:3, 6 | 21 126:2, 2 | Absolutely 41:5 |
|---|--|---|--|---------------------------------|
| | 151:22 | 83:10, 11 87:7 | 48,000 111:22 | 142:21 |
| <\$> | 16,900 100:7 | 108:13, 15 109:22 | 49 19:23 | abstained 92:23 |
| \$1.4 99:9 | 1685 8:5 144:4 | 117:8 137:9 | 4961 1:11 10:10 | abstention 92:21 |
| \$1.5 147:9 | 1688 8: <i>14</i> 145: <i>1</i> | 138:6 139: <i>1</i> | | 107:4 |
| \$100,000 112: <i>3</i> | 17 4:3, 19 9:19 | 158: <i>14</i> | <5> | Abu 119: <i>15</i> |
| 116:13, 15 117:23 | 13:1 20:10 22:23 | 2018 60:1 89:11 | 5 5:10 6:22 7:9, | accent 111:11 |
| \$16,900 110:9 | 50:25 57:14 89:12 | 20s 114: <i>16</i> | 20 15:2 50:11 | accept 26:24 |
| 130:9 | 17th 92: <i>10</i> | 21 4:8, 25 5:6 | 53:10 62:19, 24 | 53:13 59:14 |
| \$3 51: <i>17</i> | 1800 40: <i>15</i> | 23:3, 6 | 137:10 138:4, 24 | 76: <i>16</i> 121: <i>16</i> |
| \$30,000 116: <i>13</i> , <i>14</i> | 1868 8: <i>18</i> 145: <i>17</i> | 21st 82: <i>3</i> | 149: <i>13</i> | 159:2 |
| \$3000 147:6 | 18th 92:11 | 22 4:14 5:12 | 50 125:22 130:16, | acceptable 40:20 |
| \$389,000 97:4, 4 | 19 130:9 | 83:11 | 16 | 57:25 100:15 |
| \$400 112:22 114:2 | 19540 124: <i>1</i> | 22nd 161: <i>17</i> | 500 47:20 | acceptance 53:20 |
| \$782,000 99: <i>10</i> | 19604 6:22 7:9, | 23 125:23 | 59 50:24 | access 7:6, 17 |
| \$900,000 96:2, 4 | 20 137:10 138:4, | 24 47:22 68:21 | | 53:2 138:2, 21 |
| 106:5 | 24 | 24th 95:24 96:3 | <6> | accommodate |
| | 19607.1 5:23 6:10 86:24 | 25 100:5 25th 29:8 | 6 5:17 86:19 60 98:7, 16 | 98:20 101:12 |
| <0> 0.295 149:23 | 19607.3 90:24 | | 60 98:7, 16 61 15:3 | 105:22 accommodating |
| 0.295 149:23 0.5 98:5 | 127:1 132:4 | 26 5:4, 8 6:20 23:4, 7 137:8 | 6108 1:25 161:4, | 56:23 73:6 |
| 0.50 6:14 137:4 | 1966 125:23 | 26th 40:25 41:1 | 23 | accompli 40:3 |
| 0.50 0.17 157.7 | 1974 16:3 | 66:10 82:4 | 68 15: <i>1</i> | accomplish 26:9 |
| <1> | 1990s 67:12 | 136:19, 20, 21 | 00 13.1 | 151:7 |
| 1 4:3 15:6 88:8 | 1st 40:5, 6 88:11 | 157:11 | <7> | account 30:15, 16 |
| 92:9 93:17 96:7 | 103:5, 8 104:8 | 28 98:7 114: <i>16</i> | 7 4:22 6:3 23:1 | 48:18 151:13 |
| 98:17 105:19 | 108:14 111:14, 18, | 280 149:5, 7 | 47:22 98:8 | accounted 70:24 |
| 1.1 15:6 | 20 112:13 116:25 | 153:3, 5 | 70 96:25 97:1 | accounting 25:12 |
| 1.2 15:5 | 117:8 118:6 | 29 114: <i>16</i> | 98:9 101:4, 6 | accounts 148:11, |
| 1.25 107:24 | 119:10 127:5, 7 | 2nd 111:25 | 105:8 106: <i>13</i> | 15 |
| 1.5 53:12, 15 65:6 | | | 130:17 | Accreditation |
| 1:03 136: <i>10</i> | <2> | < 3 > | 700 88: <i>17</i> | 15: <i>13</i> |
| 1:33 160:2 <i>1</i> | 2 4:6 5:12 53:14, | 3 4:8, 11 53:10, | 700,000 117: <i>1</i> | accurate 33:3 |
| 10 7: <i>13</i> 138: <i>18</i> | 15, 16 69:1, 17 | 11 65:7 70:25 | | 46:20 58:20 |
| 100,000 119: <i>18</i> | 73:20, 23 83:10 | 73:23 140:22 | <8> | accuse 58:19 |
| 11 7:24 | 96:22, 23 97:13 | 30 25:11 39:7 | 8 6:12 136:23 | accused 142:11 |
| 12 4:3 8:4 19:4 | 98:2, 5, 13 104:23 | 65:14, 20 66:2, 5 | 8.6 70:24 | achieve 42:2 |
| 70:24 144:3 | 109:18 126:7, 8 | 71:7, 7, 14 96:25 | 83 5:10 | acknowledge 15:7 |
| 12:45 136:9 | 127:12, 18, 19 | 98:9, 11 101:10 | 850 88:11, 17 | acknowledged |
| 13 4:6 8:12 13,000 100:6 | 129: <i>16</i> 2.9 7:7, <i>18</i> 138: <i>3</i> , | 102: <i>14</i> 103: <i>23</i> 104: <i>22</i> 105: <i>4</i> , <i>8</i> | 86 5:17 | 15:14 16:8 17:7 acquire 57:1 |
| 136 6:12 | 2.9 7:7, 16 138:3, | 104:22 103:4, 8 | <9> | acquire 37.1 act 40:17 57:7 |
| 130 0.12 137 6:24 | 20 4:23 5:7 23:1, | 116:5, 11 130:17 | 9 6:24 98:8 | 123:16 125:18 |
| 137 0.24 138 7:13 | 6 96:7 100:5 | 30th 105:19 | 137:24 | 127:2 142:20 |
| 139 7:24 | 103:23 104:6 | 31 6:20 137:9 | 9:41 1:17 10:2 | acted 118:14 |
| 13th 95:19 | 2002 155:17 | 35-year 16:4 | 90 6:3 96:16 | acting 77:17 |
| 14 8: <i>17</i> 114: <i>15</i> | 2008 19:12, 22 | 365 47:22 | 98:16 | ACTION 4:2, 14 |
| 145: <i>16</i> | 107:11 | | | 5:2, 10 6:2, 12, 24 |
| 1400 102:20 103:9 | 2011 126: <i>13</i> | <4> | < A > | 7:2, 13 8:2, 4, 12, |
| 144 8: <i>4</i> | 2015 95:16 105:12 | 4 4:14 5:3 22:18 | A.M 1:17 10:2 | 17 9:2, 7 22:19 |
| 145 8: <i>1</i> 2, <i>1</i> 7 | 2016 1:15 4:4, 20, | 23:3 | ability 58:8 61:16 | 67:3 76:11 83:9 |
| 146 9:4 | 23, 23, 25 5:4, 6, 8 | 4.5 50:12 53:10, | 77:8 128:1 130:24 | 84:1 85:25 86:2 |
| 15 1: <i>15</i> 9: <i>4</i> 10: <i>3</i> , | 6:20 10:3, 10 | 11 62:20, 24 | able 21:24 23:11, | 90:15 108:7, 8, 23 |
| 9 71:9 96:6 | 13:1 22:3, 3, 23 | 40 101:5 | 19 41:7 50:19 | 109:17 110:17, 18, |
| 100:4 154:19 | 23:1, 1, 3, 4, 6, 7 | 45 78:20, 21 | 51:4, 4 58:7, 10 | 20 128:2, 3, 10, 12, |
| 150 88:5 | 30:2, 7 31:1 | 101:6 117:9 | 64:25 95:16 | 14, 18, 19 132:3 |
| 1500 40: <i>15</i> | 95:18, 23 105:12 | 119:10 | 100:25 101:11, 21 | 136:24 137:2, 24 |
| 151 9:7 | 106:7 137:8 | 45-day 140: <i>13</i> | 120:1 154:23 | 138:18 144:3, 25 |
| 158 9:19 | 161:17 | 141:16 142:7 | 157:9 | 145:16 148:23 |
| 15th 91:17 92:1 | 2017 5:3, 7, 12, 13 | 48 26:21 68:13, | absence 108:22 | 151:23 152:7, 9, |
| 96:1, 2 | 6:20 7:11, 22 | | 109:16 | |

| Calllornia n |
|--|
| 10 150 25 150 0 |
| 18 158:25 159:8, |
| 8, 13 |
| actions 4:9 97:2 |
| active 15:20 |
| activities 84:15 |
| acts 60:11 |
| actual 53:20 |
| 101:5 |
| adamant 155:1 |
| |
| adapt 140:8 |
| add 25:22 47:9 54:6 135:15 |
| 54:0 135:15 |
| adding 88:5 |
| addition 8:15, 18 |
| 106:18 145:2, 17 |
| 150:7 |
| additional 34:5 |
| 84:23 97:3 |
| 106:22 143:14 |
| 149:25 150:13 |
| 158:3 159:25 |
| |
| additions 13:3 |
| address 55:16 |
| 57:25 59:1, 2 |
| 65:14 69:4 |
| 109:20 115:2 <i>1</i> |
| addressed 20:7 |
| 43:11 53:24 |
| 64:10 95:10 |
| 148:19 |
| addressing 4:10 |
| 43:21 153:16 |
| |
| adjourn 136:7 158:20 160:10 |
| |
| adjourned 160:19 |
| adjudicate 94: <i>15</i> 127: <i>1</i> , <i>2</i> , <i>13</i> 128:9 |
| 127:1, 2, 13 128:9 |
| 132:4 133:24 |
| adjusting 76:25 |
| administering |
| 93:14 |
| administers 130:7 |
| Administration |
| 18:19 |
| Administrative |
| 19:10 |
| admission 21:4 |
| admitted 125:23 |
| advance 6:16 7:6, |
| 17 9:9 59:19 |
| 1/ 9:9 39:19 |
| 137:5 138:2, 22 |
| 146:23 151:24 |
| 157:9 |
| advantage 72:18, |
| 20, 24, 25 |
| advertising 150:3 |
| advice 20:1 21:10 |
| 56:15 |
| ADW 7:18 9:9, |
| 15 23.21 24.17 |
| 15 23:21 24:17, 18 25:6, 8, 23 |
| 10 25:0, 0, 25 |
| |

```
26:20 27:15 29:2,
4 30:14 31:4
32:6 33:17 34:19
38:18 50:14, 22
51:2, 8, 10, 11, 21
54:20, 23 55:7, 17,
22, 22, 23, 24, 25
56:7, 13 57:13, 15
62:11, 25 63:10
64:1, 25 65:12
69:1, 14 70:25
71:8, 10, 19 72:16
73:15, 18, 23, 24
138:22 146:20, 25
147:3, 5, 5, 19, 20,
23 148:4, 8, 15
149:12 150:18
151:3, 9, 12, 25
152:4 155:4
156:4, 15 158:8
ADWs 24:22
25:2, 5, 13, 13, 17,
19 26:6, 12 27:2,
25 29:21 32:22
34:11 49:8 50:23
51:6, 12, 13, 13, 15
52:2, 15 55:15
56:2 57:21, 23, 25
58:8, 13 61:17
63:1, 22, 25 65:3
69:14 70:5, 8
73:18 75:18 76:8,
16, 24 77:1 79:23,
25 147:6, 8, 15
148:3, 24 149:3, 5,
7, 10, 11 150:23
151:1 152:10, 14
153:13 155:25
158:10
Affairs 19:9
affect 43:14
affirm 84:2 85:25
86:8, 11
affirmative 126:4
afford 100:24
114:2 122:18
aftercare 150:9
afternoon 118:24
122:23 139:20
153:1
age 38:8 117:21
agencies 18:19
agenda 10:17, 19
11:2, 5, 8 12:1
27:14 48:17
65:22 78:5 83:8
93:8, 10 98:3
110:17 128:2, 4,
15, 18, 21 132:3
133:25 136:24
```

144:2 152:17

153:12 156:9 159:*15* 160:*1* **agendaed** 110:19 128:13 153:12 agendized 79:2 ago 26:21 38:12 65:1, 2 73:7 77:20 95:6 100:18 108:14 113:8 123:2, 11 144:11 agree 37:25 38:21, 22 41:10 44:6 52:6 70:20 74:21 75:11 94:4 142:5 155:22 **agreed** 41:12 56:9 61:3, 4, 4, 11 78:8 84:21 89:20 91:24, 25 103:20 107:2, 3 140:14 157:19 agreeing 44:21 61:15 agreement 5:19 6:5 23:22, 24 24:5, 12, 15 26:21, 22 28:7, 10, 11 29:6, 20 30:2, 7, 13 32:2, 2, 18, 20 34:4, 24 35:3 36:15 37:12 38:4, 20 39:15 40:2 41:13, 16, 20, 20, 24, 24 42:7, 20 43:5 44:1, 2, 19, 22 45:2, 16, 22, 25 46:4, 14, 22 47:3, 19 48:21, 22, 25 50:11, 13, 16 54:25, 25 55:4, 5, 8, 18, 20 56:21 59:10, 10, 12, 25 60:9, 24, 25 61:5 63:6 65:1, 2, 5, 9, *15* 67:*13*, *14*, *16* 68:8, 12 70:4, 6, 7, 14, 15, 21 71:8, 19 72:1, 4, 10, 11, 12, 13 74:4, 11, 14, 17 75:8, 9, 22 77:20 78:7 80:11, 11 81:3, 9 86:21 87:14, 18, 19 88:21, 22, 25 89:3, 13, 23, 24 90:21 95:23, 23, 25 96:3, 24 97:19 148:4 151:4 156:14 agreements 25:8 28:1, 3, 11 30:5, 5,

10, 14, 17 33:17 34:14 41:23 47:6 48:19 49:8 61:6 68:11 72:23 74:4 147:2, 19 **AG's** 19:11 ahead 40:22, 23 45:9 47:22 66:18, 19, 19 74:16 81:6 132:*11* **Air** 18:*11* **Al** 87:16 88:1, 5, 7 112:20 113:3 Alameda 2:17 126:*1* 129:2 **ALAMITOS** 1:9, 12 4:21, 22 10:3, 10, 11 22:24, 25 23:11 46:23 65:24 72:15 88:16 98:8 Alan 2:16 37:23 39:18 40:22 48:1 140:9 141:12, 23, 25 143:11 **Alex** 2:6 10:14 12:12 52:9 **align** 73:3 **aligned** 51:14 Allevato 3:7 156:24, 25, 25 all-expense 17:24 allocate 73:14 allocated 5:13 83:11 allow 29:25 32:11 117:18 118:3 119:20 127:19 128:11 129:8 131:17 **allowed** 108:17 109:12 110:2 119:16 130:13 **allowing** 122:24 **alluded** 59:18 **alluding** 154:12 alternate 89:11 alternative 110:10 alternatives 55:3 altogether 15:2 81:10 Ambulance 49:14 **amend** 95:22 amended 95:25 108:9 amendment 8:5, 13 129:7 139:21 140:3, 6 144:4 145:*1* amendments 47:3

America 9:10 151:25 **amount** 27:4 130:*1* 147:*4*, *7* 148:11 149:23 157:19 analysis 65:22 66:15 Angeles 4:24 18:23 23:2 69:2 161:2 **Angels** 14:10 **animals** 16:23 120:22 **Anita** 2:18, 20 4:24 5:4 13:23 14:7, 9 16:8 23:2, 4, 18 24:25 25:3 26:4, 11, 13, 22, 25 27:10, 19 34:21 38:14 40:11, 19 44:24 45:22 46:6, 23 47:1 48:20 55:1 56:6 61:3 65:24 70:13 72:5, 25 82:2 87:16 88:1, 18 98:6 112:1 116:24, 24 117:23 119:13 136:22 157:10 **Anita's** 44:*1* **ANN** 1:25 161:4, 23 **announce** 136:17 annual 36:23 89:6 **annually** 29:13 answer 29:19 31:23 37:19 43:16 69:18 92:25 116:22 answered 69:12 114:7 143:*1* anticipate 32:7 157:9 anticipated 40:5 68:20 anticipates 140:9 anticompetitive 50:22 anti-competitive 70:19 **anybody** 33:20 55:8 65:13 69:19 82:6 96:14 107:2 113:23 132:9 134:17 136:14 141:19 146:17 155:6 156:7 **anymore** 100:24 **anytime** 77:16 133:8, 9

| California H |
|--|
| anyway 42:20 |
| 95:15 112:12 |
| 120:24 121:17 |
| apart 38:11 |
| 101:11 102:14 apologize 21:20 |
| 69:9 71:15 87:23 |
| 158:23 |
| Appaloosa 124:6 |
| APPEARANCES |
| 2:1 3:1 appearing 10:17, |
| 19 |
| Appellate 19:10 |
| applicants 66:1 |
| application 30:19 32:1 35:16 65:25 |
| 81:4 93:5 109:10 |
| 134:10 |
| applications 4:16 |
| 22:21 35:13 |
| 80:17 159:17 |
| applied 121:10 135:7 |
| applies 48:20 |
| 147:1 |
| apply 8:14 19:18 |
| 52:20 140:3, 23 145:2 |
| |
| applying 141:8, 10 appointed 19:12 |
| appointment 16:3 |
| appreciate 84:24 |
| 110: <i>14</i> 122:2 <i>3</i> 157:2 <i>5</i> |
| appreciation 17:6 |
| approach 26:8 |
| 37:9 61:24 110:9 |
| appropriate 50:19 149:3 |
| Approval 4:3 |
| 6.13 12.25 32.20 |
| 35:17 65:25 |
| 35:17 65:25 68:25 70:3 74:5, 6 81:8 91:5 |
| 101:21 109:9 |
| 136:25 137:3 |
| approvals 55:17 |
| approve 35:16 |
| 55:19, 25 69:13 |
| 81:7 91:2 127:3 141: <i>14</i> |
| approved 4:19 |
| 22:23 91:15 95:1 |
| 122:13 |
| approving 56:7 April 97:7 98:14 |
| 104:10 105:19 |
| 111:20, 25 112:1, |
| 13 116:25 118:6 |
| |

| rse Racing B |
|---|
| 119:3, 11 133:2 |
| 134:7 |
| Arabian 21:23 |
| 111:10, 14, 21 |
| 112:3, 4, 14, 18 |
| 113:8, 9, 10, 14 |
| 114:19 116:3, 22 |
| 117:3, 21, 23 |
| 119:12 122:25 |
| 123:2, 3 124:5 |
| Arabians 101:15 |
| 114: <i>11</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>16</i> |
| 115:17 116:10, 14, |
| 23 118:25 |
| Arabs 117:10, 17, |
| <i>18</i> 118: <i>4</i> |
| ARAC 2:19 21:23 |
| arbitrate 62:21 |
| arbitration 55:20 |
| 62:22 |
| arbitrator 127:2 |
| area 39:7 109:4 |
| 123:12 |
| areas 95:2 |
| arena 24:17 30:14 |
| argue 17:5 90:11, |
| 14 |
| argument 106:11 |
| arguments 133:14 |
| Army 18:18 |
| Art 15: <i>11</i> |
| Arthur 2:12 |
| 141:7 |
| articulated 109:14 |
| asked 11:24 |
| 16:17 52:18, 20 |
| 53:6 55:15 73:14 |
| 75:18 85:7 |
| 121: <i>11</i> 148: <i>16</i> , <i>16</i> 149:2, <i>14</i> 150: <i>13</i> |
| 149:2, <i>14</i> 150: <i>13</i> |
| 151:0. 11 |
| asking 3/:18 |
| asking 37:18 52:23 81:21 98:12 99:11, 23 |
| 98:12 99:11, 23 |
| 105:11, 24 128:20, 21 149:7 153:16 |
| |
| 154: <i>15</i> 159: <i>23</i> |
| asks 71:25 156:19 |
| Assembly 126:13 |
| assigning 130:17 |
| assist 32:17 |
| Assistant 18:4 |
| associated 53:18 |
| Association 4:21 5:5 16:4 21:23 |
| 22:25 23:5 66:3 |
| 74:10, 14, 15, 18 |
| 14:10, 14, 15, 18 110:1 |
| associations 4:18 |
| 6:17 7:10, 21 |
| 0.17 7.10, 21 |

22:22 66:4

```
109:18 137:6
138:5, 25
assume 41:2, 2
assumption 41:7
assure 135:22
attach 66:25
attached 16:21
attempt 66:17
attend 22:7
attendance 84:15,
attended 17:25
attention 47:14
125:13
attorney 18:2, 4
19:7, 16
attorneys 20:1
Attorney's 18:6, 25
attractive 73:25
audit 148:10
audited 100:7
auditing 146:21, 23
Auditor 148:7
audits 160:2
Auerbach 2:5
10:13 13:6, 7
14:10 42:24 44:4,
7, 14 45:17 52:10,
12 54:4, 9 57:17
59:3 65:19 71:21,
25 72:3, 17 73:4
76:2 81:12, 14, 16,
19, 23 82:8, 9, 10,
19, 21 83:2, 3
86:2, 6, 12 90:7,
10 107:1, 5
135:14 137:13, 20,
21 138:10, 11
139:6, 7, 8, 18, 19
141:19, 22 144:11,
13, 20, 21 145:4,
12, 13, 23, 25
146:1, 11, 14
159:5 160:11, 13,
16
August 5:12, 12
83:10, 11 84:13
86:16
authority 60:8
61:18 94:7, 21
108:24 109:6
128:7 133:17
134:19 147:14
148:16
Authorized 8:19
145:18
automatically
109:10
auxiliary 91:19
92:4, 10 96:8
```

```
103:21 104:8
109:9 114:25
117:7
available 16:23
40:5 72:5 100:2
104:13 106:19
110:7 123:22, 23
132:6 134:20
AVENUE 1:11
10:11
Avioli 2:15 54:12,
12 69:6, 6, 18, 22,
24 70:2 71:3, 15,
19, 24 72:2, 7, 19
73:10 74:2 87:10,
11, 11 88:14, 23
89:1, 5, 7, 15, 21,
25 148:2 150:23
Award 15:10, 11,
12, 19, 22 17:9, 15
awarded 80:17
aware 75:12
awful 120:9
Aye 160:16, 17, 18
< B >
back 27:14 34:18
47:14 54:5, 10
59:23 79:2 84:10
89:3 95:17 97:11
102:11, 20 104:10,
17 107:10 111:7
117:25 119:10
121:3 123:4, 7
142:17 151:18
152:16
background 17:22
26:2
backside 14:13.17
102:6 103:12
122:5
backstretch 14:6
47:15, 16 74:15
102:18 121:19
149:21
bad 12:15
Baedeker 2:7
13:15, 16 17:16
18:20 20:22 21:3
22:13 23:8 29:6
30:3, 18, 23 31:7,
10, 16 32:5 35:15
49:20 54:18
56:15 59:16 60:6
61:2 65:16, 21
66:12, 20 67:8, 19,
24 68:6, 15, 19
74:19 78:14, 24
79:9, 12 80:15, 23
81:15 84:4 86:25
90:16 93:2
```

```
115:15 134:9, 14
136:19 140:12
141:3 143:10
147:13 148:20, 25
150:18 152:8, 15
158:18 159:6, 11,
16, 24
balance 73:2
92:13 122:9
balances 148:14,
17
Balch 2:16 37:23,
23 39:4, 19, 22, 25
41:5, 12 43:23
44:6, 9, 13, 16
45:19 47:8 48:11
140:9 141:12, 23,
25, 25 143:24
ball 124:23
129:13
banged 87:15
bar 125:23
barn 120:6
121:15
barns 119:24
Bartling 2:23
83:25 84:6, 8, 25
85:7, 9 86:15
base 96:10, 11, 14
104:1
based 52:23
66:24 76:24
85:21 96:10 97:9,
15 103:22 134:22
150:22 151:11
158:11
bases 43:20
basically 43:14
55:24 58:14, 19
67:15 88:4 93:21
97:23 107:19
139:23 154:13, 15
156:6, 16
basis 36:23 49:1
89:6 146:25 148:9
battled 27:5
Bay 16:7 107:10,
13, 16, 20
Beach 124:21
Becky 2:23 83:25
84:5, 8
beginning 16:4
82:3 154:24
begins 157:11
behalf 23:17 33:6,
9 50:7, 8 74:20
91:8 107:13
121:23 123:1
158:1
behavior 51:2
```

99:3, 7 101:*1*

| California E |
|---|
| |
| 78:12 |
| behoove 73:5, 5 |
| belief 24:11 |
| believe 27:1, 3, 10 |
| |
| 30:10, 10 31:12 |
| 33:14 36:16 38:2, |
| 3 39:7 46:9 |
| 51:24 55:10 56:3 |
| 69.6 7 72.10 22 |
| 68:6, 7 73:19, 22 |
| 85:20, 24 89:5 |
| 105:17 106:10 |
| 105: <i>17</i> 106: <i>10</i> 108: <i>24</i> 109: <i>6</i> |
| 110:9, 17 120:25 |
| 129:19 131:13 |
| |
| 132:19, 23 148:3 |
| 158:17 |
| believes 140:8 |
| belonged 18:11 |
| |
| Ben 150:7 |
| beneficiary 27:10 |
| benefit 17:10 |
| 27:12 118:7 |
| 150:14 153:17 |
| |
| benefited 20:14 |
| benefiting 16:11 |
| 105: <i>1</i> |
| benefits 150:2, 3 |
| best 12:6 20:16 |
| |
| 24:9, 14 28:16 |
| 36:1, 2 51:8, 24 |
| 53:24 57:8, 12, 12 |
| 60:11, 12, 15, 22, |
| |
| 23 65:11 66:9 |
| 94:7 108:25 |
| 110:9 117:2 <i>1</i> 119:14, 14 123:16, 19 130:8 133:2 <i>1</i> , |
| 119.14 14 123.16 |
| 10 120.9 122.21 |
| 19 150:0 155:21, |
| 24 147:20 |
| bet 28:17 73:13 |
| 116:8, 13, 14 |
| BetAmerica 2:21 |
| 33:16 50:8 71:1 |
| |
| 80:2 150:10, 16 |
| 158: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> 159: <i>3</i> |
| bets 54:23 55:25 |
| 56:8 58:1 69:14 |
| 150:5 |
| 1.00.0 |
| better 119: <i>11</i> 121:7 148: <i>10</i> |
| 121:7 148:10 |
| 154: <i>1</i> |
| betterment 131:1 |
| |
| betting 51:21 |
| 56:4 73:15, 20 |
| 125:5 131:9 |
| bettors 150:5 |
| beyond 17:6 |
| |
| 47:10 122:5 |
| 135:11 |
| big 14:14 15:10 |
| 36: <i>13</i> 59:9 62:23 |
| 73.22 102.14 |
| 73:22 103:14 |
| |

```
111:20, 21 112:8
125:13, 15 150:8
biggest 27:9 70:8
bikes 14:15
Bill 126:13
binding 46:22
bit 15:23 17:22
20:3 26:12 30:12
31:21 46:9
114:10 119:8
140:5
Blackwell 2:22
74:20, 20 77:19
147:24 149:24
blindly 52:18
bloated 118:13
block 53:6, 7
blocking 62:25
BOARD 1:3, 6
4:9, 10, 14, 20
5:10, 17, 18 6:3, 4,
12, 24 7:13 8:4,
12, 17 9:7 10:6, 9,
16, 18 11:5, 20, 20,
25 16:5 19:14, 21
20:9 21:9 22:19,
24 24:11 26:16
28:1 30:4, 7
31:11 32:4 35:16
36:6, 24 37:3, 6, 7
39:16 42:1 49:13
50:7, 16, 19 51:3,
25 53:25 54:19
57:6 58:19 59:4,
22, 24 60:8, 10, 11
61:19 64:5, 7, 10
65:11, 17, 17, 23,
23 66:2, 5, 8, 16
67:15 71:6, 11
73:11, 11 74:2, 11,
16 75:12 77:11,
14 78:2, 12, 15, 22
79:18, 20 80:10
81:4 83:9 84:10,
21 86:1, 19, 20
87:5 89:2, 4, 8, 10,
19 90:19, 20 93:5,
9, 17 94:14, 18, 20
97:20 108:13, 23
109:5, 7, 17
127:12, 14 128:7
132:3, 14 136:24
137:2, 24 138:19
141:15 142:21, 23
143:13 144:3, 25
145:16 147:14
148:10, 16, 16, 21
150:13 151:9, 23
153:23 155:11, 12
157:8 160:1 161:7
boards 19:8
```

```
Board's 11:7
57:7, 11 79:14
94:1, 6 108:7
Bob 17:22, 24
18:1, 3, 9, 17, 25
19:8, 11, 15, 17, 18,
20, 20, 23, 24 20:1,
19 21:4, 8 147:14
body 57:10
142:19
Bomb 18:8
bombs 18:10, 15
BONNETTE 1:25
161:4, 23
bottom 38:6
95:13 153:24
154:19
bound 90:1
bounds 71:12
box 46:15 73:9
boxes 35:10, 12
bov 123:13
Brad 2:22 74:19,
20 76:2 147:24
149:24
brake 18:13
branches 117:16
brand-new 44:5
break 119:8
121:21 136:4
breakdown 34:10
87:2
Breed 19:12, 18
112:4 113:4, 10,
10, 24 114:18
147:1
breeder 118:25
Breeders 14:25
breeds 96:13.14
98:20 101:15, 22
114:14 115:24
116:11 119:23
124:11 125:10, 16
126:11
brick-and-mortar
31:6
brick-and-motor
150:22
brief 141:16
153:11 154:10
briefly 43:24
bring 103:23
bringing 105:20
broad 94:17
broadcasting 53:15
broader 46:1 94:8
brought 129:12
Brown 3:6 20:18
122:21, 22, 24
127:23, 24 128:6,
9, 13, 20, 24
```

```
17:20 20:7
budget 147:9, 12
built 106:9
bump 84:13
bunch 90:25
91:10, 12
burden 55:6 97:3
bureaus 19:8
Burke 21:16
Burt 2:19 21:16,
17, 19, 19, 21
116:19, 21, 21
Business 5:22 6:9,
21 7:8, 19 10:15
58:19 59:21
73:25 76:14
86:23 90:23
107:20 108:9
124:1, 14 126:25
137:9 138:4, 23
148:5
businesses 76:20
buys 122:17
< C >
C.S.R 161:4
Cal 2:25 95:8
107:8
calculating 147:2
calendar 5:21 6:8
7:11, 22 24:25
38:25 83:20
86:22 90:22
93:16 138:6 139:1
CALIFORNIA
1:2, 6, 12 5:19
6:5, 15, 25 7:14
9:8, 17 10:3, 6, 9,
11, 21 11:8 16:5,
6, 8, 12 18:3 20:9,
10, 15, 18 21:5, 8,
24 22:1, 5, 5, 17
27:8 28:17, 22
29:16 37:23 39:1,
4, 14, 18, 21, 25
44:17 45:13, 13,
16, 21 46:17
47:21 48:6 49:2,
13 50:11, 20, 23
51:4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 12,
17, 18, 25 54:22,
24 55:14, 22, 23,
23 56:8, 8, 14
57:8, 13, 14, 16
60:11, 17 64:20,
25 68:14 69:15,
15 73:16, 22
75:19 76:15
79:24 80:1, 4, 8
86:20 87:9 90:20
```

Browning 2:11

91:10, 16 104:25 107:10, 11 108:8 109:5 110:13 111:23 112:19 117:15, 24 123:15, 18, 21, 22 129:14 130:10 131:22 132:5 137:4, 25 138:20 141:25 147:10, 19, 20, 22, 25 148:4, 5, 6, 24, 25 149:6, 15, 18, *25* 150:*1*, *2*, *3*, *4*, *5*, 6, 11, 14 151:2, 10, 14, 24 152:5 153:6, 14, 18, 22 155:24 156:1 157:19 158:4 161:*1*, 7 California-based 58:1 Californian 19:7 call 47:13 62:9 127:*15* 160:*15* called 11:12, 18 24:22 97:22 121:10 **calling** 107:25 108:2 calls 34:12 94:1, 4 capacity 40:4, 7 45:1 134:11 car 18:15 card 50:3 85:2 117:5 124:15 125:2 141:24 155:16 158:5 **cards** 90:25 91:13 118:23 care 47:21 112:8 **career** 16:10 20:12 **careful** 127:*17* 143:15 146:18 147:23 **carefully** 126:*13* 127:2*1* **CARF** 2:24 29:16 70:16 71:23 72:13, 16 85:4, 9, 11, 18 92:23 95:2, 3, 22 96:21 97:1, 12, 17, 18 99:23 100:10 103:19 104:16 105:18 106:6, 10 107:13, 18, 19, 22, 23 114:10 129:4, 13, 25 131:9, 12, 16, 25 **CARF's** 97:25

| Carronna |
|--|
| 101.20 |
| 101:20 |
| carnival 12:20 |
| carried 92:25 |
| carries 13:13 |
| 82:16 137:23 |
| 138:17 139:16 |
| carryover 31:1 |
| carryover 51.1 |
| cars 18:14 |
| case 13:20 18:9 |
| cars 18:14 case 13:20 18:9 33:8 35:25 79:11, |
| <i>11</i> 126:5 |
| cases 16:18 |
| cast 120:12 |
| caught 18:14 |
| |
| 25:1 28:25 32:23 |
| 34:5 63:9 73:1 |
| cause 140:7 |
| causes 149:2, 21 |
| celebrate 125:22 |
| Central 6:18 |
| 137:7 |
| |
| CEO 84:8 |
| certain 8:21 43:2 |
| 46:3 52:16 62:8 |
| 89:7 102:24 |
| 140:24 143:16 |
| 145:19 148:12 |
| |
| certainly 17:6 |
| 22:12 27:12 |
| 33:13 38:23, 23 |
| 45:12 61:16 75:12, 21, 24 |
| 75:12, 21, 24 |
| 78.15 85.14 |
| 78: <i>15</i> 85: <i>14</i> 101: <i>19</i> 102:2 |
| 130:11 143:22 |
| |
| 156:3 |
| certificates 102:1 |
| certification 49:14 |
| certify 161:5, 11, |
| 13 |
| |
| cetera 76:19, 19, |
| 20 134:1, 1 |
| chain 18:15 |
| Chair 87:12 |
| Chairman 2:4 |
| 10:5, 13 12:11, 23 |
| 13:8, 8, 11, 13, 17 |
| 20:25 21:7, 18, 20 |
| 20.23 21.7, 18, 20 |
| 22:11 23:8, 16 |
| 32:25 33:20, 24 |
| 34:23 35:2, 6 |
| 39:17, 20, 24 |
| 40:22, 24 41:6 |
| 42:22 44:12 45:9 |
| 48:3 49:7, 11, 19, |
| 1 40.3 47.7, 11, 19, |
| 24, 25 50:2, 6 |
| 52:4, 8, 11 54:7, |
| 52:4, 8, 11 54:7, 11 56:19 60:18 |
| 62:1, 4, 14 66:11, |
| 19 67:5, 18, 21 |
| 68:1 71:1 74:7 |
| 30.1 /1.1 /7./ |
| |

79:8 80:21 81:11, 13, 18, 21, 24 82:7, 9, 11, 11, 14, 16, 20, 21, 24 83:1, 1, 4, 7 84:5, 24 85:1, 20 86:4, 10, 12, 18 87:8 88:12, 20, 24 89:2, 6, 14, 17, 22 90:4, 13, 18 91:4, *12* 94:3, *19* 99:*14* 101:14, 24 107:3, 6, 25 110:16, 24 111:3, 6, 12 114:7 115:4, 10, 12 116:1, 10, 16, 19 118:22 121:18 122:2, 20 127:22, 24 128:8, 12, 22, *25* 132:8, *21* 133:1, 4, 7, 12 134:13, 15 135:24 136:1, 2, 5, 11, 20 137:17, 19, 22 138:12, 15, 17 139:8, 15 141:18, 21 142:2 143:9 144:1, 17, 19, 22 145:8, 11, 11, 14 146:2, 5, 7, 12, 15 148:14 149:9, 12 150:12 151:8, 16, 20 152:12, 18, 25 153:2, 7, 10 154:4, 7 155:8 156:10, 18, 21, 23 157:24 158:15, 19, 23 159:2, 18 160:6, 13, 19 Chairwoman 142:2 challenge 37:2 101:3 115:20 122:19 challenges 95:3 challenging 20:11 122:4 **change** 8:6 36:18 46:24 47:5 56:16 64:17 89:3, 19 100:6, 6 105:16 132:16 144:5, 24 145:*14* changes 13:3 70:8 146:10 changing 47:7 56:12 88:5 Chaplaincy 14:3 characterized 14:16 **charge** 101:20

charged 76:19 charges 147:5 charitable 25:19 79:20 80:4, 8 149:2 157:13, 14 158:4 **charities** 150:*17* 157:18, 21, 22 **charity** 158:9, 13, 14 chastise 33:14 146:17 chastised 25:5 33:4 44:12, 13 **chatter** 76:10 cheaper 120:2 **check** 46:15 checked 35:9, 13 42:12 **checking** 155:16 checklist 35:19 **cherish** 123:10 Chief 19:21 148:7 **choices** 117:*14* **choose** 80:22 89:10 90:2 94:21 100:2 106:23 128:3, 23 133:24 choosing 20:12 **Choper** 124:8 125:24 **CHRB** 8:5, 13, 18 19:13 29:24 94:13 144:4 145:1, 17 147:16 148:7, 9, 9 149:10 Christmas 13:24 Chuck 2:4 10:12 Churchill 9:11 27:25 28:20 30:16 52:21 152:1 circumstance 130:19 circumstances 64:11 **cited** 150:8 **citizens** 20:14 **civil** 19:2 **clari** 94:3 **clarify** 32:6 67:5 71:1, 17 88:20 89:18 94:11 classification 115:3 **clean** 121:5, 6 **clear** 11:15 35:3 38:1 40:6 56:18 70:2 87:6 124:1, 7, 10 159:8 **clearly** 11:14

clerk 125:24 **clerks** 149:8 clients 58:1 close 38:11 48:9 92:14 95:19 96:6, 7 99:2, 8 100:19 105:19, 19 118:16 121:2 122:11 131:21 134:17 135:*1*, 2 **Closed** 9:19 43:8 99:9 122:14, 15 134:25 158:16 **closely** 51:14 closes 119:20 **Closing** 98:18 99:1 102:5 105:16 118:3 121:9, 25 **closure** 109:*3* 110:11 131:20 **Club** 4:24 23:2 69:2 80:18 122:25 123:4 Code 5:23 6:9, 22 7:8, 20 86:23 90:23 108:10 124:1 126:25 137:10 138:4, 23 **codes** 109:12 collectively 130:8 **Colloquy** 49:25 combined 97:2 come 10:6 11:12 14:2 15:24 17:12, 13 20:23 22:6 23:14 32:13 36:8 42:11, 20 45:18 50:4 57:24 63:25 73:21, 24 76:4 77:1 79:1 86:16 87:4, 7, 9 89:3 96:11 97:21 101:17 102:6 103:21 104:15 107:17 111:6 112:7, 7, 25 113:7, *17*, *18* 114:2 117:22, 25 118:2 119:*10* 120:*1* 122:9, 17, 18 127:14 135:15 142:13 143:13 150:19 151:6 152:10 154:22 157:19 **comes** 16:20 59:23 127:4 153:6 **coming** 14:*17* 28:2 45:7 62:6 85:11 87:3 91:18

98:15 102:9 106:*1* 131:*11* commencing 4:22, 25 5:6 6:19 22:25 23:3, 6 137:8 **commend** 20:*12* **comment** 10:17, 20 11:2, 4, 7, 9, 11 21:15, 25 22:16 54:1 56:20 59:5 62:13 73:10 78:25 79:14 92:5 108:6 109:24 140:14 141:16 143:10 Comments 4:8 10:16, 18 11:5, 23, 24 13:2 38:21 48:4 74:22 110:15 151:20, 20 commission 85:14 Commissioner 2:5, 6, 8 10:13, 14, 14 12:13 13:4, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 14:10 35:6, 7, 15, 21 36:17 37:20 39:2 40:23 41:25 42:14, 18, 24, 25 43:17, 23 44:4, 7, 14 45:10, 17 46:16, 20 48:1 50:1 52:6, 10, 12 54:4, 8, 9 56:18 57:17 59:3 61:21 62:2, 10 64:4, 14, 22 65:19 66:14 71:5, 13, 16, 21, 25 72:3, 9, 17 73:4 76:2 81:12, 14, 16, 19, 23 82:8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 83:2, 3 86:2, 6, 8, 11, 11, 13 89:7 90:7, 8, 9, 10, 12 91:2 102:4 103:11 104:3, 7, 9 105:2 107:1, 5 112:15 114:8, 20, 22 115:2 116:2, 6 132:12, 13 133:5, *10* 135:*14* 137:*13*, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 138:8, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 139:4, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19 140:6 141:19, 22 144:11, 13, 13, 15,

38:5, 17

| carriornia n |
|--|
| 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, |
| 21 145:4, 4, 6, 7, 8, |
| 9, 10, 12, 13, 23, 23, |
| 25 146:1, 2, 3, 4, 5, |
| 6, 11, 14, 19 |
| 151:17, 18 158:22, |
| 24, 25 159:5, 10, |
| 14, 20 160:4, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18 |
| COMMISSIONER |
| S 2:3 17:11, 13 |
| 44:25 78:14 |
| 80:16 86:25 93:4 |
| 123:16 129:5 |
| 135:10 152:23 |
| 153:2 |
| commissions 50:6 97:4 |
| |
| commitment 27:3 90:3 96:25 126:10 committed 63:7 |
| committed 63:7 |
| Committee 7:25 |
| 9:5 15:16 24:22, |
| 23 25:10, 18 26:2 |
| 27:23 33:2 50:14 |
| 51:11, 11 54:14 |
| 65:3 87:2, 7 |
| 91:16, 21 92:7, 20 95:1 96:6 107:12 |
| 108:22, 23 115:21 |
| 121:5 130:7, 13 |
| 139: <i>18</i> 141: <i>14</i> |
| 142:2, 16 143:2, |
| 11, 12 146:8, 16 151:21 152:23 |
| |
| 158:8 |
| committees 93:22 142:22 |
| Communications |
| 4:8 |
| community 14:6, |
| <i>17</i> 77:7 118: <i>11</i> , <i>15</i> |
| companies 9:16 |
| 25:8 63:10 64:1 |
| 146:25 147:5 |
| 148:8 149: <i>13</i> 151:9 152: <i>4</i> |
| |
| 155:4, 21, 23 156:4, 15 |
| Company 9:12 |
| 25:7 27:5 64:6 |
| 147:16 152:2 |
| compete 64:8 |
| 65: <i>1</i> |
| competed 119: <i>15</i> |
| competitive 117:9 competitors 75:2 |
| competitors 75:2 |
| 78:8 complained 35:10 |
| complained 55.10 |
| |

| ise Racing b |
|--|
| complete 8:23 |
| 23: <i>19</i> 108: <i>23</i> |
| 145:2 <i>1</i> |
| completed 23:23 |
| |
| 35:19 45:25 |
| 81:10 107:16 |
| complex 25:25 |
| 147:4 |
| complexity 25:23 |
| 26:15 43:17 |
| compliance 148:13 |
| complicated 45:8 |
| 53:23 55:9 62:16 |
| 75: <i>14</i> 108:9 |
| comply 24:14 |
| 32:11 56:2 140:8 |
| compress 125:8 |
| computer-generate |
| d 161:9 |
| computers 55:13 |
| concept 71:6 |
| 73:12 104:3 |
| concern 16:24 |
| 42:25 48:13 |
| 60:21 75:22 78:6 |
| 79:10 95:3 96:9, |
| |
| 13 155:12 |
| concerned 42:25 |
| 124:2 142:7 143:4 |
| concerns 10:20 |
| 11:8 42:2 75:5 79:20, 23 102:13 concluded 68:12 |
| 79:20, 23 102:13 |
| concluded 68:12 |
| 160:22 |
| conclusion 26:3 |
| conclusions 95:4 |
| condition 61:16 |
| 81:9 147: <i>15</i> |
| 149: <i>11</i> |
| conditional 65:24 |
| 154: <i>13</i> |
| conditionally 4:19 |
| conditionally 4:19 22:23 23:9 80:18 |
| conditioned 35:17 |
| conditions 27:20 |
| 62:8 160:8 |
| condolences 12:6 |
| conduct 4:17 |
| 5:14 22:21 83:12, |
| 17, 18 93:7 109:8 |
| 132:5 |
| |
| conducted 7:9, 21 108:25 138:5, 24 |
| |
| conducting 6:18 |
| 137:7 |
| confirm 132: <i>13</i> conflict 36: <i>13</i> |
| conflict 50:15 |
| conflicted 25:5 |
| conflicting 62:17 |
| congratulate 20:8 |
| 146:7 |

```
Congratulations
17:17 20:19
connection 52:2
59:12 92:12
connections 53:6
connotations 16:19
consensus 122:6
consent 29:24
consents 57:4, 5, 6
consequence
129:19
consequences
143:5
conservative 88:9
consider 22:1, 8,
12 50:19 59:22
61:23 66:6, 8, 11,
12 80:20 85:11
102:3 109:17
151:12 157:7
consideration 93:4
109:23 110:14
120:25 148:21
155:23
considered 93:8
considering 50:16
53:25 73:11
consistencies 41:19
consistent 41:24
47:5
consolidate 100:19
constituents 39:13
47:20, 24
construction 19:3
139:22
Consumer 19:9
153:20
consumers 153:21
contains 140:12
content 28:16, 20
53:13, 14 75:10
78:11
contentious 13:20
51:9
context 134:10
contig 83:22
contiguous 83:22
continuation 6:13
137:3
continue 7:4, 15
24:10 29:25 42:8
58:5 87:19, 25
109:20 110:5, 8
138:1, 20
continued 23:23
24:11
continues 30:1
141:13
continuing 57:16
59:18 94:15
```

```
contract 23:19
27:18 29:3, 22, 23
30:1, 6 31:2, 13
36:4 37:7, 10
48:13 64:19
147:8, 15
contracted 26:13
contracts 24:18
27:15 28:9 29:17
150:25
contractual 147:2
155:20
contractural 35:3
77:9 89:12 156:14
contribute 9:16
28:15 51:22
53:14 57:16
63:12 148:24
152:5 158:13
contributed 14:20
51:17 99:21
115:19
contributes 98:7
149:20
contribution
34:16 100:8
149:18
contributions 14:4
15:8 20:13 25:19
79:21 80:4, 8
149:25 150:8
158:4 159:3
control 47:11
57:11 122:6
controls 38:25
convenient 101:9
conversation
54:14 61:8 66:4
154:11, 25
conversations
54:15 75:1 97:15
converting 49:5
copy 152:23
cornerstone 31:19
Corps 17:23
Correct 29:12
33:19 35:1, 5
36:25 39:19
40:13 41:8 42:17
49:10 67:7, 25
68:3, 7 74:25
84:3, 4 86:5 89:1,
14 99:17 110:22,
23 132:17 134:13,
15 142:21 158:7
159:7
correctly 33:18
42:16 111:1
Cory 123:3
cost 56:24 57:1
73:15 75:19
```

100:9 101:5 112:21 120:4, 5 **costly** 75:14 costs 25:16 100:7 107:19 118:12 Counsel 2:11 19:14, 16, 21 21:10 49:12 94:5 110:21 142:18 147:14 148:18 161:*13* counterplan 97:21 counting 96:2 **country** 15:15 28:17 30:20 57:15 75:25 86:16 147:21 County 2:17, 23 5:11, 14 83:10, 12 84:8 98:8 126:1 129:3 161:2 couple 15:8, 14 34:11 55:17 72:20 73:13 74:15 100:18 102:13 103:7 106:3, 4 125:24 157:2 COURSE 1:9 4:22 10:10 14:22 16:2 22:25 25:2*1* 33:10 40:1 75:6, 13 80:9 91:20 95:16 102:5 106:9 143:24 157:*14* **court** 11:14 125:25 126:*1*, *3* 136:*3* Courts 19:10 cover 25:16 45:16 147:11 **covered** 41:23 43:20 45:1 coveted 21:4 crazy 120:6 **create** 36:12 68:14 84:15 126:8 153:21 154:23 **created** 19:14 49:4 97:9 110:4 129:9 130:4, 4, 5 **creates** 131:25 147:11 Credit 146:11, 12 CRIMS 25:11 26:19 146:22, 24 147:1, 4, 9, 16 **critical** 27:23 40:9 44:11 109:2

| Calliornia H |
|--|
| critically 39:12 |
| 44: <i>17</i> |
| criticism 27:2 |
| Crop 8:14 130:22 |
| Crop 8: <i>14</i> 139:22 140: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> , <i>19</i> , <i>21</i> |
| 145:1 |
| crop, 8:7 144:5 |
| crops 8:8 9 |
| crops 8:8, 9 144:6, 7 |
| cross 23:11 |
| crosses 143:19 |
| crossfire 29:1 |
| crowded 103:13 |
| CSR 1:25 161:23 |
| CTHF 14:3 |
| CTT 2:16 13:24 |
| 14:1 23:24 24:2, |
| 5, 8, 12, 15 36:4, |
| 13, 15 37:21 |
| 38:20 43:5 45:22 |
| 46:10, 25 47:11, |
| 14 48:9 63:6 |
| 67:22 81:3, 9 |
| 109:19 142:24 |
| CTT's 43:7 |
| culmination 58:21 |
| Cup 14:25 |
| curious 54:5 |
| curious 54:5 current 53:20 |
| 59:20 97:10 104:6 |
| currently 32:6 |
| 140:2 <i>4</i> 157: <i>11</i> |
| custody 47:21 |
| customer 57:3 customers 51:20 55:11, 24 57:1 |
| customers 51:20 |
| 55:11, 24 57:1 |
| 75:25 |
| cut 118: <i>12</i> |
| cutting 75:10 |
| 78: <i>11</i> |
| |
| <d></d> |
| dad 123: <i>12</i> |
| daily 146·25 |

daily 146:25 **damages** 60:16 **Daruty** 2:20 23:16, 17, 17 29:12 30:9, 22 31:3, 8, 12 32:3, 9 33:19, 23 34:1 35:1, 5, 18, 24 37:2 38:19 41:8, 18 42:6, 17 43:25 45:12 46:19, 21 48:8 49:10, 18, 22 50:21 55:1, 6, 18 61:9 62:13, 15 63:14, 16, 18, 22 64:12, 18 68:10, 23 69:4 70:13 72:24 83:6 91:8,

8, 14 95:9, 24 97:16 99:16 104:11 106:3, 3 121:23, 23 122:3 147:18 149:22 **Daruty's** 147:24 data 146:24 148:9 date 84:22 85:24 104:4 107:23 127:4, 5 132:19 dates 5:13 83:11 84:12 85:6 86:1 87:17, 18 88:22 89:19 129:21 daughter 124:20 daughters 19:24, **Davis** 3:4 110:25 111:1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 9, *13* 112:*19* 116:*18* day 5:3, 8 23:4, 7 38:8 43:14 54:19 55:12 63:3, 8 65:2 77:20 92:11 100:3, 6 105:10 110:9 114:18 115:1 116:23 120:15 124:21 130:1, 9 147:2 161:*17* days 14:24 38:12 40:12, 18, 19 62:6 63:6 65:1, 14, 20 66:2, 5 69:8 71:7, 7, 14 78:21, 21 98:16 108:14, 15 114:19 117:9 119:10 158:10 **daytime** 14:25 15:4 **dba** 9:10, 12, 15 152:1, 2, 4 deadline 66:25 93:20 deal 30:24, 25 31:1 32:6, 8 42:21 62:18 64:1 88:4 95:11 105:10 148:21 153:14 155:4 deals 26:7 32:9 **dealt** 51:21 54:1 **Debra** 21:16 **debt** 106:12 130:16 **DECEMBER** 1:15 4:22, 23, 25 5:4, 6, 8 6:20, 20 10:3, 9 23:1, 1, 3, 4, 6, 7 29:8 40:25 41:1

66:10 82:2, 3

95:24 96:3 125:23 137:8, 9 157:11 161:17 **decide** 61:18 **decided** 19:5 59:8, 19 78:2 83:21 86:3, 4, 5 119:7 130:8 134:5 decision 16:10 64:5, 6, 7, 10 83:16 85:22, 23 127:6 130:19, 20 131:14 133:15, 17, 18, 19 134:16 decisions 22:4 37:1 52:18, 23 147:23 decline 109:5 decrease 100:5 dedicated 20:10 deducted 53:10 **deduction** 109:18 deductions 149:23 **defect** 19:3 **defend** 16:22 18:17 **defer** 67:9 deficit 95:9, 17 96:1, 4, 24 97:8, 9, *11* 98:23 101:3 105:6, 10, 13 106:5, 9 108:19 109:20 110:3, 4 126:9 130:3, 18 131:24 147:10 deficits 129:9 **define** 108:7 **defined** 47:18 **degree** 16:1 133:13 **Del** 16:7 27:12 45:1 46:6, 23 55:4 70:18 72:13, 16 87:16 98:7 124:14, 19, 24 delayed 142:10 143:13 deliberated 54:1 deliberative 50:18 59:6 delivered 88:6 **demise** 110:*13* **denies** 127:9 **Dennis** 15:21, 24 16:14, 20 17:3, 7, 9, 12, 14, 16 deny 81:10 denying 66:8 Department 18:24 19:9 depending 37:9

deposit 6:16 7:6, 17 9:9 137:5 138:3, 22 146:23 151:24 **describe** 16:14, 17 described 31:2 38:19 47:13 147:3 149:16 description 87:3 designated 147:16 158:*14* designating 158:9 desirable 117:5 desire 32:13, 13 desserts 14:12 **detail** 115:25 140:5 details 140:11 **determine** 109:10 134:20 determined 93:5 109:7 134:22, 25 135:2 **detriment** 131:*15* detrimental 131:13 developed 47:12 devote 16:10 **Dhabi** 119:15 dictate 60:24, 25 80:13, 14 148:17 dictated 78:10 **die** 123:14 different 24:24 36:20, 20 52:15 56:4 79:16 105:13 130:19 140:25 146:20 difficult 13:20 22:4 25:12 43:13 60:4 68:3 76:15 77:12 115:15 153:23 diligently 23:23 25:7 129:7 dime 51:22 56:22 57:2 diminished 102:19 **direct** 147:8 directed 31:4 **direction** 161:10 **Director** 2:7, 12 13:16 17:16 18:20 19:*13* 21:*3* 23:8 30:3, 18, 23 31:7, 10, 16 32:5 35:15 49:20 59:16 60:6 65:16, 21 66:12, 20 67:8, 19, 24 68:6, 15, 19 74:19 78:14, 24 80:15, 23 81:15

84:4 86:25 90:16 93:2 115:15 134:9, 14 136:19 143:10 152:8, 15 153:2 158:18 159:6, 11, 16, 24 Director's 4:6 13:14 **dirt** 96:17 103:17 104:1 112:6, 9 disabled 31:19 disagree 42:1 55:20 64:15 disagreement 49:3 117:6 disagrees 42:1 110:21 **discount** 100:3 discourage 78:12 discretion 89:2 discretionary 57:11 discriminate 57:13 discriminating 70:10 discriminatory *57:7* discuss 97:22 141:24 142:20 156:4 discussed 50:13 115:24 121:20 132:23 142:13 146:20 158:2 159:25 Discussion 4:14 5:10, 17 6:3, 12, 24 7:13 8:4, 12, 17 9:7 11:1 22:19 33:10 53:22, 23 60:14 62:15 66:7 79:10 83:9 84:11, 20 85:6 86:19 90:5, 19 95:5 104:21 105:7 108:18 124:9 128:16 135:12 136:24 137:2, 11, 24 138:7, 18 139:2, 21 140:2, 22 142:8 144:3, 9, 10, 25 145:3, 16, 22 148:23 151:23 156:11, 16 158:8, 12 159:7 160:9 discussions 47:2 75:3, 20 77:22, 24 disgusting 120:9 **dispute** 37:17

| Carronna 1. |
|--|
| 40.2.126.24 |
| 49:3 126:24 |
| disputes 94:16 |
| disrupted 118: <i>17</i> |
| distressed 39:14 |
| distribute 149:1 |
| distributed 120:22 |
| distributed 129:22 |
| 148:12, 17 |
| distribution 6:14 |
| 7:5, 16 27:7 52:1 |
| 7:5, 16 27:7 52:1 129:21 137:4 |
| 138:2, <i>21</i> |
| distributions |
| 147:3, 4 |
| District 18:2 |
| |
| ditto 48:19 |
| document 67:17, |
| 18, 22 68:14 |
| documentation |
| 52:16 |
| documents 29:9 |
| 48:24 66:2, 5, 23 |
| 48:24 66:2, 5, 23 |
| 67:1, 2, 4, 6, 6 |
| 68:1, 2, 16 80:25 |
| 160:3 |
| doing 24:9, 14 |
| 26:4 34:13 35:10 |
| |
| 36:1 37:3, 17 |
| 51:23 65:19 |
| 70:17 72:18 74:3 |
| 76:24 79:23 80:1 |
| 94:5 99:12 |
| 104:25 126:2 |
| 146.0 140.5 |
| 104:25 126:2 146:8 148:5 155:22 158:11 dollars 14:5 |
| 155:22 158:11 |
| dollars 14:5 |
| 95:10 100:10, 13 |
| 105:7 |
| donate 157:16 |
| donations 157:13, |
| |
| 14, 18 |
| doomsday 123:20 |
| door 124:21 |
| Dorothy 2:19 |
| 21:19 116:19, 21 |
| 125:14 |
| doubt 55:11 |
| |
| downloads 146:24 |
| Downs 9:11 |
| 27:25 28:20 40:8 |
| 152: <i>1</i> |
| Downs's 30:16 |
| |
| Dr 2:12 141:7 |
| draft 50:10, 12 |
| 59:4, 10 148:20 |
| drafting 69:9 |
| draw 36:23 |
| Dream 117-22 |
| drinks 14.0 12 |
| Dream 117:22 drinks 14:9, <i>12</i> driving 109:3 |
| ariving 109:3 |
| drop 150:21 |
| |
| I . |

```
drug 8:21 145:19
150:20
drugs 140:24
141:1, 2
due 50:21 108:13
duplicated 49:1
duties 16:5
123:16
duty 18:21
Dwayne 18:3
dvnamic 43:12
45:18 46:24
dynamics 51:12
<E>
earlier 45:23
60:14 79:12 88:7
118:6, 10, 21
157:8 158:3
early 16:9 58:2
61:8 67:11
earned 131:6
East 10:10 111:23
Eastern 18:2
easy 75:16
Eaton 3:5 118:23,
23, 24
echoed 147:24
economic 62:17
64:9 101:10
economics 73:16,
17 100:17
effect 16:11
effectively 30:25
efficient 142:9
effort 34:13
eight 124:22
149:7 156:2
Either 29:20
35:19 37:10
41:11 55:19 89:8
99:6, 6 101:20
107:16 120:3
133:1 158:6, 6
electronics 123:9
eliminate 95:17
emails 45:5
emerging 96:13,
14 101:22 112:4
113:4, 10, 10, 24
114:14 115:23
116:11 125:10, 16
126:10
emphasize 109:11
Employees 3:8
14:11, 13 25:18
149:5 153:17
enacted 126:14
129:15
encourage 118:20
```

```
135:17
ends 46:7
endure 120:4, 5
energy 64:21
enforce 10:24
enforced 11:1
140:24
enforcing 140:10
engage 124:9
enjoy 20:17 21:13
enjoyed 54:13
enjoying 20:5
ensure 10:22
108:24
entails 91:17
enter 55:18
entered 32:1
entire 29:10 77:6
97:14 100:23
131:2, 5
entities 33:7 57:9
59:19 61:4 143:16
entity 30:13 33:6
60:17
environment
25:23 45:18
46:24 120:14
envisioned 73:7
equal 38:24
147:17, 17
equalize 58:15
equally 129:22
Equine 2:12
150:20
Equipment 8:6
144:4
equitable 22:9
Eric 149:19
especially 17:10
21:9 51:7 118:8
119:12 120:1
essentially 30:1
50:23 83:19
94:14 130:25
133:23 149:16
establish 8:20
145:18 160:5
established 107:12
108:17 110:1, 2
117:3
establishment
108:20
et 76:19, 19, 19
134:1, 1
evasive 30:10
event 14:11 15:9
eventual 110:10
eventually 18:3
140:8
everybody 14:18
17:10 33:23 43:2,
```

```
9 55:10 58:4
73:9 78:25 79:2,
5 95:13 98:2, 5,
12 103:20 115:16
122:17 135:19, 22
136:13.14 153:2
154:17
everybody's 73:2
evidence 126:5
Evidently 156:8
evolved 154:22
exact 152:6
exactly 22:3
48:14 152:6
example 85:12
107:14
exception 68:20
130:5
excessive 140:20
Excuse 49:12
71:13 87:23, 23
88:16 93:2 104:5
111:10 127:6
147:6
executed 29:24
Executive 2:7 4:6
13:14, 16 17:16
18:20 19:13 21:3
23:8 30:3, 18, 23
31:7, 10, 16 32:5
35:15 49:20
59:16 60:6 65:16,
21 66:12, 20 67:8,
19, 24 68:6, 15, 19
74:19 78:14, 24
80:15, 23 81:15
84:4 86:25 90:16
93:2 115:15
134:9, 14 136:19
143:10 152:8, 15
153:2 158:18
159:6, 11, 16, 24
executives 14:9
exempts 156:16
exercise 57:10
60:16 109:6 140:7
exercised 56:5
71:9
existed 93:21
existing 47:3
exists 141:10
expect 47:24
expecting 75:6
expenditures 87:2
expense 101:7, 18
119:25 150:19
expenses 52:17
53:2, 19
expensive 119:23
experience 20:13
```

experiment 75:17 expires 29:7 explain 54:6 105:2, 3 127:15 explained 35:19 150:18 explanation 27:17 48:9 144:10 **exploded** 18:*15* exploding 18:16 Explosion 18:8 Exposition 84:9 **express** 78:6, 6 expressed 24:11 38:22 60:21 65:4 75:5 78:16 91:22 155:*14* expressly 80:5 extend 81:7 91:22 95:23 102:23 119:2 extended 30:6 40:8 extension 30:2 141:4 **extent** 29:21, 23 73:11 exterminate 121:6 extermination 122:3 **extreme** 110:*12* 118:*1* 130:*1* 131:22 < F > **face** 108:19 **faced** 40:10 facilities 99:18 101:10 123:21 126:23 131:8, 11 **facility** 91:19, 19 92:1, 3, 4, 8, 10 100:1, 2 101:1 104:13 109:11, 13, 21 112:2 114:24 117:7 119:20 126:*19* fact 33:4 55:5 58:9 66:13 75:8 88:16 108:18 123:19 153:11 155:*15* **fail** 53:2 Fair 2:17, 23 5:14 33:13 57:23 77:2 83:12, 23 84:8, 12, 16, 19, 21,

22 92:17 96:8

104:24, 25 106:6, 10, 18, 20 110:8

98:8 103:23

| 111.14 120.2 |
|---|
| 111:14 120:2 |
| 129:3 148:22 |
| 155:11 |
| fairly 61:17, 20 |
| 64:8 94:17 |
| fairness 65:4 |
| Fairplex 100:19 |
| fairs 6:18 16:8 |
| 21:25 96:8, 15, 17 |
| 97:3 98:16, 18 |
| 99.3 5 103.20 20 |
| 99:3, 5 103:20, 20 110:5, 7 114:20, 21, 23 115:8 |
| 110.3, / 114.20, |
| 124:2, 3 129:25 |
| 124:2, 3 129:23 |
| 130:4, 11 131:9, 9, |
| 12, 16 137:7 |
| Fair's 5:12 83:10 |
| fait 40:3 |
| faith 46:11, 11 |
| 63:8 65:13 66:22 |
| 84:11 |
| fall 115:2 |
| falling 120:10 |
| |
| falls 141:9 false 70: <i>12</i> |
| Talse /0:12 |
| familiar 24:20 |
| 79:22 |
| Families 118: <i>16</i> |
| family 12:5, 7, 20, |
| 22 20:5 21:13 |
| 102:10 |
| fancy 123:9 |
| fans 28:17 |
| far 26:11 38:24 |
| 18.18 77.6 07.5 |
| 48:18 77:6 97:5 106:15 107:10 115:23 118:14 124:2 131:23 |
| 100:13 107:10 |
| 115:23 118:14 |
| 124:2 131:23 |
| 142:21 147:20 |
| fare 151:2 |
| farms 98:15 |
| 117:16 |
| fashion 19:18 |
| 58:3 130:14 |
| fast 119:5 |
| |
| faster 65:12 |
| Tastest 51:1, 1, / |
| 142:9 |
| fastest-growing |
| 57:13 |
| favor 44:18 92:22 |
| 160:15 |
| favorable 72:4, 7 |
| feature 14:24 |
| February 19: <i>12</i> |
| 88:10 |
| |
| Federal 18:18, 19 |
| fee 26:24 53:9 |
| 62:19 65:7, 8 |
| 104:18 149:14 |
| |

| rse Racing E |
|--|
| |
| feel 12:5 24:24 |
| 26:11 28:19, 25 |
| 26:11 28:19, 25 34:4 45:10 143:5 fees 7:6, 17 53:17 |
| fees 7:6, 17 53:17 |
| 76:19 138:2, 21 |
| fellow 16:15 |
| 20:14 |
| felt 44:19 45:23 |
| 54:17 58:5 |
| Fields 2:25 5:6 |
| 72.5 40.6 01.0 |
| 23:5 49:6 91:9 |
| 92:5, 7, 15, 23 |
| 97:2 99:22, 24 106:4, 7 107:8 109:7 110:8, 11 |
| 106:4, 7 107:8 |
| 109:7 110:8, <i>11</i> |
| 117: <i>15</i> , <i>16</i> 118: <i>3</i> |
| 121:1, 6, 24 |
| 122:14 125:20 |
| 126:10, 21 129:24 |
| 130:2, 9, 24, 25 |
| 131:4, 7 134:11 |
| fight 62:24 63:10 |
| |
| fighting 114:3 |
| figure 43:19 |
| 45:15 57:24 |
| figures 157:15 fill 124:15, 16 |
| fill 124:15, 16 |
| filled 39:7 50:2 |
| 85:2 125:2 |
| 85:2 125:2 filthy 120:9 |
| final 29:3 66:23 |
| 73:10 94:17 95:13 |
| finalize 153:24 |
| finalized 81:1 |
| |
| finally 19:20 25:22 77:5 |
| |
| finances 147:23 |
| financial 5:20 6:6 |
| 56:4 86:21 87:2 90:21 93:16 97:3 |
| 90:21 93:16 97:3 |
| 108:12 109:14 |
| 148:9 159:22 |
| 160: <i>3</i> |
| financially 85:17 |
| 131:19 |
| financials 14:23 |
| 76:13, 18 |
| find 22:7 24:3 |
| 28.18 27.20 73.6 |
| 28:18 37:20 73:6 |
| 75:17 90:13 100:14 120:18 124:15 125:7 |
| 100:14 120:18 |
| 124:15 125:/ |
| fine 31:17 |
| FINISH 1:10 |
| 113:3 |
| finished 24:5 |
| 41:17 106:7 |
| fire 18: <i>14</i> |
| firm 19:1, 4, 6 |
| First 13:22 16:24 |
| 24:25 29: <i>14</i> |
| 44.4J 4 7.1 4 |

```
32:25 35:7 39:9,
23 44:14 54:9
69:7 70:15 74:21
84:12 86:16 92:2
107:17 117:1
124:23 125:11
135:1 139:20
146:21, 22 157:2, 6
five 63:25 95:15
114:3 122:8
123:11 125:3
five- 122:15
fix 129:9
flat 50:24 98:4
flippant 35:24
flipped 15:3
floor 81:25
focus 13:19
folks 84:19 108:1
124:24 132:6
followed 66:7
following 4:16, 18
18:4 20:9 22:20,
22 80:6 95:16
follows 93:13
food 14:12
foods 14:8
foot 31:20
Force 18:11 73:9
forced 76:1
forces 99:4
Ford 2:21 50:2, 6,
7 53:4 54:21
56:19, 20 59:2, 4,
25 60:10 61:13
62:18 63:13, 15,
17, 20 64:24
74:22, 25 75:7
78:1 150:10, 16
158:7
foregoing 161:6, 11
forever 29:19
formula 61:15
formulating
143:17
forth 38:19 92:24
114:12 161:8
forward 14:2
17:12 20:2 23:14
27:16 37:13
61:14 129:12, 13
131:17 132:14, 17
142:7, 14 143:3, 6
152:10 155:5
160:3
Foundation 14:3
80:6 158:12
four 19:24 45:2
49:8 82:17 89:13,
23 99:2 121:25
122:15
```

```
four-party 40:2
45:2
Fox 157:22
frame 43:3 77:24
Francisco 148:7
frankly 143:18
free 21:4
freight 18:10, 16
Fresno 98:21, 21
friend 12:15
50:21
friends 20:5 123:7
friendship 21:10
frivolous 38:10
front 20:24 116:5
frown 75:12
frustrated 43:17
frustrating 76:22
frustration 46:9.
10
full 89:15 90:3
125:2
fullest 20:17
full-time 19:14
149:7
fully 108:11
fun 14:6 124:25
function 52:17
57:7
fund 6:16 91:25
92:19 95:20
98:24 99:8, 8, 10
105:15 106:8
107:11, 24 110:8
113:18 115:1, 19
130:2 131:3, 19
137:5
funding 13:25
109:11, 13, 20
funds 129:22
130:7
furnished 31:11
further 56:20
80:3, 8 109:25
155:3 161:13
furthermore
89:25 135:3
future 4:9 20:16
108:8 143:3, 22
157:20
<G>
gallop 103:6
Galway 40:7
87:23, 24 88:10, 13
game 20:2
Games 9:11
70:14, 14, 23 71:2,
23 73:12 104:19
152:1
```

gaps 120:11

Garrett 12:4, 5, 13, 24 Garrett's 12:6 Gate 2:25 5:6 16:7 23:5 27:11 39:6, 23 49:6 56:6 61:3 65:24 68:9 69:8 82:18, 20 85:16 91:9, 19, 24 92:4, 7, 15, 23 93:5, 6 95:7, 14, 22 96:12, 16, 18, 20, 20, 22 97:2, 5 98:22 99:1, 1, 7, 22, 24 100:12, 21, 23 101:13, 16, 16 102:7, 11, 15, 18, 20 103:12, 19 104:8 105:22 106:1, 4, 7, 16, 17, 19, 24 107:8, 16, 20, 21 109:7 110:8, 11 112:3, 10 117:15, 16 118:3 119:5 120:8 121:1, 6, 24 122:11, 14 124:16 125:2, 20 126:7, 10, 21 129:24 130:2, 9, 24, 25 131:4, 7 132:18 134:11, 22 135:7 gatekeeper 64:18 general 29:19 117:4 131:16 135:20 157:4 General's 19:7 generated 51:18 105:14 106:5 131:3 148:11 149:16 generating 110:6 generation 129:21 generosity 17:2 gentlemen 10:5 17:18 91:7 120:24 121:17 **geo** 36:22 geolocating 24:20 151:*1* 157:*3* geolocation 25:21 26:23 27:19 28:3, 7, 11 32:14, 20 34:6, 14 50:13, 15, 17, 20 53:21, 23 55:9 56:21 57:21 59:6, 20, 22 61:10 63:1 65:2, 5, 14 70:4 74:24 76:25 78:16, 17 79:3

| California F |
|---|
| geolocator 69:21 79:15 |
| geolocators 34:24 61:11 74:5 George 2:8 10:13 |
| George 2:8 10:13 |
| germane 43:21 |
| getting 26:5 28:25 32:18 56:2 |
| 63:5 74:14 148:1 155:6 156:9 |
| gifts 14:15 |
| Girard 19:2 give 29:19 30:7 |
| 37:8, <i>14</i> 62: <i>18</i> |
| 69:25 72:19 88:3 94:8 121:14 |
| 133: <i>16</i> 150: <i>17</i> , 24 152:24 153: <i>10</i> |
| 152:24 153:10 156:5 159:21 |
| given 15:10, 11, 12, 19 26:14 28:24 |
| 40:15 77:9 |
| 127: <i>17</i> 130: <i>12</i> 132: <i>25</i> 134: <i>23</i> |
| 142: <i>5</i> 144: <i>10</i> |
| gives 15:7 37:11 99:2 130:24 |
| giving 26:7 33:1 glad 43:11 123:4 |
| glad 43:11 123:4 go 10:15 12:1 |
| 29:14, 18 38:5 |
| 40:22, 23 41:9 45:9 50:4 52:19, |
| 25 53:1 55:4, 4 |
| 59:4 60:4 61:14 63:7 64:20 66:18, |
| 19, 19 70:6, 18 73:8 74:16 77:11 |
| 78:2, 25 81:6 |
| 82: <i>18</i> 83: <i>19</i> 84: <i>20</i> 86: <i>18</i> 88: <i>3</i> , |
| <i>15</i> 90: <i>18</i> 93: <i>3</i> |
| 94: <i>24</i> 96: <i>5</i> , <i>15</i> 99: <i>6</i> 102: <i>11</i> |
| 112:10 117:11, 19, |
| 24 121:8 123:7 126:3 131:3 |
| 132:11, 15 133:25 |
| 136: <i>17</i> 141: <i>15</i> , 23 142:22 143: <i>14</i> |
| 151: <i>18</i> 155: <i>3</i> |
| goals 151:7 God 40:17 |
| goes 17:6 32:21 53:9, 11, 11, 16 |
| 55:20 60:1 71:23 |
| 104: <i>17</i> 131: <i>10</i> 143: <i>1</i> , <i>7</i> , <i>19</i> , 20 |
| 146: <i>11</i> |
| going 13:18, 21 21:11, 25 22:7 |
| , |

25:22, 25 27:16 30:19 34:6 37:4, 8, 22 38:5 39:6 40:5, 8, 16, 24 41:1 46:6 48:14, 16 50:14 57:22 58:5, 16, 21 60:2 62:21 63:7 64:2 67:8, 14 69:10, 11 70:3 71:6 77:11, 23 81:19 83:8 88:9 90:3 95:11 102:7, 8, 12 103:5, 9, 14, 24, 25 104:20 107:10, 25 108:2 111:4 113:18 115:5, 6 119:7, 22, 24 120:1, 3, 4, 5 121:*11*, 21 122:22 126:7 127:6 128:11, 14 131:15 132:20 135:25 136:11, 12 139:25, 25 142:7 149:14 153:7, 10, 13 155:5, *5* 159:*14*, 21 160:2 gold 21:4 **Golden** 2:25 5:5 16:7 20:12 23:5 27:11 39:6, 23 49:6 56:6 61:3 65:24 68:8 69:8 82:18, 20 85:16 91:8, 18, 24 92:4, 6, 15, 22 93:5, 6 95:7, 14, 22 96:12, 16, 18, 20, 20, 22 97:2, 5 98:22, 25 99:1, 6, 21, 24 100:12, 21, 23 101:12, 16, 16 102:7, 11, 15, 18, 19 103:12, 19 104:8 105:22 106:1, 4, 6, 16, 17, 19, 23 107:8, 16, 20, 21 109:7 110:8, 11 112:3, 10 117:15, 16 118:3 119:5 120:8 121:*1*, *5*, *23* 122:10, 14 125:20 126:6, 10, 21 129:24 130:2, 9, 24, 25 131:4, 7 132:18 134:11, 21 135:7 golf 12:19 20:2

Gomez 12:4 Gonzalez 148:7 **good** 14:20, 23 21:17, 18 27:13 31:10 45:6 46:10, 11 52:8 54:14 57:19 63:7 65:13 66:22 83:4, 5 86:14 100:22 102:13 118:24 119:5 120:13 122:22 124:11 126:21 129:2, 14 130:10, 13 144:24 145:14 152:8 153:1, 20 good-faith 56:1 **gosh** 76:6 **gotten** 41:16 governed 54:19 governing 93:11 Governor 20:18 126:14 governs 130:7 **grab** 130:25 gracious 159:3 graduated 15:25 graduation 18:5 grandchildren 19:24 124:20, 22 grandfather 123:6 grandfather's 123:5 grant 62:7 66:22 72:22 81:17 granted 23:9 41:4 51:3 65:23 67:16 83:15 86:1 152:14 granting 84:2 great 12:3, 14, 14, 15 15:7, 10 63:4 84:6 118:7 142:14 143:3 158:10, 13 **greater** 25:15 **greatly** 149:20 150:2 Greg 2:15 54:4, 9, 11, 12 69:4, 6 87:11 148:2 Gregson 14:3 **ground** 16:22 **grounds** 110:8 Group 25:6 38:3, 18 44:18 47:11 55:1 61:3 65:5 70:16 72:16, 19 100:16 117:21 130:6 149:20

growing 50:23 51:1, 1, 7, 14, 15, 23 65:12 grown 25:14 growth 150:18 guess 24:23 28:5 30:18 62:10 69:11 87:12 **Guild** 3:8 149:5 guy 15:25 112:12 guys 24:20 37:17 52:21 70:12 111:10 113:23 <H> **half** 18:25 51:20 53:11, 11, 16 100:13, 13 111:15 131:2 **halls** 17:3 halt 118:2 hand 44:18, 21 handle 15:1 34:9, 11, 16 50:25 51:1, 15, 23 63:12 64:3 70:25 75:17 98:7 116:25 125:13, 15 130:11 149:2, 13, 17 150:19, 22 151:*13* handled 58:3 **happen** 14:6 27:1, 2 32:4 77:10 95:21 128:11 happened 35:23 61:1 75:7 135:1 happening 124:17 125:7 130:23 **happens** 58:25 happy 31:14 48:8 56:21 57:2 75:20, 21 92:25 121:8 hard 27:6 47:5 111:7 113:2 120:18 harping 57:19, 20, 20, 20 hats 33:12 **health** 102:1 healthy 95:20 122:16 hear 35:8 50:3 54:9 110:5 **heard** 11:17 25:10, 11, 16, 18 26:19 27:1 39:13 42:16 45:19 48:9 50:8 66:24 69:2 80:24 81:1 99:17 123:15 126:6, 7, 9,

20 135:15, 18

hearing 25:9 35:14 79:9 129:5 **Hearings** 19:*10* 127:12, 14 134:1 heat 26:5 **heavily** 56:11 **heavy** 18:21 **hedging** 30:11 held 13:23 14:7 **help** 14:1 55:16 84:17 85:14 87:6 95:7 100:9 101:7, 7 112:7, 12 113:2, 3, 16, 19, 23 114:5 126:8 147:11 149:*15* **helped** 20:14 **helps** 117:4 Herbuveaux 16:16 **hereunto** 161:*16* hey 40:14 75:16 **Hi** 116:21 high 87:21 112:21 **higher** 102:17 **highly** 71:10 **Hindman** 73:14 149:*16* hire 19:16 63:25 hired 18:4 hiring 25:18 historically 36:6 **history** 58:11 94:25 105:6 Hoban 2:17 128:5 129:1, 2, 2 **hold** 127:12, 13 **holding** 23:22 134:*1* **holidays** 91:24 Hollywood 16:7 67:12 Holy 14:10 homework 36:9 **honest** 56:1 **honestly** 106:11 **honor** 20:8 **honored** 15:21 hope 20:16 111:10 116:19 118:20 127:23 143:2*1* **hoped** 88:7, 7 Hopefully 62:2 64:16 129:8 132:4 **hoping** 84:14 **horrible** 120:23 **HORSE** 1:3, 6 4:17, 21 10:6, 9, 20 11:8 14:16 16:2, 5, 24 17:4 19:21 20:9 22:21,

grow 57:16

| Calliornia H |
|---|
| 24 28:16 49:13 |
| 107:15 111:10.23 |
| 107:15 111:10, 23 112:2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 23, 24 113:12, 14, 14, |
| 24 113:12, 14, 14, |
| 15, 19 114:19 |
| 115:18 119:17 |
| 120:15, 17 124:5, |
| 23 140:20 161:7 |
| horsemen 26:6, 18 |
| 38:4 98:20 109:3 |
| 110:12 141:7 |
| horsemen's 47:17 |
| 68:25 74:4 horseracing 73:17 108:8 109:1, 5 |
| 100.0 100.1 5 |
| 110:13 123:14 17 |
| 110:13 123:14, 17, 19, 20 125:19 |
| horses 8:22 39:6 |
| 40:16 47:21, 23 |
| 96:10, 16, 17, 18 |
| 98:15 99:4 |
| 101:12 102:2, 17, |
| 20 103:9, 13, 24 |
| 105:23 106:1 |
| 107:17 109:3 110:12 111:19 112:14 113:17 |
| 110:12 111:19 |
| 112:14 113:17 |
| 114:1, 14, 15, 24 |
| 118:3, 7 119:4, 9, |
| 12, 13, 14 120:2, 2, |
| 11, 12, 14, 20 |
| 122:4 123:2, 2, 9 |
| 124: <i>16</i> 125: <i>3</i> , <i>6</i> , <i>11</i> 126: <i>17</i> 127: <i>10</i> , |
| 10, 11 130:12 |
| 134:21 141:6, 8, |
| 11 145:21 |
| host 151:3 |
| hosted 6:16 55:22 |
| 56:8 69:15 137:6 |
| hour 70:23 |
| hours 26:21 |
| 68:13, 21, 21 |
| 102:23 136:4 |
| 140:17 |
| hub 26:20, 24 |
| 28:1, 10 34:3 |
| 50:10 53:9 54:24, |
| 25 55:4, 5, 7, 18, |
| 20 60:9 62:19 |
| 65:1, 7, 8, 9, 15 |
| 70:14, 15, 21 71:19, 25 72:4, 10, |
| 11, 11, 13, 22 74:4 |
| 11, 11, 13, 22 /4:4 |
| huge 27:5, 17 |
| 119:25 131:15 |
| Humboldt 83:19 |
| 85:10, 15 |
| hundred 123:6 |
| |
| |

| rse kacing B |
|--|
| hurt 126:7 |
| <i>idea 72:3 117:18 121:1 158:9, 10 ideas 142:12, 12 identify 111:8</i> |
| III 19:16 Illinois 28:21 imminent 68:9 |
| impact 75:15 impacted 33:8 73:18 |
| impasse 67:15 implement 26:17, 23 27:19 62:25 |
| implemented 25:22 108:11 implementing |
| 108:16 implication 36:12 implications 28:21 75:24 |
| implicitly 61:14 imply 16:19 importance 40:15 |
| 155:24 important 21:8 28:18, 23 32:16 33:11 38:20 |
| 39:12 44:17 45:14 59:17 84:17, 19 150:20 |
| 157: <i>16</i> impossible 73: <i>3</i> 93: <i>21</i> |
| impression 46:17 inactive 148:15 inadequate 16:13 |
| 109: <i>15</i> inappropriate 130: <i>18</i> |
| inaudible 111: <i>17</i> include 5: <i>20</i> 6: <i>6</i> 86: <i>21</i> 90: <i>21</i> 151:8 154:8 |
| included 32:1 includes 124:4 including 16:6 |
| 17:24 19:2 29:16, 21 80:21 87:16 109:22 160:3 inclusive 4:23 23:1 |
| inconsistencies 41:19 increase 39:6 109:18 |
| increased 25:15 39:10 100:4 129:15 |

```
increasing 22:8
26:14
incumbent 57:5
incur 75:18
INDEX 4:1 5:1
6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1
indicate 80:3
indicated 80:5
151:4
individual 59:18
142:4 151:1, 12
individuals 10:22
11:21
industry 9:17
14:18 15:9 16:3,
11 17:8 26:10
28:15 45:15
51:16, 17, 22, 23
65:4 75:15, 24
84:18 100:9
125:4, 19 127:19
129:6 131:2, 5
148:25 152:5
153:17 154:1
157:15
infected 31:20
infested 120:19
information 52:24
134:23 148:10
159:22
informational 91:5
informed 83:20
initial 140:7
Initiative 9:12
152:2
input 50:18
insight 75:5 78:6
insignificant 74:1
instance 67:10
159:12
instate 55:24
insurance 112:21
113:3, 24 114:4
120:4
integrity 16:21
intend 28:6 29:3
intended 33:5
intending 33:17
intent 33:13
61:22 96:11
97:22 123:25
124:6 154:23
155:2
intention 103:18
intentions 97:23
interact 61:18
interest 36:21
51:8, 25 57:12, 12
60:11, 13, 15, 22,
23 65:12 66:9
73:2, 6 78:16
```

94:7 109:1 113:6 123:17, 19 125:19, *19* 130:8 133:2*1*, 24 148:11 interested 85:8 161:15 interesting 43:4 interests 57:8 73:3 122:9 148:5 **interim** 127:18 interrupt 153:8 intervene 155:20 **interview** 19:*19* Introduce 11:13 **invest** 150:2 investments 151:14 invite 78:4 86:15 invited 75:2 **invites** 10:16, 18 11:5 involved 22:4 26:15 33:10 51:12 83:14 118:*5*, *15* involving 18:23 157:2*1* **ironed** 41:21 143:7 irregular 71:10 **island** 98:21 issue 22:17 24:21 25:21 29:11, 14 32:16, 24 36:22 37:21 39:15 40:9 41:23 42:13, 15 43:11, 14 44:4, 9, 16, 25 45:11, 13 46:1 48:10 55:10 57:17, 19, 25 62:17 70:14 74:17 75:3, 13 76:2 78:6, 16, 17 79:15, 17, 18 84:1 94:9 95:11 98:18 101:14 103:15 108:3 115:21 122:7 128:9 129:17 133:15, 21 135:19 148:15 149:12 152:20 154:20 157:12. 13 issues 23:21 24:1, 4, 8, 24 25:10 26:16 29:4 34:7, 19 39:3 40:1, 21 43:21 44:2 45:4, 14, 18, 24 46:5, 12, 25 47:15 63:9 66:15 68:11 69:7 73:2 74:15 75:25 79:21 93:20

102:4, 8 125:21 146:21 148:22 153:14, 25 157:7 **ITEM** 4:2, 11 5:2 6:2 7:2 8:2 9:2 11:21 22:15, 18 24:17 27:14 29:4 34:9 36:1, 2 48:16 65:22 68:7 69:1, 17 78:5 83:8 86:18 90:6, 15 93:10 98:4 108:7, 9 110:17, 19, 20 128:2, 10, 12, 18, 21 132:3 135:25 137:11, 24 138:7, 18 139:2, 20 140:2, 22 141:24 144:2, 3, 9 145:3, 22 151:22 153:12, 12 160:1 items 4:15 13:20 22:20 23:10, 12, 13, 15 35:18, 22 43:6 74:5, 16 its 8:14 20:15 24:19 25:6 37:3 52:1, 2 66:6 72:25 75:6, 13 91:20 92:12, 13, *16* 93:7, 7, *15*, *23* 106:7 109:6, 8 115:21 123:25 145:2 150:25

< J > **Jackie** 31:19, 22 **January** 40:5, 6 88:8, 10 91:17 92:1 96:1, 2, 7 103:5, 8 132:4 136:18, 18, 20, 21, 21 148:21 152:14, 17 159:5, 15, 18 160:1, 7, 8 Jauregui 14:16 **Jerome** 2:17 129:2 **Jerry** 20:18 **iob** 19:*19* 37:*3* 146:8 153:18 **jobs** 149:6, 7, 10, 11 150:10 153:14, 17, 25 154:16, 20, 23, 24 155:2, 6, 23, 24, 24 156:1, 2, 15 jockey 15:19 149:20 jockeys 12:3

| T 210 07 6 0 |
|--|
| Joe 2:18 95:6, 8 |
| 97:16 100:16 |
| 132: <i>18</i> |
| John 2:21 3:8 |
| 16: <i>16</i> , <i>17</i> 50:2, <i>4</i> , |
| 7 52:4, 13 54:7, |
| 10 57:23 60:18 |
| 64:23 149:4, 16 |
| 150:10, 16 152:20, |
| 21 153:4, 7 155:8 |
| 156:10 157:25 |
| 158:5 |
| |
| joined 18:25 19:5 |
| 74:6 |
| Jose 15:25 |
| judgment 145: <i>15</i> |
| July 5:3 23:3 |
| 105:25 111:20 |
| jump 34:8 36: <i>3</i> |
| June 5:7 23:6 |
| 92:10, 11 96:7, 23 |
| 104:6 106:7 |
| jurisdiction 27:9 |
| Justice 125:25 |
| |
| < K > |
| \ X > |

KATELLA 1:11 10:10 Katie 19:23 20:4 keep 31:20 47:23 79:9 88:9 99:18 100:25 122:11 126:22 127:11, 19 130:9 135:3 139:23 153:11 **keeping** 34:18 **Kenny** 150:7 Kentucky 28:21 **Keves** 18:3 kids 14:14, 14 **kind** 15:3 26:7 76:1 84:14 120:23 135:20 143:19 kindly 125:16 kinds 79:21 **Kirk** 19:12, 15, 18, 18, 20 knew 12:5 123:12 know 12:3 19:11 23:20 26:5 27:5 28:5, 13 29:18 30:24, 25 32:14, 15 34:12, 14, 17, 20 36:6, 7, 11, 20, 25 37:15, 16, 18 41:10, 25 42:9, 12 43:16, 25 46:25 48:15, 19, 21 52:14 56:5 57:17, 20 58:11, 18

61:24 63:19 66:21 67:9 73:17 75:1, 23 76:6 77:17 78:5, 19 79:19 85:5, 18 87:4 88:2 95:8. 20 98:6 99:2 101:3, 7, 21, 25 102:24 105:18, 21, *21* 106:*17* 110:*25* 113:23 114:13 115:18 116:24 117:25 118:*14* 122:4, 5, 7 125:3 132:16 142:18 143:18 153:23 154:18 155:1, 2 157:25 159:4 **knowing** 48:*13* 143:5 154:11 knowledge 77:21 known 17:2 125:4 knows 21:7 43:2 117:25 Krikorian 2:8 10:14 13:4, 9, 10 35:6, 7, 21 36:17 37:20 39:2 40:23 41:25 42:14, 18 43:18 45:10 46:16, 20 47:10 48:1 50:1 52:6 54:8 56:18 61:21 62:2, 10 64:4, 14, 22 71:6, 13, 16 82:12, 13, 24, 25 86:8 89:7 90:8, 9, 12 91:2 104:3, 7, 9, 16 105:2 112:15 114:8, 20, 22 115:2 116:2, 6 132:12, 13 133:5, 10 137:17, 18 138:8, 13, 14 139:4, 10, 11, 12 144:17, 18 145:9, *10* 146:*3*, *4*, *17*, *19* 151:17, 18 158:22, 24, 25 159:10, 14, 20 160:4, 14, 17 Krikorian's 42:25 **Kronich** 19:*1* < L >

L.A 80:18 98:8

L.P 9:14 152:4

153:*5*, *14*

labor 14:5 54:16

Laboratory 150:21

Ladies 10:5 17:18

LAIRD 78:23 94:13, 13 148:18 **Lake** 18:23 **landed** 24:3, 6 landlord 132:7 language 59:21, *23* 93:*12* 111:*11* 140:13 142:15, 25 148:20 **laptops** 55:13 large 25:23 largely 49:1 Larry 2:24 85:1, 3 94:22 last-minute 35:11 40:13 77:4 **LATC** 23:13 late 75:8 98:17 109:15 117:6 127:4 launch 51:16 **law** 18:1, 1 19:1, 3 32:11 47:12, 17 54:17, 18, 20, 21 55:3, 7, 16 56:13, 14, 16 59:22 64:13, 15, 16 67:23 68:5 69:13 70:20 71:11, 11 72:14 80:14 89:16 93:12, 24 94:1, 6, 14 99:25 101:20 110:1 125:24 134:2, 18 148:19 149:9 154:21 155:15, 17, 18, 19 lawyer 125:22 **lawyers** 19:24 lay 120:12 **leap** 84:11 leave 22:12 58:25 119:24 135:23 leaves 96:8 **lectured** 135:19 **led** 18:3 70:12 **left** 33:25 34:2 80:11 83:17 98:21 136:14, 15 **legal** 66:15 80:24 81:2 94:3, 5 110:2*1* legality 67:20 legally 67:6 68:16 82:3 legibly 11:9 legislation 93:11, *19* 129:7, 8, *11*, *15* legislative 124:6 156:12

legislature 61:22 77:9 123:25 124:10 133:16, 18 156:*12* legitimate 43:10 length 39:16 letter 20:6 152:24 154:2 156:6 157:5 level 25:23 26:14 88:2 leveled 27:2 leverage 36:7 37:9, 11, 14 38:22, 24 41:9, 9 60:14, 16 130:1, 2 154:18 **liable** 104:22 105:*3* license 4:16 22:21 35:9, 16 36:16 37:11 41:3 48:6 62:1, 5, 7, 8, 11 67:16 70:19 74:17 81:17, 25 82:2 135:7 152:14 156:17 159:16, 19 licensed 9:8 28:1 51:6, 8 57:10 60:16 61:19 64:25 148:24 151:24 153:22 156:9 licensee 52:1 67:3, 3 licensees 65:25 66:8 licenses 23:9 66:22 81:7, 10 152:16 **licensing** 19:6, 8 52:2 61:17 153:25 licensure 66:1 147:15 149:11 **Licht** 155:1 Lien 9:11 70:14, *14*, *23* 71:2, *23* 73:*12* 80:*3* 152:*1* **life** 20:5 lightly 135:18, 22 **limit** 10:25, 25 13:18 26:12 43:3 limitations 143:23 **limited** 49:8 63:3, *3* 115:*16* **limits** 8:20 140:23 145:19 LINE 1:10 36:24 95:*13* 113:*3* 153:24 154:19 **link** 70:3 71:10

linked 87:17 **linking** 74:*3* **lion's** 131:8 **list** 23:12 31:5, 13, 19 38:6 42:12 69:1 141:9 143:20 159:7, 7 **listed** 34:11 **listen** 76:22 listened 76:4 **listening** 118:*19* listing 76:10 **Litigation** 18:8, 23 19:2, 6 little 15:5, 23 17:22 26:12 30:12 31:21 34:18 45:7 46:9 75:23 114:10 115:18 119:8 123:13 136:25 140:5 live 92:16, 18 124:20 125:21 **lived** 118:18 LLC 9:10, 11, 13, 14 151:25 152:1, 3, 3 **loaded** 18:10 **local** 126:1 149:5, 7 153:3, 5 **located** 151:3 locations 30:20 31:6 **lockstep** 32:15 long 27:6 31:5 58:21 77:1, 14 84:20 103:5 113:7 longer 65:9 87:22, 24 92:4 139:25 148:*1* longest 27:11, 11 look 28:14 31:22 41:21 44:11 62:18 63:10 65:21 76:18 85:13 89:11 101:19 102:25 105:25 114:16 125:18 126:13, 25 127:20 131:20 looked 26:8 34:10 **looking** 19:*15* 20:2 43:9 69:16 102:21 107:2 looks 45:20 51:25 88:8 LOS 1:9, 12 4:20, 21, 24 10:3, 10, 11 18:23 22:24, 25 23:1, 11 46:23

| California I |
|--|
| 65:23 69:2 72:15 87:16 88:1, 5, 16 |
| 87:16 88:1, 5, 16 |
| 98:7 112:20 |
| 113:3 161:2 |
| lose 56:23 57:2 59:13 |
| losing 73:13 |
| 76: <i>13</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>17</i> |
| loss 12:2 31:18 |
| 110:12 151:10 |
| 110: <i>1</i> 2 151: <i>10</i> lost 12: <i>16</i> |
| lot 12:13.18 |
| 23:21 26:17 27:1 38:17 43:1 54:16 |
| 38:17 43:1 54:16 |
| 69:9 70:22 76:7, 10, 10 77:12, 12 103:16 119:4 |
| 10, 10 77:12, 12 |
| 103: <i>16</i> 119: <i>4</i> |
| 121: <i>3</i> , <i>7</i> 123: <i>15</i> |
| 124:25 125:5, 5 135:15 155:13 |
| 135: <i>15</i> 155: <i>13</i> |
| lots 70:20 |
| Louisiana 28:21 |
| love 16:23 |
| loved 124:19 |
| lower 65:8 |
| lowered 105:10 |
| luck 86:14 |
| Luis 14:16 87:22, |
| 25 88:15 |
| luncheon 14:12 |
| < M > |
| Madam 43:23 |
| 142:2 |
| Maddy 150:21 |
| Madeline 2:5 |
| 10:13 42:23 |
| magic 74:3 |
| major 14:4 75:22 |
| 06.0 157.7 |

96:9 157:7 majority 74:21, 25 99:21 **making** 22:4 25:20 38:9 42:15 71:17, 20 147:23 157:18 158:3 management 63:4, 4 73:1 mandate 24:19 147:15 156:3 mandated 24:13, 13 **mandates** 37:6, 7 manner 10:24 57:7 78:9 108:25 **Mar** 16:7 27:12 45:1 46:23 55:4 70:18 72:13, 16 87:17 98:7 124:15, 19, 24

March 119:3, 11 132:19, 24 133:1 134:6 mare 117:21 **Marine** 17:23 Mark 26:19 146:22 market 7:5, 17 73:24 138:2, 21 150:1 157:17 marketing 57:1 marketplace 27:24 28:19 29:2 34:3 Mar's 46:6 material 34:16 math 53:4 Matter 1:5 10:20 11:5, 11, 20 25:6 33:4 35:14 41:8 49:3 59:21 66:13 69:8 72:14 78:18 79:4 80:16, 19 81:5 87:4 94:19 100:17 123:19 128:3 148:18 157:8, 8 matters 10:17, 19 11:1, 7 19:3 38:18 146:20 147:19 150:25 157:2 Maureen 3:3 108:4, 5 McGeorge 17:25 Meadows 16:7 107:10, 13, 16, 20 mean 28:5 34:25 35:25 42:7, 10 43:6 44:5 60:19 63:18 64:3 85:14 88:4 89:3 101:18, 23 102:11, 19 103:3 104:18 105:25 121:20 133:7, 12 143:11 154:7 means 25:24, 25 126:18, 23 128:10 **meant** 44:8 media 134:25 Medical 2:12 **Medication** 7:24 8:19 139:17 145:18 medications 8:21 145:20 meet 8:8 20:14 24:25 27:11, 12

30:19 32:1 38:3

46:4, 6 56:7 63:5

65:25 75:23 80:17 82:3 83:4, 21 84:21 86:17 91:20 92:12, 13, 17 93:5, 7, 7 106:7, 25 109:8, 10 112:13 118:8 134:10 135:6 144:6 157:10 meet-by-meet 44:22 **MEETING** 1:*1* 4:17, 20 10:6, 8, 12, 15, 17, 23 13:1 21:12 22:1, 21, 24 23:10 24:21 26:3 33:2 34:9 41:*1* 43:6 50:14 51:10, 11 52:14 54:13 65:3, 23 66:3 79:19 80:6, 18, 22 85:6, 9, 21, 22 86:1 95:7 97:22 109:20 110:18 124:14, 18, 19, 21 128:4, 14 132:5, 22 134:5, 16 136:17, 21 139:20 148:21 151:11 154:9 155:10, 14 158:2, 9 160:5, 8, 19 161:6, 6, 14 meetings 22:7, 9 78:4 121:20 127:13 142:20 meets 62:5 83:5 84:20 **Mei** 3:4 110:25 111:1. 2. 3. 9 members 50:6, 7 66:16 92:22 97:20 130:20 135:10 152:23 153:19 155:11, 11 **memory** 39:5 mention 41:18 99:20 mentioned 33:21, 21 49:9 88:7 95:25 115:24 151:*1* mess 24:18 met 18:1 19:17 32:20 42:12 129:18 146:19 Michael 3:6 122:21, 24 microphone 17:21 microwave 14:15 **mid** 97:7

middle 25:1 28:25 32:23 34:5 62:22, 23 63:9 73:1 111:22 119:*11* mid-January 88:9 mike 84:7 miles 101:10 102:14 **mill** 113:*14* Miller 2:11 17:20 18:18 20:7, 21 21:1, 6 47:13 49:12, 12, 17 67:9 68:15, 18, 22, 25 69:16, 21, 23 70:1 74:2, 9 80:24 110:23 136:1, 3 142:18 147:14 **million** 51:17 70:24 95:10 99:9 100:10, 12 105:7 147:9 millions 150:2 mind 51:3 55:11 113:12 154:7 minds 47:24 minus 53:16 **minute** 36:10 76:1, 3 77:5, 6, 22 minutes 4:3, 12 11:15 12:25 13:3 25:11 136:8 142:3 153:11 misperceptions 74:23 missing 33:20, 22 36:*3* mistaken 24:16 29:4 41:17 152:13 misunderstood 31:3 model 56:4 147:20 modification 7:5, *16* 138:1, 21 moment 12:2, 9, 10 144:11 Monarch 29:8, 9 33:9 147:18 Monday 38:16 50:10 money 27:4 56:23 57:2 59:13 73:13 76:7, 13, 14, 17 99:21 100:13, 14, 19 104:15 110:6 111:22 113:17 118:5 121:3 126:8

130:25 131:1, 6, 10 148:12 **monitor** 34:15 **Mono** 18:23 month 14:25 24:4 31:18 35:16 65:23 66:4 88:14 104:10 112:1, 25 118:9, *21* 123:*1* 124:18 147:6 months 70:24 111:15, 15 129:23, 24 130:24 131:2*1* 132:25 Morley 3:3 108:4, 5, 5 morning 21:17, 18 103:2 122:22 129:2 141:6 Morris 2:18 95:6, 8 97:16 100:16, 16 101:17, 25 102:13 104:8 132:18, 18, 22 133:3, 9 134:4, 8 Moskovitz 19:1 mother 123:10 motion 13:2, 13 81:11, 24 82:5, 16, 18, 19, 20 85:21 86:8 89:8 92:24 109:17 132:19, 23, 24 137:12, 22 138:7, 17 139:3, 16 144:12 158:20 160:10 move 12:25 22:18 27:16 37:13 77:10 78:22 81:17, 25 83:19 84:21 102:9, 10 103:10, 22 104:1 131:22 143:6 151:22 moved 81:12 84:11 86:10 104:9 105:17 118:17 129:12 moves 13:4 24:16 137:13 138:8 139:4 144:13 145:4, 23 160:13 **Moving** 21:15 22:15 25:9 44:20 48:24 77:5 81:13, 14 91:24 120:6 132:14, 17 136:23 138:18 mule 114:19 mules 114:14, 16

| Carriornia |
|---|
| 115: <i>17</i> |
| < N > |
| name 11:9, 12, 13 |
| 50:7 111:1, 9 |
| 116:20 153:4 |
| 161:17 |
| names 11:18 Nancy 19:25 nation 119:14 |
| nation 119:14 |
| national 20:4 |
| 27:24 |
| nationwide 28:15 50:24, 25 |
| nature 52:18 |
| Navy 18:11, 18 |
| NCOTWINC 7:4 |
| 138: <i>1</i> |
| nearest 63:23 |
| necessarily 25:24 26:8 32:15 |
| 131:14 148:2 |
| necessary 11:14 |
| 27:17 30:11 64:17 |
| necessity 38:5 |
| neck 26:5 need 10:16 30:24 |
| 31:24 56:16 58:7 |
| 70:11 98:14, 16, |
| <i>18</i> 101:20 102:23 |
| 105:24 111:15, 16, 19, 23 112:1 117:9 119:4, 10 121:11 124:15 |
| 19, 23 112:1 |
| 121:11 124:15 |
| 125:7, 9, 16 |
| 126:22 131:20 |
| 136:6 140: <i>11</i> 147:22 148:8 |
| 147:22 148:8 |
| needed 45:4 |
| 119: <i>17</i> |
| needing 74:10, 10 |
| needs 20:15 36:24 92:11 |
| 136:4 148:10 |
| negative 15:3 |
| 16:19 35:8 36:13 |
| 50:14 52:19, 25 |
| 53:1, 16, 21 54:3 |
| 59: <i>13</i> 60: <i>4</i> neglecting 99: <i>20</i> |
| negotiate 45:24 |
| 47:15 58:22, 22, |
| 23 59:8, 19 68:10 |
| 79:6 150:25 negotiated 29:10 |
| 48:25 56:11 |
| 48:25 56:11 77:20 78:9 |
| negotiates 47:18 |
| 147:18 |
| |
| |

negotiating 45:6 48:23 58:8 66:21 negotiation 56:2 59:8 65:13 71:7 78:18 79:4 negotiations 36:19, 21 41:22 43:15 103:18 115:22 **neither** 43:19 161:*13* nervous 111:11, 12 **network** 73:15 131:9 149:17 neutrality 155:16 never 31:14 46:3, 7 51:21 101:17 103:17 112:5 140:25 Nevin 15:21 16:17 17:3 new 11:23 28:19 29:20, 23 44:5 50:17 51:14 62:25 77:15 91:20 93:11 95:25 97:6 99:25 129:15 142:12 149:7 Newark 123:7 **NewCo** 9:9 151:25 news 100:22 nice 87:10 91:22 119:11 124:24 **nickel** 149:15, 23 night 53:9 59:11 97:18 142:17 **nighttime** 15:1, 5 nine 124:22 129:23 130:23 nineteen 74:12 nominated 15:15 noncompetitive 61:23, 24 noncompliance 93:24 nonspecific 76:5 North 9:10 16:16 22:9 42:9 48:20 49:5 93:12, 22 95:10, 12 98:9 99:13 101:12 105:*1* 129:9, *14*, 18, 23 130:12, 23 151:25 Northern 6:25 7:10 22:1, 5, 5 39:4, 14, 18 45:13 48:5, 6 49:2

68:14 90:20

91:15 104:25

107:10, 11 108:8 110:13 117:14 123:15, 18, 20 130:10 131:22 132:5 137:25 138:6 **Note** 4:10 **noted** 4:15 22:20 33:7 148:25 149:9 150:4 notes 161:11 notice 40:12, 17 136:2*1* noticed 10:8 18:*14* notified 40:20 93:23 **November** 4:3, 19 13:1 14:24 15:2 22:23 85:6 93:17 97:11 108:14 109:19 127:5, 7 **number** 15:4 22:15 24:1, 7, 24 25:10 26:12 39:5 42:9 51:6 54:24 55:11 64:19 88:3 98:1, 13 102:17 145:16 numbers 15:2 76:21 99:17 102:18 103:3, 7 105:24, 25 115:18 116:4 numerous 14:11 149:24 NYRA 27:25 28:15 32:8, 10, 19 33:22 157:13 159:3 NYRAbets 3:7 156:25 NYRAbets.com 9:10 152:1 **NYRA's** 30:15 <O> **o0o** 160:23 Oak 14:4 129:3 **OAL** 143:7

obviously 28:15 62:4 79:24 80:19 81:7 92:16 occurs 43:14 106:*15* **October** 95:19 97:11 98:19 105:19 **ODS** 9:14 152:3 offer 42:6 55:7 152:10 154:16 **offered** 65:10 70:9 85:10 118:11 offering 55:2 85:12 **office** 18:6, 25 19:7, 9, 11 **offices** 53:19 official 8:22 145:20 Officials 15:13 offshore 51:22 off-site 87:22, 24, 25 93:14 123:23 off-track 131:8 **Oh** 21:20 64:14 71:15 128:20 136:5 141:23 158:23 160:11 **Ohio** 123:7 Okay 30:9, 22 31:3, 10 35:2, 6 39:24 58:6, 24, 24 63:22 69:23 70:1, 9 71:16 72:17 81:24 82:16 84:5 86:10, 18 89:17 90:4 91:6 110:24 112:5 114:22 116:16 122:20 132:11 135:24 136:7, 11, 13 139:19 152:18 153:1, 15 154:3, 6, 10 160:4, 9 okay, 58:24 **old** 15:24 30:1 123:6 142:12 once 29:15 58:23 ones 16:25 29:13 30:24, 24 33:24 **one-third** 107:*13* **one-year** 90:*3* ongoing 36:19, 22 on-track 56:22, 23 73:13, 18, 24 150:19 oops 84:6 open 11:11 44:24 47:1, 2 82:4 83:18 88:10 92:5,

6, 12, 13, 16, 18 96:7 98:14, 19 99:18 100:25 111:14, 18, 19 112:12 115:1, 6, 9 118:9. 20 122:11 126:23 127:11, 19 130:9 134:17 135:3 142:20, 21 **Opening** 5:3, 7 23:4, 7 40:25 66:9 117:6 118:8 119:2 131:23 operate 87:4 130:*14* operating 75:19 147:25 148:3 operation 91:25 151:12 operational 5:21 6:7 86:22 90:22 91:15 93:16 108:12 operations 149:17 151:10 operative 93:12 **opinion** 43:11 64:5 opportunities 34:3 opportunity 10:23 77:1 79:1 97:20 108:6 128:17 142:3 **opposed** 62:25 100:6 opt 89:13 97:8, 11, 12, 13 110:2 **opting** 97:5, 5, 13 110:3 option 42:7 66:6, 21 83:17 **optional** 109:14 **options** 72:20 80:20 81:6 109:23 opt-out 108:16 109:25 **order** 10:7, 22 41:15 74:6 119:9 151:2 **ordered** 40:11 59:4 **Oregon** 155:25 organization 11:13 38:5, 25 41:15 47:17 77:8 93:14 organizations 80:4 129:23 original 96:2 121:25

obfuscation 76:7

object 38:7 71:5

objecting 25:17

objective 119:*1*

obligation 70:13

observed 78:1, 1

obstruct 64:*16*

obvious 104:21

72:10, 11, 12

24, 25 113:19, 19,

paying 53:17, 17

125:12 131:24

19 115:5, 7 118:*12*

| California Ho | orse Racing B |
|--|---|
| originally 25:13 | 33:1 54:14 |
| 91:18 100:4 155:4 | 146:15 149:4 |
| outcome 161:15 | Park 4:24 16:7 |
| outer 141:4 | 23:2 26:25 67:12 |
| outlet 29:21, 25 | parks 20:4 |
| outlets 31:14 | part 35:3 38:1 |
| out-of-competition | 39:9, 10 44:24 |
| 141:4 | 46:5 52:15 79:3 |
| out-of-state 96:9 | 81:3 89:8 92:2 |
| 98:20 103:21 | 100:14 102:16 |
| 141:4 | 117:20 118:12 |
| outside 107:24 | 132:23 154:23 |
| 115:1 147:11 | participate 75:4 |
| 150:6 | 128:16 |
| outstanding 4:15 | particular 96:19 |
| 15:22 22:20 | 97:18 |
| 23:10, 13 24:17 | particularly 26:14 |
| 29:4 35:18 36:2 | 34:19 38:7 |
| 48:18 66:2, 5 | 108:18 149:17 |
| 67:22 68:2, 7 | parties 13:25 |
| 80:25 93:20 | 29:2 36:20 37:8, |
| outvoted 97:24 | 8 43:15 45:3 |
| ovens 14:15 | 54:2 57:25 58:12 |
| overall 40:1 | 60:14 61:1, 6, 12 |
| overcrowded 102:7 | 64:19 66:21 |
| overlap 85:15 | 67:14 77:21 78:9, |
| overlapping 68:12 | 18 79:5, 16 87:15, |
| overlooked 14:21 | 18 89:13, 18, 23 |
| overriding 48:12 | 110:4, 10 |
| overstep 71:12 | partly 150:19 |
| owe 101:5 | partner 27:7 |
| owned 76:20 | partners 27:24 |
| owner 108:5 | partnership 28:23 |
| 114:6 118:25 | 129:3 |
| owners 14:9 | parts 44:20 |
| 119:25 owner's 113:1 owns 25:6 < P > p.m 136:9, 10 | party 13:22, 24 14:7, 19 38:24 47:18 60:15 67:4 73:5 75:16 77:24 90:2 161:14 pass 21:4 59:9 |
| 160:21 Pacific 5:5 17:25 23:5 package 94:18 PAGE 4:2 5:2 6:2 7:2 8:2 9:2 paid 17:24 56:24, | 77:15 129:7 156:6 passage 93:10 passed 12:4 85:22 92:20 123:10 144:23 155:15, 17 passes 146:7 path 70:12 |
| 25, 25 97:1, 12 | path 70:12 |
| 98:24 105:10 | patrons 151:3 |
| 107:14, 24 149:13 | pay 26:23 53:14 |
| pain 58:17 | 92:8 97:7 98:11 |
| Panama 12:19 | 99:24, 25 101:1, 4, |
| panel 135:9 | 6 104:15, 20 |
| paperwork 49:4 | 105:15 106:11, 13, |
| paramount 47:23 | 14, 24, 24 112:21, |

pardon 22:3

Pari-Mutuel 3:8

9:4 24:21 27:23

49:24

```
147:7
Paymaster 85:13
payment 147:17
payments 25:15
pays 98:12
104:14 115:13, 14
118:9 135:3
peace 12:23
peak 105:24
Pearl 117:22
pecking 41:15
Pederson 15:22
peers 15:16
pending 109:2
pensions 149:21
people 14:8 15:8
22:5 53:18 56:4,
5 58:23 61:19
64:1 91:11, 12, 23
101:9 102:9
103:21 108:3
113:5, 22, 24
118:11, 15 120:3,
23 121:7 126:20,
22 127:9, 14, 15
128:15 135:15
percent 6:14 7:7,
18 15:1, 2, 3, 5, 6,
6 34:10 39:7
50:11, 12, 24, 25
53:10, 10, 14, 15,
16, 16 57:14
62:19 63:12 64:2
65:6, 7 70:25
73:20, 23 96:17,
22, 23 97:1, 1, 13
98:2, 5, 7, 8, 11, 13
100:4, 5 101:4, 6,
6 104:22, 23
105:4, 8, 8 106:13
107:24 109:18
116:5, 6, 10, 11
126:7, 8 127:12,
18, 19 129:16
137:4 138:3, 23
149:13, 23
percentage 63:24
149:2 150:22
perceptions 74:23
perfecting 20:2
perfectly 16:2
performed 66:15
160:2
period 6:19 10:20
11:3, 7 21:15
22:17 43:13 81:8
87:20 91:23
122:16 137:8
141:16 142:8
periods 105:23, 23
permanent 129:8
```

permit 52:*1* 140:18 **permits** 155:20 permitted 6:21 7:7, 19 137:9 138:3, 23 permitting 61:14 **person** 12:16 19:15 21:11 22:16 personal 117:20 personally 76:23 Persons 4:10 perspective 32:10 37:22 Pete 15:22 **phase** 103:1 **Phil** 94:6, 12, 13 148:18 philosophy 16:1 **phones** 55:12 physically 104:14 picked 129:13 **picking** 120:5 143:*15* **picture** 17:14 20:23 **piece** 79:3 **pillars** 49:21 **pipeline** 78:19 place 23:24 25:8 26:24 27:6, 15 28:1, 10, 11 29:16, 20, 22 30:2, 5, 6, 11, 17 31:9 32:18 37:10 38:21 88:8 120:9 126:21 127:9 132:3 143:15 156:11 161:8 **placed** 55:21 **places** 38:10 118:17 155:25 **plan** 29:1 39:14 40:1 47:22 56:12 57:24 91:15, 16, *18* 93:23, 25 94:2 95:1, 18 96:5, 19, 21, 25 97:10, 17, *18*, *23*, *25* 98:*10*, 23 100:11 104:6 105:1, 12, 13, 18 107:1 108:12, 20 109:14, 15, 22 121:19 122:10, 13, 17, 18 123:17 127:9, 21 149:20 152:11 planning 56:7 plans 5:21 6:7 86:22 90:22 93:16 **plate** 38:18 154:*16* **platform** 30:16, 16 56:24 **play** 94:11 104:18 player 59:9 **playing** 12:18 **plea** 143:8 Pleasanton 91:17, 25 92:3, 9, 11, 12 95:15, 19 96:6, 10 98:14 100:24 101:18 102:5, 11, *15* 103:7, 22, 24 104:5, 12 105:17 106:20 109:3, 21 110:11 111:13, 19 112:*13* 115:9 117:7 118:2, 6, 8, *11*, 20 119:2, *16* 122:25 123:3 126:23 127:11, 19 131:20, 21, 23 132:7 134:17 135:1, 2 Please 10:7 11:8, *12* 21:25 36:3 52:11 59:3 62:14 132:5, 11 136:13 137:*1* **pleased** 15:18 17:7 48:22 56:10 70:6 pleasure 15:7 20:22 **plight** 60:21 **plus** 14:13 96:17 99:3 105:7 121:3 PMEG 153:5 **pocket** 113:*1* **podium** 11:*12* 50:5 point 27:14 32:22 33:5, 11, 12 34:17 35:11 36:23 45:23 46:2 47:8 58:6 62:16 68:2 69:20 70:11, 22 71:4 74:1, 12 78:17 79:4 80:7, 15 85:8, 15 92:3, 14 93:21, 24 94:20 99:16 102:22 104:21 115:12, 25 119:22 121:22 129:11 130:22 132:10 133:*11* 148:*1* 152:8 155:9 156:13 157:8 158:*1*

| Calliornia F |
|---|
| 1 54 10 |
| pointed 54:18 61:2, 8 105:5 125:14 148:14 pointing 37:16 |
| 61:2, 8 105:5 |
| 125:14 148:14 |
| pointing 37:16 |
| 95:2 |
| points 54:16 |
| 87:21 95:5 96:5 |
| 106:3, 4 132:10 |
| 133:13 142:6 |
| pool 105:8, 9 |
| |
| pooled 130:6 |
| pooling 105:14 |
| poor 76:7 |
| population 40:15 |
| 45:1 100:23 |
| portion 97:7 |
| 106:10 |
| position 19:14, 19, |
| 20 28:24 34:22 |
| 38:24 47:20 54:3 |
| 56:12 59:13 61:9 |
| 85:17 91:23 |
| 95:18 97:6 |
| 105:18 106:13 |
| 105.10 100.15 |
| 126:3, 4 134:2, 4 |
| 154:17 |
| positive 13:19 |
| 15:4 |
| possible 104: <i>14</i> |
| possibly 121:3 |
| post 16:22 |
| pot 131:10 |
| potential 41:19 |
| 75:23 94:8 |
| Power 18:24 77:9 |
| |
| 127:2 |
| PRA 23:13 80:19 |
| practical 66:18 |
| 143:1 |
| practice 81:5 |
| practiced 19:2 |
| practices 61:23 |
| practitioner 19:5 |
| prayers 12:21 |
| preceding 93:18 |
| precipitating 109:4 |
| |
| prediscussions |
| 143:16 |
| prefer 147:8 |
| premier 16:9 |
| prepare 117:23 |
| prepared 121:16 |
| preparing 78:25 |
| presence 8:20 |
| 145:19 |
| PRESENT 2:14 |
| 3:2 10:12, 18 |
| |
| 13:21 46:14 |
| 97:24 126:4 |
| |
| |

```
presentation 9:8
17:15, 19 22:6
65:8 151:24
presentations 4:12
presented 28:2, 12
50:16 77:22
97:18 127:16
142:15
presenting 98:10
160:1
president 149:4
153:4 157:1
pressing 79:17
presume 29:8
presumption 66:24
Pretty 18:20 22:2
136:16
previously 93:8, 8
price 88:12
primarily 117:10
print 11:9
prior 43:5, 6
121:20 142:15
priority 68:24
private 59:18, 21
61:1, 5 73:5, 5
privilege 54:23
privv 41:22 75:2
probably 31:24
40:8 54:10 58:2
65:18 87:5 90:13
93:3 97:6 101:5
131:20 136:6
156:11
problem 37:15, 16
38:1 41:11 44:23
47:12 60:18
73:22 78:7 112:3,
20 127:15 133:12
140:9
problems 47:10
140:7 143:6
procedures 108:15,
21 109:25 110:2
146:23
PROCEEDINGS
10:1 160:21
proceeds 10:23
process 32:17
50:18 52:2 53:25
58:2 59:6, 17
60:2 61:14 74:24
75:4, 14, 21 78:2
133:25 134:7
141:13 143:7, 14
processes 143:14
processing 53:18
56:24
produces 28:16
product 32:12
```

```
Professions 5:23
6:9, 21 7:8, 19
86:23 90:23
108:10 124:1
126:25 137:10
138:4. 23
Professor 124:8
125:24
profit 125:21
151:10
profitable 148:1
profitably 148:3
profits 131:4
147:11
Program 14:3
15:13 87:7 93:15
97:8 124:4 141:5
programs 150:20
prohibition 142:23
prohibits 70:17
projected 118:21
promote 157:22
promotion 84:14
promulgated 79:11
pronouncing
110:25
proper 75:6, 13
156:11
properly 108:10
109:22 127:10
148:12
proposal 53:5, 8, 9,
20 56:9, 11 71:18,
20 85:18 97:21
121:25 131:14, 17,
25 140:12, 22
141:9 152:11
154:14 156:6
157:5
proposals 9:15
141:15 148:23
152:4
proposed 5:20
6:6 8:5, 13, 18
50:10 60:1 78:19
86:21 90:21
93:15 108:12, 20
109:22, 23 119:21
123:18 139:21
140:3 144:4
145:1, 17
protect 16:25
prove 126:4, 6
Proven 117:8
provide 52:16
66:1 76:17 95:4
114:23, 24 124:4
126:18 148:8
149:6, 10, 11
151:9 153:18
```

```
provided 14:12
36:5 40:3 44:1
65:22 155:3
providers 9:9
151:25
provides 55:3, 16
96:1 147:21
150:10
providing 68:4
88:17 153:17
provision 29:23
42:8 108:16
140:10
provisions 8:15
140:3 145:2
psychology 16:1
Public 4:8 10:16,
19 11:2, 4, 7, 9, 11
20:11 21:15
22:16 50:18 59:5
78:25 79:13
109:24 125:18
128:16 135:20
140:14 141:16
142:19
publically 79:6
publicist 15:11
purpose 81:20
110:19 121:21
135:12
purse 100:14
112:22
purses 97:4
pursuant 5:22
6:8 59:21 86:23
90:23
pursuing 79:17
pursuit 16:25
push 24:19
put 13:24 14:19
40:14 42:9 43:13
47:5 54:2 55:6
59:12 63:11
70:18 97:2, 17
100:25 101:7
117:1 121:3
125:11, 13, 14
127:9 128:3
131:16, 16 132:23
133:25 140:13
154:5
puts 53:21 85:16
putting 34:13
58:19 90:8
100:12 102:16
103:13
< Q >
qualifications
```

19:*17*

qualified 16:2 19:*16* 113:*11* qualify 113:4, 9 qualities 17:1 quarter 111:10 112:4, 14 113:14 114:13, 15, 19 115:18 124:5 question 25:19 31:4 34:12 35:13 37:19 52:10 65:16 66:14 69:12 81:22 99:23 104:12 112:14, 16, 17 114:7, 8 115:5, 12, 16 116:22 118:9 135:3 147:13 158:22 questions 11:21 42:22 52:5 54:7 92:25 104:12 108:*1* 132:7 141:13, 20 143:1 quick 31:22 **Quickly** 68:23 128:7 136:16 **quiet** 136:25 quite 32:8 70:6 91:9 133:2 **quote** 18:7 38:21 < R > RACE 1:9 4:22, 25 5:13 8:10 10:10 21:24

22:25 23:2 24:25 30:19 32:1 38:3 39:11 62:5 65:25 80:17 83:11 84:20, 21 85:10, 10, 25 86:17 87:17, 18 88:21 89:11, 19 92:12, 13 93:5, 7, 7 101:12, 15 111:20, 23, 24, 25 112:3, 8, 22, 24 113:19 114:18, 19 115:1, 8 116:13, 23 117:10, 22, 23 118:7 119:19 120:15 123:13 124:23 125:10, 11, 11, 12 129:21 134:10 135:6 144:8 raced 85:15 racehorses 117:9

124:13

159:22

| races 28:17 53:15 |
|--|
| 14ccs 20.17 33.13 |
| 55:23 56:8 |
| |
| 101:19 112:17, 18, |
| 25 114:11, 15, 17 |
| 11 (2 2 11 7 2 |
| 116:3, 3 117:2 |
| 124.25 125.1 |
| 124:25 125: <i>1</i> |
| 140:4, 18, 18 150:5 |
| 140.4, 10, 10 130.3 |
| racetrack 14:11 |
| |
| 28:7 32:23 33:10 |
| 37:12 51:1 53:21, |
| |
| 24 55:14 57:3 |
| |
| 59:14 60:5 157:18 |
| racetracks 14:1, 2 |
| |
| 25:2, 3 50:15, 20 |
| 20.2,0 00.10,20 |
| 51:4, 5, 13, 14 |
| 52.5 7 55.12 |
| 53:5, 7 55:12 |
| 58:1 59:7 96:9 |
| |
| 147:20 149: <i>1</i> |
| |
| RACING 1:3, 6 |
| 4:17, 18, 21 5:5, |
| +.1/, 10, 21 J.J, |
| 14 6:17, 17, 18 |
| |
| 8:15 9:11, 16 |
| |
| 10:6, 9, 20 11:8 |
| 12:4, 20 14:17, 21, |
| |
| 25 15:1, 4, 6, 13, |
| 16 16 2 5 10 12 |
| 16 16:2, 5, 10, 12, |
| |
| 21 17:4, 7 19:21 |
| 20.9 21.5 8 23 |
| 20:9 21:5, 8, 23 |
| 22:17, 21, 22, 24 |
| 22.5 25.1 27.2 9 |
| 23:5 25:1 27:3, 8, |
| 9 28:16, 19 38:25 |
| 20.10, 12 30.23 |
| 49:13 51:9, 17 |
| 50.1 57.0 10 16 |
| 52:1 57:8, 12, 16 |
| 58:14, 14 60:11, |
| |
| 13, 15, 23, 23 |
| |
| 65:12 66:9, 10 |
| 69:15 75:24 |
| 09.13 /3.24 |
| 79:24 80:1 83:12 |
| |
| 84:18 85:8, 13 |
| 04.7 05.2 104.25 |
| 94:7 95:3 104:25 |
| 105:1 107:11 |
| 110.10 115.11 |
| ⊢ 113: <i>13</i> −115: <i>17</i> |
| |
| 116.22 117.2 4 5 |
| 105: <i>I</i> 107: <i>II</i> 113: <i>I3</i> 115: <i>I7</i> 116:22 117: <i>3</i> , <i>4</i> , <i>5</i> |
| 116:22 117:3, 4, 5 122:25 123:4 |
| 122:25 123:4 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 145:2 148:25 149:15 152:1, 5 153:13, 20 157:15 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 145:2 148:25 149:15 152:1, 5 153:13, 20 157:15 161:7 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 145:2 148:25 149:15 152:1, 5 153:13, 20 157:15 161:7 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 145:2 148:25 149:15 152:1, 5 153:13, 20 157:15 161:7 radical 109:15 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 145:2 148:25 149:15 152:1, 5 153:13, 20 157:15 161:7 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 145:2 148:25 149:15 152:1, 5 153:13, 20 157:15 161:7 radical 109:15 raffled 14:14 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 145:2 148:25 149:15 152:1, 5 153:13, 20 157:15 161:7 radical 109:15 raffled 14:14 Railroad 18:19 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 145:2 148:25 149:15 152:1, 5 153:13, 20 157:15 161:7 radical 109:15 raffled 14:14 Railroad 18:19 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 145:2 148:25 149:15 152:1, 5 153:13, 20 157:15 161:7 radical 109:15 raffled 14:14 Railroad 18:19 Rainey 2:25 95:8 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 145:2 148:25 149:15 152:1, 5 153:13, 20 157:15 161:7 radical 109:15 raffled 14:14 Railroad 18:19 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 145:2 148:25 149:15 152:1, 5 153:13, 20 157:15 161:7 radical 109:15 raffled 14:14 Railroad 18:19 Rainey 2:25 95:8 |
| 122:25 123:4 124:4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13 129:6, 14, 24, 24 130:5, 8, 10, 13, 24 131:2, 12 133:22, 24 134:21 137:6, 7, 7 140:24 141:2, 11 142:23 145:2 148:25 149:15 152:1, 5 153:13, 20 157:15 161:7 radical 109:15 raffled 14:14 Railroad 18:19 Rainey 2:25 95:8 |

```
raise 46:25 96:21
98:1 127:11, 18
raised 24:1.8
34:20 45:5 66:16
80:22 123:2
raises 96:22
raising 98:4
ramble 31:21
ramification 89:10
ran 116:14, 15, 23
129:13
randomly 141:5
rate 7:7, 18 50:11
55:19 72:12
83:23 88:15, 18
95:14 96:22
100:4 138:3, 22
rates 70:5 105:10
rats 120:9, 16, 16,
19 121:6
reach 71:8 148:4
reached 14:1
37:13 48:25
50:24 61:5
reaction 18:15
read 17:21 20:7
154:2, 4
readily 131:18
ready 63:5 98:16
103:6 112:2
118:7 119:9, 13,
18, 19 142:13
reaffirm 158:8
real 21:22
really 26:8 32:21
39:4 42:12 57:19
78:3 79:8 84:15,
17, 17 90:2
103:15 114:9
118:10, 20 121:1,
16 122:5 123:14
146:8 157:6
159:12
reason 30:11
98:2 100:11
119:3 124:12
129:19 130:23
153:15
reasonable 56:3
131:17, 24 136:5
143:21
reasons 37:7
122:5 124:19
155:14
recall 33:9 83:14
142:6
receipt 23:9 35:17
receive 92:18
129:20 151:2
received 16:3
17:9 50:10, 12
```

```
53:8 59:7, 10
65:9 67:2 75:8
77:20 87:1
108:14 109:15
receives 150:4
receiving 50:18
75:22 78:7
recess 136:7, 9
recognition 88:16
recognize 142:19
recognized 67:15
recommend 56:14
141:14
recommendations
151:7, 8 160:2
recommended
109:19 143:13
reconciles 146:25
reconciliation
147:5
record 11:15
75:9 79:6 154:3,
5, 8, 8
redlines 45:6
reduce 52:1
95:17 126:9
reduced 26:24
95:13, 15
reducing 50:11
51:6
reduction 65:6, 7
refer 139:24, 25
140:1
reference 29:7
reflected 94:18
reflectful 51:10
refresh 55:10
regard 53:4 54:3
55:17 57:4 59:6
65:4 71:12 73:12
74:10
regarding 4:14
5:11, 17 6:3, 12,
24 7:13 8:4, 13,
17 9:7, 15 22:19
83:9 86:19 90:19
94:16 136:24
137:2, 25 138:19
144:3, 25 145:17
146:20 151:23
152:4
regardless 60:2
regards 16:20
54:15 55:9, 21
regret 48:21
Regular 4:20
10:8 22:23 161:6
regularly 28:18
regulate 37:3 58:7
regulated 61:7
regulation 26:16
```

```
regulations 77:15
79:15 139:24
140:17 148:19
regulators 26:6
37:17
regulatory 8:9
34:7 53:24 58:12
75:4, 14, 21 78:2
79:3 144:7
rehabilitating
121:19
reimbursement
92:19
reiterate 41:13
69:7 78:15 87:7
related 23:21
24:18 47:15
48:10 77:21 78:9
161:14
relates 22:17
relating 46:4
relationship 27:13
36:13 155:20
relentless 16:25
relevant 80:16
rely 98:22
remain 92:18
remainder 92:14
remaining 66:1
remarks 13:19
38:2 147:25
remedy 89:12
remember 126:12
134:15
reminded 150:12
rename 139:21
renovate 42:10
48:10
renovations 39:8
reopen 92:9
reopened 118:6
repeat 49:23
repeats 11:22
repetitive 69:11
reply 128:6
Report 4:6 7:24
9:4 13:14 33:1
56:10 139:17
142:5 146:15
151:15, 21
REPORTED 1:24
78:23
reporter 11:14
136:3
reports 4:8
represent 47:25
51:5
re-present 17:9
Representative
2:19 21:23
```

representatives 15:17 79:25 155:16 represented 18:7, 22 19:8 representing 73:23 116:21 129:3 153:3 reprimanded 24:24 36:8 request 6:13, 25 7:14 35:9 36:6 56:3 59:11, 14 60:3, 7 62:5 64:24 65:11 80:10 126:25 132:2 136:25 137:3, 25 138:19 152:19 155:13 156:2, *3* requested 74:11 81:4 requesting 155:23 156:*1* requests 4:9 65:13 **require** 8:7 55:7 62:21 71:7 144:6 149:9, 10 160:6 **required** 5:22 6:8 25:16 27:21 34:6, 25 36:4 39:8 46:5 57:5 67:6, 6, 23 68:2, 5, 8, 16 80:25 86:23 90:23 91:5 107:7 108:*15* 110:*1* 134:18 149:1 **Requirement** 8:6 36:16 40:18 42:16 81:3 144:5 151:9 **requires** 96:21 115:1 155:16, 18, requiring 61:10 109:9 147:17 residents 20:15 51:19 55:22 56:8 69:15 resolve 42:13, 14 46:5, 12 63:7, 8 resolved 38:15 42:5 46:1, 18, 19, 21 resources 16:23 63:4 respect 17:5 34:23 37:5 46:16 49:7 50:21 61:10

| California |
|---|
| 64.12 70.20 22 |
| 64: <i>12</i> 79: <i>20</i> , <i>23</i> 94: <i>6</i> , <i>9</i> |
| 94:0, 9 |
| respected 17:3 |
| respectfully |
| 126:24 132:2 |
| 142:11 |
| respond 43:23 |
| 80:10 99:14 132:9 |
| responded 44:18 |
| Responding 147:13 |
| response 16:18 |
| 38:12 54:21 |
| 150:16 |
| responses 156:7 |
| responsibility 94:8 |
| 9 107:13 108:21, |
| 24 135:21 |
| |
| responsible 78:17 |
| 107:18 |
| Rest 12:23 102:2 |
| 125:2 |
| restart 136:12 |
| restate 85:5, 17 |
| restricted 4:11 |
| 110:3 |
| restriction 140:19 |
| restrictions 141:10 |
| result 65:7 93:10 |
| resulted 50:14 |
| retain 89:15 |
| retains 89:8 |
| retire 20:9 |
| |
| retired 15:19, 20 |
| retirement 20:1, |
| 17, 19 21:14 |
| 149:20 |
| retiring 17:21 |
| retroactive 130:17 |
| retroactively |
| 130:16 |
| return 11:16 |
| revenue 147:21 |
| revenues 150:21 |
| reverse 16:20 |
| review 87:14 |
| 90:16 93:17 94:1, |
| 4, 20, 25 108:13 |
| 109:16, 23 110:20 |
| 107.10, 23 110.20 |
| 127: <i>15</i> 128: <i>1</i> 129: <i>6</i> 131: <i>15</i> |
| 129:0 131:13 |
| 132:14, 20 133:8, |
| 22 134:4, 6, 8, 9 |
| 135:12 |
| reviewed 109:22 |
| 132:24 |
| rewarding 20:11, |
| 17 |
| Rey 87:22, 25 |
| 88:15 |
| Rick 2:7, 12 |
| 21:12 140:12 |
| 21.12 170.12 |
| i . |

```
141:3 147:13
148:20, 25 150:18
rider 12:14
riders 140:7
riding 8:7, 7, 9, 14
139:22 140:1, 4
144:5, 6, 7 145:1
right 21:1, 21
22:18 24:15 26:4,
11, 11 29:1 31:7
34:18, 25 36:25
37:6, 18, 21 47:8
48:3, 15 49:11
55:19 56:5 58:20
61:13 63:13 64:8
67:21 70:9 71:8
72:2, 22 74:7, 9
79:7 84:13 88:1
89:9, 17, 21, 25
90:4, 18 93:19
94:15 101:20, 22
104:17 109:16
115:14 116:20
119:18 122:2, 13
124:21 127:10
132:14 133:7, 10,
22, 23 135:16
136:23, 23 137:12
143:20 144:2
151:22 156:18
158:20 159:10, 20
rights 70:3 89:15
ROAP 15:21
Robert 2:11
17:20 20:7 49:12
Roger 155:1
role 94:11
roll 120:12 160:15
rolling 88:24
ROOM 1:10
17:10 48:15 76:3
101:25 121:14
rooms 102:14, 15
Rosa 83:16 85:12,
23 96:21 97:1, 3
103:25 106:21
115:11
Roseville 18:7, 13,
rotten 120:22
roughly 119:24
round 63:23
row 35:22
rows 17:4
Rubenstein 55:18
Rule 8:5, 14, 18
10:25 11:1 38:9
50:17 59:4 78:19
79:7 144:4 145:1,
17 146:9
```

```
rule-making 59:17
60:2
rules 16:21 52:20
53:25 142:5, 9
143:17
run 75:6. 13
76:15, 20 83:21,
21, 22, 23 85:19,
23 95:18, 25
96:15, 17 97:10
98:16 99:5, 6
101:22 103:24, 25
104:1 111:18, 23
112:5, 9, 13, 18, 18,
19, 20, 23 114:3,
11, 18, 19, 20
119:4, 13 120:2
135:6
running 8:10
84:12 96:11
101:19 106:18, 20
110:7 112:6 144:8
< S >
Sacramento 18:1
19:1 106:21 115:9
sacred 16:22
sad 12:16, 21
saddened 12:5
safe 120:11
Safety 7:24 47:23
102:8 103:14
139:17
sage 21:9
samples 8:22
145:20
San 15:25 87:22,
25 88:15
sanction 66:6
68:4 81:20
sanctioning 61:13
sanctions 66:11,
13 80:21 81:18, 23
sand 36:24
Santa 2:18, 20
4:24 5:4 13:23
14:7, 9 16:7 23:2,
4, 17 24:25 25:3
26:4, 11, 13, 22, 25
27:10, 19 34:21
38:14 40:11, 19
44:1, 23 45:22
46:6, 23 47:1
48:20 55:1 56:6
61:3 65:24 70:13
72:5, 25 82:2
83:16 85:12, 23
87:16 88:1, 1, 18
96:21 97:1, 3
98:6 103:25
106:21 111:25
```

```
115:11 116:23, 24
117:22 119:13
134:21 136:22
157:10
sat 24:4
satellite 131:8, 11
satisfy 46:4
Saturday 130:21
save 100:19 121:3
saw 157:5
saying 30:12, 19
31:25 33:15 41:8
42:15 43:12 79:9
106:13, 23 111:5
119:3 140:16
149:12 154:17
says 16:17 19:25
29:7, 23 30:4, 19
31:14 42:8 55:1,
13, 21 64:13, 15
70:20 105:18
124:2 125:20
126:6, 15, 17, 18
scaling 34:18
scenario 103:2
schedule 22:2
89:9
School 17:25
18:1 84:13
SCIU 54:16 56:15
Scott 2:20 23:16,
17 30:3 32:25
37:25 43:4 44:9
45:10 48:7 50:8,
21 63:13 94:23
95:9 97:16
104:19 106:3
115:10 121:23
147:18
Scott's 115:14
Scotty 91:8
SCOTWINC 7:15
138:20
scrambling 85:16
screen 14:14
scrutiny 38:9
season 13:18
92:18 106:6
seasonal 109:2
110:10 131:20
seat 11:16 78:3
136:13
seated 17:20
seats 10:7 136:14,
15
second 39:9
71:16 82:5, 6, 7
102:16 125:11
140:2
Seconded 86:11
Secondly 33:3
```

seconds 13:5 82:7, 21 137:14 138:9 139:5 144:14 145:5, 24 160:14 Secretary 85:13 **Section** 5:23 6:10, 22 7:8, 20 19:6, 8 86:24 90:23 124:1 127:1 137:10 138:4, 24 see 22:13 24:6 36:10 37:18 54:4 59:23 69:7 76:12 87:10 90:25 98:3 107:5 111:7 123:17 129:25 134:6 140:16, 19, 20 152:12 157:11 159:10 seek 55:4, 5 seen 52:14 57:22 99:11 120:8 150:21 segment 31:4 segregate 102:23 sell 28:18 selling 28:20 69:14 send 31:8, 12, 13 136:20 **sending** 149:25 sense 17:2 48:24 63:18 64:9 68:10 69:13 143:5 sent 32:19 53:25 65:1, 2 78:20, 21 sentence 43:13 separate 74:5 separately 42:19 151:12 **September** 126:*14* seriously 135:21 **served** 16:*15* 125:24 serves 39:5 108:25 110:9 **service** 19:*17* 20:10, 11, 20 services 85:12 serving 14:8 17:23 18:24 20:12 **Session** 9:19 158:16 set 18:15 79:6 92:24 93:3 102:24 121:12 128:21 132:19 149:12 160:8 161:8 **sets** 70:5

| Calliornia H |
|--|
| 25.14 |
| seven 25:14 |
| 40:19 125:3 |
| 155:5 157:15 |
| seventh-month |
| 131:23 |
| shame 120:21, 22 |
| share 42:24 |
| |
| 58:16, 17 106:10 |
| 131:8 150:4 151:3 |
| sharing 85:14 |
| shed 17:4 |
| sheet 11:4, 6, 10 |
| sheet 11:4, 6, 10 63:11, 16, 23 |
| Sherman 15:11 |
| ship 121: <i>13</i> |
| short 140:16 |
| 141:9 |
| shortfall 147:12 |
| |
| shorthand 161:9, |
| 11 |
| Shortly 19: <i>13</i> |
| show 58:23 103:4 |
| showed 78:5 |
| shows 78:4 |
| shows 78:4 157:21, 22 |
| shut 111:13 |
| side 16:11 26:6 |
| |
| 41:9, 10 46:10 |
| 101:10 |
| sides 29:1 122:8, |
| 8 133:15 135:19 |
| Sierras 18: <i>12</i> |
| sign 24:7 42:7 |
| 44:2 45:22 47:6 |
| signal 69: <i>14</i> |
| signals 28:19 |
| 150: <i>1</i> |
| signature 156:19 |
| signed 23:20 |
| 24:15 26:22 |
| |
| 27:18 28:4 32:6, |
| 7, 9 45:16 46:1, 4, |
| 14, 22 48:22 |
| 56:11 68:13 70:8 |
| 93:19 95:22 |
| 126:14 |
| significant 14:5 |
| 28:22 148: <i>15</i> |
| 150:4 |
| |
| sign-in 11:4, 6, 9 |
| signing 48:13 |
| silence 12:2, 9, 10 |
| similar 75:7 |
| 100:17 105:12 |
| simple 53:4, 9 |
| 60:3 64:24 140:12 |
| simply 67:13 |
| 104:18 128:1 |
| |
| simulcast 28:10 29:6, 21 30:13, 14 |
| 29:0, 21 30:13, 14 |
| |
| |

```
32:2 157:21
simulcasting 30:20
Sincerely 20:18
Sindler 149:19
single 57:22
singled 98:11
sir 69:22 71:3, 3
85:3 87:10 88:23
153:9
sit 23:25 29:1, 13
36:8 43:18 76:22
sites 31:25
117:16 150:22
sitting 91:11 96:4
situation 40:10
62:11 70:3 73:6
119:22 121:4
153:23
situations 29:17
54:2 67:10
six 18:25 25:14
74:13 95:15 99:2,
2, 8 114:4 121:2
122:1 125:3
sixth-month
131:22
sixty-three 112:22
six-week 122:16
size 151:13
skills 20:13
sleep 151:18
slots 117:5
slowly 111:4, 11
small 19:6 33:24
97:9 111:22 149:1
smaller 34:18
Solano 124:20
sole 19:5
Solis 2:6 10:14
12:13 13:4, 11, 12
82:14, 15, 22, 23
86:11, 13 102:4
103:11 137:13, 15,
16 138:9, 15, 16
139:5, 13, 14, 15
140:6 144:13, 15,
16 145:4, 6, 7, 8,
23 146:5, 6 160:18
Soltau 123:3
solution 42:6
73:21
solve 37:15 41:11
73:21 129:16
somebody 23:13
49:19, 20, 22
77:16 87:6, 8
somewhat 25:5
32:23
Sonoma 2:23
5:11, 14 83:10, 12,
```

```
15, 20 84:3, 8
92:23
soon 66:18
sorry 15:20 27:24
31:3 46:13 52:21,
22 55:23 66:18
77:17 90:12
117:13 124:8
132:21 158:21, 23
sort 25:1 26:3
28:25 34:5 46:2
77:15 94:15
120:21
sought 16:3
South 42:10
48:25 49:4, 9
87:13, 16 93:12
98:4, 6, 12 99:12
100:18 105:9
129:10, 12, 14, 17,
20
Southern 5:18
6:4, 15, 19 7:14,
22 39:17, 21, 25
44:17 45:13, 15,
21 46:17 68:12
69:2 86:20 87:1,
9 91:10 123:22
129:14 137:4, 7
138:19, 25
space 100:25
speak 10:23 11:6,
19, 21 17:1 22:16
33:6 37:21 48:11
50:4, 5 60:19, 20
68:23 79:2 83:25
85:2 91:13 93:1
108:3 121:20
122:24 135:16
142:3, 4 152:20, 21
speaker 10:25
11:22, 23, 24
49:16 93:3
Speaking 14:23
33:9 153:12
speaks 11:15
special 20:6 21:11
specific 39:2
54:22 75:19
141:20
specifically 25:11
30:12 76:25 85:7
114:12 149:6
151:1
specificity 88:2
speculative 108:17
spell 123:20
spend 27:4 64:21
spent 12:13, 18
70:22 72:25 126:2
```

spinning 43:1 **spirit** 13:*18* **split** 130:16 **spoke** 123:1 Sport 15:10 16:21 spreadsheet 87:1 ss 161:*1* **stable** 39:7 46:6 126:17 **stables** 106:19, 20 130:11 **Stabling** 5:19 6:5, 15 24:6 39:14 40:1, 4, 4 42:10 44:9, 16 45:11, 12, 14, 16, 20 46:1, 18 47:16, 19, 22 48:14, 16 86:21 87:4, 9, 12, 13, 23, 24, 25 88:15, 18 90:21 91:16, 17, 21 92:1, 7, 16, 18, 19 93:11, 15 94:2, 16 95:1, 23 96:8 97:19 99:24, 25 100:20 101:2 103:21 104:7 106:8, 15, 22, 24 107:4 108:13, 21, *25* 109:*11*, *13*, *21* 110:7 114:25 115:19 119:21 121:4 122:9 126:15, 15 129:10, 16, 22 130:14 131:3, 19 133:16, 19, 20 134:2, 14 137:5 **STAFF** 2:10.11 19:14, 16 78:23, 24 93:23 94:13 142:14, 14, 23, 23, 24, 24, 24 143:19 146:11, 12, 13 148:20 151:6, 11 160:*1* stair-stepping 131:24 stakeholders 66:17 95:11 147:22 151:2 stall 120:16, 17, 18, 19 **stalls** 88:4, 5, 6, 11, 17 93:6 100:18, 21, 22 101:11 105:21, 24 106:1, *16*, *17* 109:8 120:10 121:6, 10, 12 134:11, 20

135:6 **stance** 43:7 stand 47:25 49:5 58:18 80:9 **standard** 141:*1* 147:4 standardbreds 150:9 standards 8:9 141:8 144:7 standing 52:19 54:5 77:16 119:1 standpoint 79:14 80:24 157:4 stands 77:16 start 23:18 76:6 104:4 107:25 108:2, 15 112:22 113:17 starting 56:6 124:16 125:2 starts 75:23 **STATE** 1:2 15:25 16:9 17:2 19:16 20:10, 13 25:3, 17 54:24 64:20 73:22 76:14 106:2 109:4 112:7 113:16, 18, *19*, *19* 133:*16*, *18* 153:5, *18*, 22 161:*1* **stated** 11:2 43:25 130:3 151:7 157:7 statement 154:12 statements 25:4 151:*10* States 17:8 18:2, 7, 22 20:4 117:21 154:*13* **stating** 11:*13* statistically 74:1 status 4:15 22:20 23:14 **statute** 24:13 36:5 47:14 52:15 92:24 94:17 97:6 107:6 134:2 148:13 149:1 154:13 156:14 statutory 71:8 stay 47:1, 2 71:6 88:18 92:13 98:19 160:11 **stayed** 87:19 step 104:23 143:3 154:16 **stepped** 101:*4* **steps** 150:13 steward 15:20, 20, 22 16:4, 5, 15

| Calliornia H |
|---|
| stewards 15:14, 15 16:9 sticking 26:5 stipulate 62:7 stood 125:1 |
| stop 40:16 stopped 18:12 40:11 store 118:16 |
| straight 79:7 straightforward 91:9 140:13 stress 79:8 127:25 135:17 |
| 127:25 135:17 stressed 149:5 strictly 10:24 strides 46:13 strikes 140:18 Stronach 25:6 |
| 33:6, 7 38:3, 18 44:18 47:11 51:3 53:11, 13 54:25 61:2 65:5 70:16 72:15, 19 100:16 149:19 |
| Stronach's 57:15 strong 32:13 84:15 strongly 51:24 71:5 84:18 146:9 |
| structured 103:1 struggle 52:13, 25 58:14 stuck 120:13 students 14:10 |
| study 127:17 stuff 18:21 34:15 36:10 118:16 style 113:8 subject 38:8 66:23 120:14, 20, |
| submission 5:18 6:4 66:23 67:1 86:19 90:19 submit 30:4 32:4 60:12 66:5 93:15, 23 131:7 |
| submitted 23:20 34:9, 10 35:20 62:19 66:25 67:7 68:17, 20 81:1, 2, 9 91:13 93:25 141:24 |
| subscribed 161:16 subsection 94:17 substances 8:21 145:20 substantially 106:5 substantive 49:3 |
| |

| rse Racing E |
|--|
| grapinat 01.14 |
| succinct 91:14 |
| suddenly 43:8 |
| suffers 65:6 sufficient 25:20 |
| 22:11 02:6 100:9 |
| 32: <i>11</i> 93:6 109:8 110:7 134: <i>12</i> |
| 135:6 |
| suggest 71:14 |
| 142:12 |
| suggested 40:18 |
| 122: <i>10</i> 125: <i>9</i> |
| 140:11 147:25 |
| 150:23 |
| suggesting 41:3 |
| 72:6 94:10 |
| 125:18 127:8 |
| suggestion 154:15 |
| suggestion 154:15 suggestions 118:12 |
| summarized |
| 146:22 |
| summary 91:15 |
| summer 44:24 |
| 45:21 47:1 87:15 |
| 92:17 95:3 97:10 |
| 99:10, 18 106:9 |
| 112:19 122:12 |
| 130:4 132:16 |
| summertime |
| 106:16 121:2 |
| super 146:8 |
| superior 15:14 19:10 126:1 |
| supervision 161:10 |
| support 25:4 |
| 61:23 79:24 |
| 100:9 126:10 |
| 146:9, 9 |
| supported 131:12 |
| supporter 150:9 |
| supports 131: <i>10</i> suppose 104: <i>11</i> |
| suppose 104:11 |
| supposed 47:14 155:3 |
| Supreme 125:25 |
| sure 18:10 21:12 |
| 26:4 37:4 41:20, |
| 22 43:20 54:6 |
| 60:22, 25 62:8 |
| 71:22 73:14 |
| 80:13 84:1 87:11 |
| 95:9 121: <i>17</i> |
| 123:11 133:2 |
| 152:7, 25 |
| surely 119: <i>10</i> surface 42: <i>10</i> |
| surtace 42:10 |
| 119:6 surplus 99:9, 11, |
| 19 100:11 106:8 |
| 132: <i>1</i> |
| surprise 77:7 |
| barpine //./ |

| surprised 77:17, |
|--|
| 18, 19 |
| surprising 50:9 |
| surveys 51:20 survive 58:10 |
| survive 58:10 |
| 127:20 |
| suspect 35:25 Suzanne 19:25 |
| Swartzlander 2:24 |
| 85:1. 3. 3 94:23 |
| 103:16 104:6, 17 105:5 107:23 114:13, 21, 25 |
| 105:5 107:23 |
| 114:13, 21, 25 |
| 115:8, <i>11</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>23</i> 116:4, <i>8</i> , <i>12</i> |
| sympathetic 60:20, |
| 20 155: <i>12</i> |
| synthetic 39:11 |
| 112:5, 9 119:6 |
| system 146:24 |
| systems 18: <i>13</i> |
| <t></t> |
| table 17:6 40:14 |
| 44:23 53:8 78:3 |
| 85:18 93:4 |
| table 17:6 40:14 44:23 53:8 78:3 85:18 93:4 104:23 105:17, 20 108:2 |
| 108:2 |
| tack 102:14, 15 take 10:7 12:2, 8 |
| 19:20 26:24 |
| 29:18 56:7 57:17, |
| 18 59:24 67:3 |
| 76:2 77:12 81:25 84:1 85:25 86:3 |
| 84:1 85:25 86:3 |
| 100:5, 23 103:6 110:18 113:8 117:17 120:25 124:16 126:3 |
| 117:17 120:25 |
| 124:16 126:3 |
| 127:20 128:3, <i>14</i> , |
| 19, 20 130:5 |
| 135:21 136:14, 15 |
| 150: <i>14</i> 154: <i>17</i> 155: <i>23</i> 157: <i>10</i> |
| taken 8:22 16:6 |
| 30.16 61.0 |
| 108:18 123:12 128:10 136:9 145:20 152:9, 19 159:1, 9 161:7, 8, |
| 128:10 136:9 |
| 145:20 152:9, 19 |
| 159:1, 9 161:7, 8, 12 |
| takeout 98:1 |
| 129:16 150:5 |
| takes 41:21 77:14 |
| 135: <i>18</i> |
| talk 13:22 42:8, |
| 19 47:4 48:7 60:22 77:23 |
| 60:22 77:23 97:20 111:4, 11, |
| 14 117:5 121:18 |
| |

```
126:20 130:15
142:14
talked 29:9 45:5
51:11 60:13
73:19 98:25
103:19 115:16
118:10
talking 30:14
31:5 39:20 40:25
42:3, 4 67:20
70:23 76:24
107:14 130:1
142:23 143:21
talks 74:13
153:13 157:3
tapeta 96:18
103:17 104:2
target 25:9
tattoos 107:15, 15
taught 16:23
tax 113:18, 20
taxpayer 153:19,
19
taxpayers 153:21
team 63:5, 9
122:7
Technologies 9:14
34:15 152:3
Technology 9:12
26:23 27:20
56:25 62:25 63:1
152:2
tedious 77:12
televise 27:8
television 27:4, 7
149:17
televisions 14:14
tell 15:23, 25
36:2, 3 37:21
114:10 121:9, 13,
15 134:3 150:13
telling 28:8 52:19,
25 106:22
tells 110:21
ten 40:18 63:5,
25 95:14 136:8
tenacious 16:18
tend 31:21
term 24:23 90:1
terminated 96:3
terms 27:20
59:20 60:8, 24, 25
70:7 77:5 78:10
92:24 99:17 122:6
Terri 3:5 118:23,
23
terrific 15:8
test 8:22 145:20
testify 25:11
45:19
testimony 151:11
```

testing 141:5 150:20 **Texas** 117:24 **text** 161:10 **Thank** 12:11, 23 13:16 14:17, 19 20:16, 20, 21 21:1, 2, 6 22:10, 11 32:25 49:17 52:3, 4 56:17 78:13 83:4, 6, 7 84:25 85:20 86:13, 15 90:7, 9, 10 94:19, 23 108:6 110:16, 24 111:3, 8, 12 112:16 116:16, 18 118:19, 21, 22 121:17, 18 122:20 127:22, 24 128:24, 25 129:5 132:8 135:24 141:18, 21, 21 142:3 143:8, 9, 25 144:1 146:12 151:16 155:7, 8, 8 156:22, 23 157:24 158:15 160:20 Thanks 84:6 **Thanksgiving** 13:25 theirs 95:15 96:22 97:23 98:4 **thereof** 161:*15* thereto 16:22 thing 26:4, 12 34:8, 19, 21 35:12 37:18 39:5 40:14 49:7 62:6 65:20 70:22 71:17 75:18 76:8 77:4 90:11 97:14 102:22 103:4 104:20 106:12 113:11, 12, 21 120:7 124:25 126:12 127:3 135:14 143:18, 19, *20* 153:2*1* things 13:19 14:20, 23 24:3 29:20 36:18, 22 38:12, 15 41:15 42:4 43:1, 2, 12 45:6 46:3, 24 52:12, 17 58:25 73:13 77:2, 5, 10 97:16 119:17 120:23 121:7 123:23 132:16 136:16 142:20 143:6 151:*13* 157:20 159:7

```
thought 31:4
77:2 142:4
152:13 157:4
thousand 95:14
threat 75:10
78:10 125:17
threatening 108:19
three 4:11 11:15
40:12 45:17
46:22 55:15 56:1
74:13 77:14
81:15 95:6
111:17 113:8
117:1 119:25
120:6, 16, 16
129:24 130:20
136:4 140:18
141:15 146:9, 20
155:4
three-minute
10:24, 25
three-strike 140:10
three-year 87:20
88:4, 21, 21, 24
threshold 8:20
140:23 145:19
Thurman 26:19
146:22 147:3, 7, 9
THURSDAY 1:15
10:3, 9
tied 88:21 142:25
Tiedemann 19:1
ties 62:11
time 10:24, 25
12:14, 18, 22 24:7
33:15 35:8 41:21
43:2, 3 44:14
47:8 48:24 58:21
63:3, 8 64:21
68:8, 11 69:20
70:23 73:1 75:23
77:1, 13, 13, 23
79:1 81:8 82:1
83:15 91:23
102:24 105:6, 15
107:17, 17 109:2,
16 112:23 113:8
114:3, 11 116:20
119:4 123:8
127:7, 8, 20, 25
130:6, 12 134:22
140:11, 21 142:13,
13 147:1 152:16
156:7 157:6
159:21 161:8
timed 8:23
140:23 145:21
timeline 67:2
108:16
```

```
timely 10:23
108:22 109:16
148:9
timer 117:13
times 22:2 42:9
47:13 51:9, 10
76:3 92:15
102:19 106:18
117:13 142:8
timing 29:11 42:3
TOC 2:15 14:2
23:19 24:4, 19
26:22 27:19, 20
32:12, 16, 21, 21
34:24 35:4 36:21
41:16, 19, 22, 24
46:23 47:18
48:21, 22, 23
53:11 54:12 55:5,
16, 24 58:7 59:8
60:1, 7 61:4
62:20 67:10, 13,
14, 18 68:8, 23
69:6, 13 70:15
71:7 72:11, 22
74:3 77:7 78:2
79:16, 17 87:11,
16 92:22 103:19
109:19 113:2
142:24 148:2
150:24 151:4
157:3, 8
today 10:18
15:24 35:9, 14
42:4, 16 60:14
61:25 62:7 65:8
66:16, 21, 24
70:23 79:6 88:6
93:25 109:6
119:1 123:10, 15
124:13 126:20
129:5 131:15
135:16 154:22, 22
155:3 158:17
today's 10:12
told 18:9 19:15,
17, 18, 19, 20
40:19 62:20 75:9
76:5, 23 79:25
100:3 117:18
125:8
tomorrow 62:3
Tony 3:7 156:24,
25 157:25
top 68:24
total 15:1 40:4
149:2
totalizator 146:24
totally 32:15 37:4,
```

tote 53:17 **tough** 13:25 toys 14:13 Track 4:25 6:25 7:15 23:2 29:15, 24 39:8, 11, 11 43:8 48:11 53:10 56:3, 5 107:16 112:5, 9 137:25 138:20 140:21 tracks 16:6 26:10, 18 34:21 40:12 114:23 151:4 track's 32:10 trading 45:5 traditionally 107:9, 21 **traffic** 39:10 **train** 18:10 96:18 101:16, 18 103:17, 17 112:2, 11 117:19 119:20 126:18, 19, 21, 22 127:11 trained 119:16 **trainer** 112:21 113:25 114:1,5 118:25 trainers 14:9 37:24 38:4, 8, 23 40:3 41:14 47:20 74:10, 15, 17 119:23 127:10 141:12 142:1 **training** 8:8, 15 40:11, 16 98:15 101:24 102:23 109:11, 12, 13, 21 111:16 114:24 117:15 118:2, 4, 4 121:4 126:16, 17 139:22 140:4, 10. *17* 141:*1*, *10* 144:6 145:2 transcribed 161:9 transcript 161:11 traveling 20:3 treat 61:17 125:16 **treated** 61:19 **Tree** 14:4 129:3 tremendous 27:4 46:12 trial 18:8 126:2 **trip** 17:24 trips 12:19 **trouble** 12:16 true 16:20 26:14 55:14 57:4 68:16 truly 21:10 trust 49:22

try 23:23 24:10 25:7 32:14 63:7 72:6 91:14 97:20 111:11, 19 113:2, 2 114:18 132:25 142:25 trying 12:22 26:9 30:9 32:17 37:3, 3 42:2 44:23 46:12 47:22, 22 54:2 58:15, 15, 16, 21 62:10 73:2, 9 79:5 101:8 119:12 122:6, 8 154:18, 20 **Tucson** 15:9 **Tuesday** 38:16 50:12 53:8 59:11 tune 100:10 **Turf** 4:24 15:11 23:2 69:2 80:18 **Turfdom** 15:10 turn 75:4 119:7 turned 44:10 turning 36:9 turns 117:11 159:*12* turnstile 21:5 **TV** 27:24 73:15 157:2*1* **TVG** 9:15 26:21, 21 27:3, 5, 13, 16, 21 30:15 32:7 33:21 52:21 53:14 56:10 59:8 69:19 75:1 149:16 151:5 152:4 154:20 TVG's 53:14 TwinSpires 2:22 32:8, 10, 19 33:21 74:21 77:25 147:24 149:24 TwinSpires.com 9:13 152:2 two 14:24 18:9 19:23 22:2 27:22 28:10, 14 29:17, 20 33:24 37:7, 8 39:8 48:24 51:4 61:*4* 62:*5*, *5* 65:*1* 68:10 70:5, 8 74:3, 5, 13 77:14 80:9 83:5 84:12, 16, 22 85:16 86:16 97:2 101:10 104:11 107:21 111:15, 15, 16, 16, 20 112:25 118:23 122:8 125:1 129:6

| Calliornia F |
|--|
| 131:2 <i>I</i> 146:25 156:20 two-to-one 92:2 <i>I</i> |
| 107:4 two-year-old 118:1 Two-year-olds |
| 98:15 102:6, 8, 24 103:3, 4, 5, 8, 16 type 51:2 78:12 |
| typical 19:18 typically 31:12, 13 |
| <u>> U > U.S 17:23 18:4, 6, 24</u> |
| ultimate 61:17 110:12 ultimately 129:12 |
| unanimously 138:17 139:16 144:23 |
| unanticipated 38:14 uncollected 148:14 |
| understand 24:13 32:16 33:18 37:5 |
| 40:2 41:13, 14 43:7, 10, 16 45:20, 23 46:8 59:17, 25 |
| 62:11 64:22 71:22 76:18, 21 111:5 113:5, 7, 9 |
| 118:5 135:2 <i>1</i> 143:2 <i>4</i> 154:1 <i>4</i> , 25 156:2 <i>1</i> |
| understanding 40:7 45:7 understands 37:4 |
| understood 131:18 140:25 unfair 55:6 |
| unfortunately 18:13 31:18 46:13 UNIDENTIFIED |
| 49:16 unintended 129:19 143:4 union 25:18 |
| 155:21 unions 25:16 United 17:8 18:2, |
| 7, 22 20:4 117:21 University 17:25 unknown 75:15 |
| unprecedented 50:9 unquote 18:8 |
| 38:21 unreasonable |
| İ |

```
117:8
unwrite 58:11
upcoming 5:21
6:7 59:20 86:22
90:22 93:7, 16
158:11
update 23:14
upper 114:16
uproot 119:24
upset 58:9
urge 71:11 77:6
urgency 143:5
Use 8:14 16:13,
14 24:23 94:21
100:2, 2 106:22.
25 140:3, 20
145:1 154:18
usually 107:14
utilize 91:19
< V >
Valenzuela 3:8
149:4 152:20, 22
153:1, 4, 9, 15
154:6, 10 156:5,
13, 19, 22
valid 47:2 54:16
value 150:1
van 119:21
Vanning 5:19 6:5,
15 47:19 86:20
87:9, 13, 13 90:20
91:16, 21 92:7, 19
93:11, 15 94:1, 16
95:1 97:8, 19
100:20 106:8
107:4 108:22
115:19 121:4
122:10 126:15, 16
129:10, 16, 21
131:2, 19 133:17,
19. 20 134:2 137:5
variables 147:1
various 51:12
79:16, 23, 24
84:14 117:15
156:4
vast 99:20, 20, 20
vendor 25:2
Ventures 9:10
151:25
verbally 40:18
versus 88:17
89:12 116:3
vets 141:9
viable 124:13
125:10
Vietnam 17:24
view 91:21 133:14
viewpoint 64:12
```

```
views 62:17 65:3
visit 86:17
visited 105:6
visiting 20:4
voluminously
25:15
voluntarily 150:24
157:18
voluntary 150:13
volunteering 14:8
vote 13:6 82:8, 13,
17, 22 92:21
137:15 138:9
139:6 144:15
145:6, 25
voted 15:16
92:22, 23 95:2
96:5 130:13, 21
135:4, 5, 8
votes 13:8 82:11
83:1 86:12, 12, 13
137:19, 22 138:12,
13 139:8, 9, 12, 15
144:19, 22 145:8,
11, 12 146:2
< W >
wager 32:12
33:16 53:20
59:14 60:5 80:6
150:7
Wager.com 9:13
152:3
wagering 6:16
7:4, 6, 9, 15, 18, 20
9:4, 9 24:22
29:25 30:15, 16
33:1 48:18 53:7
54:3 55:12, 21, 23
116:2 131:8, 11
137:6 138:1, 3, 5,
20, 22, 24 146:16,
23 151:25 157:10
wagers 26:24
50:15 51:18 53:5,
13 56:22, 23 151:3
Wagner 22:13
31:19
wait 36:9 77:13
waiting 24:6
waiver 51:3
walk 17:3
walked 120:16
wall 58:22
walls 120:11, 13
want 12:12 13:22
15:23 17:21
23:14 26:8, 10
36:8, 11, 12 41:2,
13, 20, 22 43:18
44:19 48:7, 11
```

```
50:4 53:1, 1
56:22 57:2 58:10
62:9, 22, 23 64:20
74:22 75:16 77:6
79:8 80:20 84:17
85:2, 5, 10, 17
94:11 96:6, 10
99:14 101:22, 23
103:17, 17, 22
104:1, 1, 18
106:13 109:17
111:6 113:22
117:5 120:3, 20
123:14 126:3, 22
127:1, 25 132:9
135:17 140:16, 19,
20 156:13 160:5,
11
wanted 24:3
85:23 89:18
97:24 108:3
128:15 158:8
wants 45:19
59:24 65:18 69:5
71:10 74:16
96:14 124:10
Warren 125:25
Watch 9:13
33:16 80:6 123:6,
8, 11 150:7 152:2
watched 124:25
Water 18:24 19:3
way 12:17 15:19
22:6 24:2 28:20
41:3 42:11 43:20
45:6 56:14 57:24
70:4 73:6, 8 80:2
87:14 90:13 94:4
97:17 98:19 99:6,
15, 24 111:18
119:19, 21 124:15
125:8 142:9, 17
148:22 154:21
161:14
ways 62:16 70:20
117:17 133:23
wealth 58:16
wear 33:12
Wednesday 43:8
44:4
week 5:11, 15
13:23 14:7 15:9
17:9 31:21 38:13
40:11 59:11
83:10, 13, 15, 17,
18, 18, 21, 22 84:2,
23 85:8, 10, 11, 19,
24 125:21, 22
157:6
weeks 84:12, 16,
22 85:16 86:16
```

89:11 99:2, 8 119:25 120:6 121:2 122:*1* weigh 74:22 Welfare 7:25 16:24 47:23 139:17 **well** 11:2 17:16 21:12, 13, 22 24:20 27:11 28:23 32:9 33:10 34:1 35:7, 24 36:14 37:2 38:14 39:22 41:2, 12, 25 42:6 43:9 44:1, 2, 7 45:12 48:20 50:2 54:4 55:3 58:3 60:10, 18, 19 62:1, 4 63:14 64:12 65:21 68:18 69:18 72:19, 22, 23 73:16 84:25 87:21 88:1 95:20 98:6 104:4, 11 105:5 114:8, 25 117:20 125:4, 4, 20 132:15 143:12 146:*19* 153:*1* 154:10, 12, 14, 19 156:5 157:23 159:2*1* went 70:16 84:10 95:14 119:15 120:15, 18 122:12 we're 24:14 26:3 28:24 31:18 32:15, 23 38:11, 23 39:4, 20 40:21, 24 41:21 45:2 47:19 50:22, 24, 25 51:23 52:18 53:15 63:5 64:15 65:5 70:7, 9 75:20 84:14 88:9 102:16, 20 103:4, 8 104:19, 22 105:20 106:12, 13 120:5 122:23 136:11, 11 142:19, 19 155:6 **Westmed** 49:14 We've 31:14 63:5, 6 79:12 101:4, 4 127:8 142:20 wheel 43:1 WHEREOF 161:*16* whip 8:6 139:24, 25 144:5

| California F |
|--|
| widely 17:3 |
| wife 19:23 |
| wi-fi 53:6 |
| wildcard 32:12 |
| willing 40:19 |
| 47:4 80:3, 7 |
| 85: <i>19</i> 100: <i>1</i> , 8 150: <i>17</i> , 23 154: <i>16</i> |
| win 112:24 |
| win 112:24 wine 86:16 |
| Winner 2:4 10:5, |
| 12 12:11, 23 13:8, |
| 11, 13 20:25 21:7, 18, 20 22:11 |
| 23:16 32:25 |
| 33:20, 24 34:23 |
| 35:2, 6 39:17, 20, |
| 24 40:22, 24 41:6 |
| 42:22 44:12 45:9 |
| 48:3 49:7, 11, 19, 24 25 50:2 52:4 |
| 24, 25 50:2 52:4, 8, 11 54:7, 11 |
| 56:19 60:18 62:1, |
| 4, 14 66:11, 19 |
| 67:5, 18, 21 68:1 |
| 71:1 74:7 79:8 |
| 80:21 81:11, 13, 18, 21, 24 82:9, 11, |
| 14, 16, 20, 24 83:1, |
| 4, 7 84:5, 24 85:1, |
| 20 86:4, 10, 18 |
| 87:8 88:12, 20, 24 |
| 89:2, 6, 14, 17, 22 90:4 13 18 91:4 |
| 90:4, 13, 18 91:4, 12 94:3, 19 99:14 |
| 101:14, 24 107:3, |
| 6, 25 110:16, 24 |
| 111:3, 6, 12 114:7 |
| 115:4, 10, 12 116:1, 10, 16, 19 |
| 118:22 121:18 |
| 122:2, 20 127:22, |
| 24 128:8, 12, 22, |
| 25 132:8, 21 |
| 133: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> , <i>7</i> , <i>12</i> 134: <i>13</i> , <i>15</i> 135: <i>24</i> 136: <i>2</i> , <i>5</i> , <i>11</i> , <i>20</i> |
| 136:2. 5. 11. 20 |
| 137:17, 19, 19, 22 |
| 138:12, 13, 15, 17 |
| 139:8, 9, 15 |
| 141:18, 21 143:9 |
| 144:1, 17, 19, 19, 22 145:8, 11, 14 |
| 146:2, 2, 5, 7, 12, |
| 15 148:14 149:9, |
| 12 150:12 151:8, |
| 16, 20 152:12, 18, |
| 25 153:7, 10 154:4, 7 155:8 |
| 154:4, / 155:8 |
| 157:24 158:15, 19, |
| , , , |

23 159:2, 18 160:6, 13, 19 Winners 80:5 158:*12* wise 121:1 127:6 wish 12:6 21:12, *13* 113:21 wishes 20:16 wishing 11:6 **withdraw** 89:23 90:2 **WITNESS** 161:16 wonderful 16:11 58:13 word 16:18, 19 58:4 74:3 words 16:13, 14 66:9 71:21 76:16 106:6 work 20:3, 11 22:13 24:9, 10 26:17 30:7 34:13 38:17 41:7 48:14, 16 56:16 64:2 75:21 101:8 102:12 111:9, 16 113:13, 22 122:3 126:2 127:21 140:11 141:6 143:23 147:10, 11, *17* 157:5 **worked** 14:19 25:7 48:2 58:3 129:7 workers 102:24 149:6 150:11 **working** 32:*14* 36:14 46:10, 11 132:15 141:8 157:9, 17 workload 25:14 34:6 **workout** 8:23 103:*1* 145:2*1* Workouts 8:19 140:23 145:18 works 72:8 99:25 117:12 134:7 140:20 **world** 30:21 worse 103:14 worth 157:15 **worthwhile** 149:2*1* **Wow** 91:6 write 21:22 writing 40:7 **written** 58:11 154:5 wrong 158:6 wrongful 57:6

< X > XpressBet 9:14 27:16, 18 30:15 32:7 33:11, 21 52:21 56:11 69:19 149:19, 22 150:8 151:5 152:3 154:21 Xpressbet's 50:25 57:14 < Y > 62:14 68:25 79:8 94:14 107:3 116:12 133:1, 4, 7:11, 22 14:24 15:6, 18, 21 24:25

vard 18:16 Yeah 18:20 44:7 *12* 136:22 146:*14* year 5:22 6:8 25:1 28:2 29:10, 14, 15 35:22, 25 38:1 39:9, 10 42:21 45:20 48:2, 14, 16 50:23 56:6 58:23 59:20 60:1 62:19 70:24 77:13 83:24 84:13 86:22 87:3 88:19 90:1, 1, 22 91:18 92:14, 15 93:17, 18 95:16, 24 98:24 99:19 100:10, 13 104:23 112:1, 18 114:11, *15*, *17* 116:25 119:15 123:3 131:21 132:16 138:6 139:*1* 156:20 158:11 **year-long** 44:19 years 13:24 15:14 16:15 18:9, 25 19:4, 23 20:10, 15 27:6 45:17 46:22 55:15 56:1 57:21 67:11 70:5 71:9 73:7 77:14 95:6 100:18 103:7 114:4, 4 118:18 123:2, 6, 11 125:22, 24 126:2 129:6 154:20 156:20 year's 29:22 year-to-date 15:4 yesterday 12:3 24:21 25:4, 9 26:3, 19 27:2, 23

33:8 34:9, 20 38:16 40:12 41:17 51:10 54:13 56:15 63:11 65:3 75:8, 8, 10 79:19 139:20 143:11 146:19 154:11 155:1, 10, 14 156:10 158:1, 2, 9, 12 159:24, 25 yesterday's 50:13 York 28:19

<**Z**> **zero** 34:12 63:12,
18, 23 64:2 **zone** 7:11, 22
138:6, 25 **zones** 6:19 137:8